


Polyspace[®] Code Prover[™] Release Notes



How to Contact MathWorks



Latest news: www.mathworks.com
Sales and services: www.mathworks.com/sales_and_services
User community: www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral
Technical support: www.mathworks.com/support/contact_us



Phone: 508-647-7000



The MathWorks, Inc.
1 Apple Hill Drive
Natick, MA 01760-2098

Polyspace® Code Prover™ Release Notes

© COPYRIGHT 2013–2022 by The MathWorks, Inc.

The software described in this document is furnished under a license agreement. The software may be used or copied only under the terms of the license agreement. No part of this manual may be photocopied or reproduced in any form without prior written consent from The MathWorks, Inc.

FEDERAL ACQUISITION: This provision applies to all acquisitions of the Program and Documentation by, for, or through the federal government of the United States. By accepting delivery of the Program or Documentation, the government hereby agrees that this software or documentation qualifies as commercial computer software or commercial computer software documentation as such terms are used or defined in FAR 12.212, DFARS Part 227.72, and DFARS 252.227-7014. Accordingly, the terms and conditions of this Agreement and only those rights specified in this Agreement, shall pertain to and govern the use, modification, reproduction, release, performance, display, and disclosure of the Program and Documentation by the federal government (or other entity acquiring for or through the federal government) and shall supersede any conflicting contractual terms or conditions. If this License fails to meet the government's needs or is inconsistent in any respect with federal procurement law, the government agrees to return the Program and Documentation, unused, to The MathWorks, Inc.

Trademarks

MATLAB and Simulink are registered trademarks of The MathWorks, Inc. See www.mathworks.com/trademarks for a list of additional trademarks. Other product or brand names may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders.

Patents

MathWorks products are protected by one or more U.S. patents. Please see www.mathworks.com/patents for more information.

R2022b

Verification Setup	1-2
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with Intel C++ Compiler Classic (icc/icl) compilers	1-2
Updated Clang Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled by using Clang version 12.x	1-2
C++ Container Support: Faster analysis of code that uses C++ containers such as std::vector and std::map	1-3
AUTOSAR Support: Improved troubleshooting assistance for project setup	1-3
Object Size Limitation Removed: Analyze code containing large data structures	1-4
Reviewing Results	1-5
Results Export: Updated color property when you export Code Metrics results to JSON SARIF format	1-5
Changes in the polyspace-access Command Options	1-5
polyspace-access Command: Manage review information and compare project runs	1-6
Polyspace Access: Import review details and justifications from existing projects	1-7

R2022a

Verification Setup	2-2
Updated Clang Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled by using Clang versions 6.x to 11.x	2-2
Configuration from Build System: Import compiler macro definitions automatically without tracing build	2-3
Simulink Support: Polyspace updates generated code when model changes	2-4
Simulink Support: Analyze generated code by bounding number of calls to step function	2-4
MATLAB Coder Support: Polyspace analysis takes into account MATLAB Coder settings for nonfinite numbers	2-4
Ignoring Code Annotations: Perform a worst-case analysis to see all results including previously justified ones	2-5
Functionality Being Removed: Coding standards checking and code metrics computation with Code Prover	2-5

Functionality Being Removed: Polyspace desktop integration with Eclipse IDE	2-6
Functionality Removed: Polyspace stubs for Standard Template Library	2-6
Functionality Removed: Compilation assistant	2-6
Changes in analysis options and binaries	2-7
Changes in MATLAB function, options object and properties	2-8
Verification Results	2-9
Improved Pointer Analysis: New pointer analysis mode that keeps better records of pointers and pointed variables	2-9
Reviewing Results	2-10
Results Export: Generate more accurate keys to track results across analysis runs	2-10
Polyspace Access: Redesign of UI dashboard design for consistency and efficiency	2-11
Polyspace Access: Improved performance when viewing aggregate data from large project folders	2-12
Polyspace Access: View code covered by verification in new graph	2-12
Polyspace Access Project Runs: Add labels to analysis runs that you upload to a project	2-13
polyspace-access Command: Assign SQO levels, move or delete a project, and view list of runs for a project	2-14
polyspace-access Command: Improved robustness and error diagnostics	2-15
Functionality Removed: Report generation from pre-R2015a results	2-16
Polyspace Access Installation	2-17
License Management: Use a single license to review Bug Finder, Code Prover, and Ada results in your web browser	2-17
Support for X.509 certificates generated without a SAN extension removed	2-18
Changes in Polyspace Access docker containers	2-18

R2021b

Documentation	3-2
Documentation: View combined documentation for all Polyspace Code Prover products	3-2
Documentation: View web documentation by default	3-2
Contextual Help: View contextual help in web browser	3-3
Verification Setup	3-4
Faster Analysis: Reduction in analysis time on code that uses C++ std::string library	3-4

IAR Embedded Workbench Compiler: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled by using RISC-V target	3-4
Updated GCC Compiler Version Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with GCC versions 9.x and 10.x	3-5
C17 Support: Run Polyspace analysis on code that follows version C17 of C standard	3-5
Configuration from Build System: Copy console output to log file	3-5
Simulink Support: Consistent C++ version in Polyspace and Simulink ...	3-6
Functionality Being Removed: Coding standards checking and code metrics computation with Code Prover	3-6
Functionality Being Removed: Polyspace stubs for Standard Template Library	3-7
Functionality Being Removed: Compilation assistant	3-7
Verification Results	3-8
String Library Function Checks: New checks on arguments to C++ std::string methods	3-8
Reviewing Results	3-9
Results Review: Open review history, select layout, and open additional panes by using fewer clicks	3-9
Results Review: View relevant information in review panes when you select a finding	3-9
Functionality Removed: Automatic Orange Tester	3-9
Functionality Removed: Polyspace Metrics	3-10
Functionality Being Removed: Report generation from pre-R2015a results	3-10
Polyspace Access Installation	3-12
User Management: Set project permissions at the group level	3-12
User Management: Update list of users and groups more quickly by reloading web browser	3-12
User Authentication: Authenticate user logins against custom identities and LDAP identities simultaneously	3-12
Polyspace Access Services: Faster results uploads and more responsive source code view	3-13

R2021a

Verification Setup	4-2
Configuration from Build System: Specify options delimiter and suppress console output	4-2
Configuration from Build System: Improved detection of incompatible software	4-2
AUTOSAR Support (Software Integration): Faster and more AUTOSAR-aware code analysis	4-2
AUTOSAR Support (Component Based): Determine if an RTE function or event is supported in current release	4-3

Updated GCC Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with GCC version 8.x	4-3
Updated Microsoft Visual C++ Support: Set up a Polyspace analysis for code compiled with Visual Studio 2019	4-4
Analysis of projects containing mix of C and C++ source files in Code Prover	4-4
Simulink Support: Start Polyspace analysis without an explicit code generation step	4-5
polyspacesetup Function : Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB in fewer steps	4-5
pslinkrunCrossRelease Function : Analyze code generated in an earlier release of Simulink by using a later release of Polyspace	4-6
Functionality being removed: Compilation assistant	4-6
Changes in analysis options and binaries	4-7
Verification Results	4-9
AUTOSAR Support (Software Integration): New checks for compliance of RTE API usage with AUTOSAR standard	4-9
Changes in Code Prover assumptions	4-9
Reviewing Results	4-10
Simulink Block Annotation : Add multiple Polyspace annotations corresponding to multiple types of Polyspace results	4-10
Code Prover Result Messages: Redundant tooltips removed from += and similar operations	4-10
Results Review Scope : Define and share custom families of filters	4-11
Results Review Layout : Select view to prioritize review of code or results list	4-11
Code Quality Comparison Between Runs: Filter and view information for previous findings fixed in the current run	4-13
Functionality being removed: Automatic Orange Tester	4-13
Polyspace Access Installation	4-15
License Management : Uploading of results to Polyspace Access no longer requires a license checkout	4-15
User Manager : Enable pagination when requesting large set of users from LDAP server	4-15
Bug Tracking Tool : Create Jira tickets for Jira projects that use single select custom fields	4-15
Admin Interface : Improved logging for Polyspace Access services	4-15

R2020b

Verification Setup	5-2
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with Renesas SH C compilers	5-2
Cygwin Support: Create Polyspace projects automatically by using Cygwin 3.x build commands	5-2

C++17 Support: Run Polyspace analysis on code with C++17 features . . .	5-2
AUTOSAR Support: Analysis more resilient to ARXML errors	5-3
AUTOSAR Support: Specify file and folder patterns to exclude from analysis	5-3
AUTOSAR Support: Specify AUTOSAR software component behaviors and data types using more refined syntax	5-3
Configuration from Build System: Generate a project file or analysis options file by using a JSON compilation database	5-4
Configuration from Build System: Specify how Polyspace imports compiler macro definitions	5-4
Configuration from Build System: Compiler configuration cached from prior runs for improved performance	5-4
polyspacePackNGo Function : Generate and package Polyspace option files from a Simulink model	5-5
Polyspace and MATLAB Integration : Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB programmatically without user interaction	5-5
polyspace.ModelLinkOptions Object : Configure object to analyze code generated as a model reference	5-6
Offloading Analysis : Submit Polyspace analysis jobs from CI server to a dedicated analysis cluster	5-6
Offloading Analysis : Server-side errors reported back to client side	5-6
Changes in analysis options and binaries	5-7
Verification Results	5-8
Changes in run-time checks	5-8
Updated code metrics specifications	5-8
Reviewing Results	5-10
Results Export: Export Polyspace results to external formats such as SARIF JSON	5-10
Simulink Block Annotation : Annotate Simulink blocks from Polyspace user interface to justify Polyspace results	5-10
User Authentication : Use a credentials file to pass your Polyspace Access credentials at the command line	5-10
Importing Review Information: Accept information in source or destination results folder in case of merge conflicts	5-11
Functionality being removed: Polyspace Metrics	5-12
Code Quality Improvement Progress: Compare results from current run to previous runs and determine progress in code quality improvement . .	5-12
Code Quality Objectives: Define custom quality objectives definitions and apply them to specific projects	5-13
Project Selection: Find a project in the Project Explorer through a text filter	5-14
Functionality being removed: Automatic Orange Tester	5-14
Polyspace Access Installation	5-16
Bug Tracking Tool: Integrate with Jira Software Cloud	5-16
Cluster Admin Settings: Validate values of settings on demand or on save	5-16
HTTPS Configuration: Configure services without specifying ports or SSL certificates	5-16
Functionality Replaced: Polyspace Access embedded LDAP	5-16
Changes in Polyspace Access docker containers, options, and binaries . .	5-17

Verification Setup	6-2
Checking Initialization Code: Analyze initialization code alone before checking remaining program	6-2
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with MPLAB XC8 C compilers	6-2
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis to emulate MPLAB XC16 and XC32 compilers	6-3
Source Code Encoding: Non-ASCII characters in source code analyzed and displayed without errors	6-3
Simulink Support : Analyze custom C code in C Function blocks	6-3
Project Creation from AUTOSAR Configuration: Troubleshoot project creation more easily with resolution hints	6-4
Jenkins Support : Use sample Jenkins Pipeline script to run Polyspace as part of continuous delivery pipeline	6-5
Changes in analysis options and binaries	6-5
Changes in MATLAB functions, options object and properties:	6-5
Verification Results	6-7
Checks on Initialization Code: Verify that global variables are initialized after warm reboot	6-7
Changes in run-time checks	6-7
Reviewing Results	6-9
AUTOSAR Support: Navigate from Polyspace findings to AUTOSAR ARXML specifications	6-9
Bug Tracking Tool Support: Create Redmine tickets for Polyspace Access results and assign to developers	6-9
Simulink Support: Navigate from generated code in Polyspace Access to blocks in model	6-10
Results Review: See review history of findings	6-11
Results Review: See the configuration options used for analysis	6-12
Code Quality Objectives: Customize thresholds used to track the quality of your code	6-14
Project Dashboard: Open results by clicking Dashboard charts	6-14
Bug Tracking Tool Support: Manage tickets for multiple findings	6-15
Results Review: View error call graph	6-15
Results Review: View variable access graph	6-15
Exporting Results: Export only results that must be reviewed to satisfy software quality objectives (SQOs)	6-16
Report Generation: Configure report generator to communicate with Polyspace Access over HTTPS	6-16
Report Generation: Navigate to Polyspace Access Results List from report	6-16
Polyspace Access Installation	6-18
Installation and Configuration: Issue Tracker service	6-18
Installation and Configuration: Change in default location of Polyspace Access data volume and working directories	6-18

Verification Setup	7-2
Shared Variables Mode: Run a less extensive Code Prover analysis on complete application to compute global variable sharing and usage only	7-2
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Cosmic compilers	7-2
Simulink Support: Analyze generated code by using contextual buttons on the Simulink Editor toolstrip	7-3
Simulink Support: Verify custom code called from C Caller blocks and Stateflow charts in context of model	7-3
Simulink Support: Compare two Polyspace result sets and see the effect of changes in model or code generation parameters	7-5
Configuration from Build System: Compiler version automatically detected from build system	7-5
Changes in analysis options and binaries	7-6
Changes in MATLAB functions, options object and properties	7-7
Verification Results	7-9
Function Stub Improvements: See fewer orange checks from default conservative assumptions on pointer arguments	7-9
MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.12: Dynamic memory allocation shall not be used	7-9
Reviewing Results	7-10
Code Annotations: Justify Code Prover results by using annotations spread over multiple lines	7-10
Polyspace Access Installation	7-11
User Authentication : Use LDAP search filters to restrict number of users to authenticate	7-11
User Management : Update list of users from LDAP database or LDIF file	7-11

Verification Setup	8-2
Polyspace-only Licenses: Install Polyspace without MATLAB installation	8-2
New Polyspace Products Supporting Continuous Integration: Perform automated code analysis after code submission with Polyspace Code Prover Server and Polyspace Code Prover Access	8-2

Code Prover Analysis Engine Separated from Viewer: Run Code Prover analysis on server and view the results from multiple client machines	8-3
Continuous Integration Support: Run Code Prover on server class computers with continuous upload to Polyspace Access web interface	8-4
Continuous Integration Support : Set up testing criteria based on Code Prover static analysis results	8-6
Continuous Integration Support: Set up email notification with summary of Code Prover results after analysis	8-6
Offloading Polyspace Analysis to Servers: Use Polyspace desktop products on client side and server products on server side	8-7
Collaborative Review Support : Upload results from Polyspace user interface to Polyspace Access web interface and share results using web links	8-9
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with ARM v5 and v6 compilers	8-11
Updated GCC, Clang, and Visual C++ Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with GCC versions 7.x, Clang versions 4.x or 5.x, or Microsoft Visual C++ 2017 compilers	8-12
Simulink Toolstrip: Analyze generated code using contextual buttons in Simulink Editor	8-12
Changes in analysis options and binaries	8-13
Changes in MATLAB functions, options object and properties	8-15
Verification Results	8-17
Recursion Detection: See list of recursion cycles in C/C++ project	8-17
Infinite Recursions: Simple infinite recursions detected by checks for non-terminating calls	8-17
Updated code metrics specifications	8-18
Reviewing Results	8-21
Source Code Navigation: Keep result pinned while navigating through source code	8-21
Report Generation: Generate Polyspace reports faster than previous releases	8-23
Report Generation : Generate single file for HTML reports	8-23
Project Dashboard : Track progress of code quality via Polyspace results	8-23
Project Dashboard : Compare Polyspace Code Prover results against Software Quality Objectives	8-25
Collaborative Review Support : Review Polyspace Code Prover results and source code in web browser	8-25
Collaborative Review Support : Share Polyspace Code Prover results using web links	8-27
Project Authorization Management : Create and enforce authorization policies for access to project	8-27
Bug Tracking Tool Support : Create JIRA issues for Polyspace Code Prover results and assign to developer	8-28

Verification Setup	9-2
Configuration from Build System: Automatically generate Polyspace configuration modules from build system	9-2
C11 and C++14 Support: Run Polyspace analysis on code with C11 or C++14 features	9-2
Autodetection of Concurrency Primitives: Multitasking model detected from C11 multithreading functions	9-3
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Renesas compilers	9-3
AUTOSAR Support: Provide multiple root folders for sources	9-4
AUTOSAR Support: Run Polyspace on AUTOSAR software components by using MATLAB scripts	9-4
AUTOSAR Support: Provide compiler options by tracing your build command	9-4
Function Pointer Calls: Verify functions called through function pointers despite type mismatch	9-5
Check Behavior on Overflows: Fine-tune the behavior of checks based on signedness of integer	9-6
Changes in analysis options and binaries	9-7
Changes in MATLAB option object properties and option values	9-9
Verification Results	9-11
C++ Specific Checks: View more pertinent results for incorrect object oriented programming and exception handling checks	9-11
Checks on List-Initialization of Arrays: Detect list-initialization with excess initializer clauses (C++11 and beyond)	9-14
Reviewing Results	9-15
AUTOSAR Support: Focus review to specific software components with queries based on regular expressions	9-15
AUTOSAR Support: See visual representation of runnables and associated files for each software component	9-16
Header Files Access: Open your project header files directly from the point of inclusion	9-18

Verification Setup	10-2
AUTOSAR Support: Set up modular Polyspace analysis for AUTOSAR software components automatically	10-2
MATLAB Coder Support: Run Polyspace on C/C++ code generated from MATLAB code without additional setup	10-3
Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Texas Instruments, IAR or CodeWarrior compilers	10-4

Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with GCC versions 5.x or 6.x, or Clang version 3.x compilers	10-4
Configuration from Build System: Include or exclude sources when generating Polyspace project using polyspace-configure	10-5
Support for IBM Rational Rhapsody to be removed	10-6
Changes in analysis options and binaries	10-6
Changes in MATLAB option object properties	10-9
Verification Results	10-12
AUTOSAR Support: Check for run-time mismatch between AUTOSAR specifications and code implementation	10-12
MISRA C++ Support: Check for overriding of standard library functions, missing const qualifiers and other MISRA C++ rules	10-13
MISRA C:2012 Directives: Detect opportunities for data hiding	10-13
Rule for Source Line Length: Constrain number of characters per line in your code	10-13
Reviewing Results	10-14
Concurrency Modeling: View all tasks and interrupts extracted from code and Polyspace configuration in one view	10-14
Variables Reporting: Export variable list to text file for automated reading	10-15

R2017b

Verification Setup	11-2
Green Hills Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Green Hills Compiler	11-2
OSEK Multitasking Support: Detect the multitasking configuration for your OSEK application automatically	11-2
Polyspace API in MATLAB: Configure analysis, run analysis, and read analysis results with a single MATLAB object	11-3
Compiler-Specific Keywords: Nonstandard compiler-specific keywords are only supported when you specify compiler	11-4
POSIX and BSD Standards: Use functions from these standards without additional setup	11-4
Changes in analysis options and binaries	11-4
Verification Results	11-7
Stack Size Computation: Determine maximum stack usage by a C program and individual functions	11-7
MISRA C:2012 Directive 1.1: Detect instances of implementation-specific behavior in your code	11-7
CERT C Support: Identify CERT C violations using run-time error checks	11-7
Overlapping Memory Detection: Find cases where source and destination arguments of memcpy overlap	11-8

Changes to coding rule checking	11-8
Reviewing Results	11-10
Run-Time Error Cause: Navigate to and view the cause of red nonterminating loops or function calls	11-10
Results Review Workflow: Sort and filter results by subtype	11-11
Result Review Workflow: Hide results that you reviewed once and justified through source code annotations	11-12
Code Annotations: Justify results or define your own format with a new annotation format	11-13
MISRA Comments and Code Annotations: Import your existing MISRA C:2004 justifications to MISRA C:2012 results	11-14
Variable Relationships in Tooltips: Check if variables in operation are related from previous operation	11-15
Result Status: Assign statuses that directly correspond to stages of development workflow	11-15
Function Call Hierarchy: View and navigate to function callers and callees by clicking function name	11-16

R2017a

Verification Setup	12-2
Unified User Interface: Create and maintain a single Polyspace project for Bug Finder and Code Prover analysis	12-2
Improved Speed and Precision: Run analysis faster and receive fewer orange checks as compared to previous releases	12-5
TASKING Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Altium TASKING compiler	12-5
Updated Visual C++ Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Microsoft Visual C++ 2015 compiler	12-5
Autodetection of Concurrency Primitives: Multitasking model detected from Windows or μ C/OS II multithreading functions	12-6
Manual Multitasking Setup: Functions beginning and ending critical sections do not need to be defined	12-6
Manual Multitasking Setup: main Function Not Required	12-6
Specifying Function Names for Options: Choose from prepopulated list in user interface instead of entering manually	12-6
Polyspace API in MATLAB: Create MATLAB objects from Polyspace projects to run analysis	12-7
Improved support for user implementations of standard library functions	12-8
Improvement in automatic project creation from build systems	12-8
Changes in analysis options and binaries	12-9
Changes in MATLAB options object	12-11
Change in temporary folder location	12-12
Verification Results	12-13
Integers in Floating Point: See improved analysis precision for floating point variables that always take integer values	12-13

New Code Metrics: See number of lines in header files and number of local variables per function	12-13
Checks Green by Definition: Distinguish operations that are safe by definition from operations that are proven safe	12-14
Function Pointer Signature Mismatch: View orange checks instead of red when the mismatch cannot be proven	12-14
Structures with Volatile Fields: See improved analysis precision and apply constraints if necessary	12-15
Changes to coding rule checking	12-15
Reviewing Results	12-17
Easier Review: View verification assumptions, see unreachable and aliased function calls in call graph	12-17
Folder Names in Results: Filter or group analysis results by source folder names	12-18
Code to Model Traceability: Switch easily between identifiers in generated code and corresponding blocks in model	12-18
Polyspace API in MATLAB: Read Polyspace analysis results from MATLAB	12-20

R2016b

Verification Setup	13-2
Diab Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace verification easily for code compiled with Wind River Diab compiler	13-2
Multitasking Code Verification Setup: Specify cyclic tasks and nonpreemptable interrupts directly as verification options	13-2
Improved source and include folder management	13-2
Writable Examples: Modify example projects and restore original versions	13-3
Run verification on .psprj file from the command line	13-3
Polyspace API in MATLAB: Configure and run Polyspace using MATLAB objects	13-3
Configuration Parameters Help: View descriptions of Polyspace options in Simulink configuration parameters	13-4
Eclipse Build Support: Set up Polyspace verification from Eclipse build command	13-4
Visual Studio 2010 add-in support to be removed from installation	13-5
Support for Rhapsody 8.1	13-5
DOS Mode Warning on Linux: Compilation warning for DOS inconsistencies	13-5
Faster Restart for Remote Verification: Reuse compilation results from a previous analysis	13-6
Internal Memory Limits Removed: Expect fewer analysis failures from memory-intensive processes	13-6
Support for local threads	13-6
Changes in Target & Compiler analysis options	13-6
Changes in analysis options and binaries	13-7
Verification Results	13-10

Subnormal Float Detection: Identify loss of precision from operations that lead to subnormal results	13-10
Local Variable Size Estimation: Find total size of local variables in a function	13-10
Changes to coding rule checking	13-10
Metrics for C++ Templates: View code complexity metrics for instances of C++ templates	13-12
Mutual Exclusion Support: View precise ranges for shared variables protected by critical sections and temporally exclusive tasks	13-12
Improved Embedded Coder Support: View more precise results when generated code uses lookup tables or large data structures	13-14
Precise Buffer Manipulation Functions: View more precise results on complete copying of structures	13-14
Assumption for Stubbed Pointers: Review fewer warnings from pointers coming from external code	13-14
Assumption for Structures with Volatile Fields: Review fewer warnings from partly volatile structures	13-15
Expected Infinite Loop Detection: Avoid justifying run-time errors on infinite loops that you introduce deliberately	13-15
Mapping to Standard Functions: View precise results by mapping imprecisely analyzed functions to corresponding standard functions	13-16
Reviewing Results	13-17
Interactive Graphical Display: Click graphs on Dashboard to filter results	13-17
Float Range Display: View float variables with narrow ranges more clearly	13-17
Event History for Coding Rules: Navigate easily between two locations in code that together cause a rule violation	13-17
Subcheck Display for Standard Library Routines: Determine easily from visual inspection which subcheck failed	13-18
Results from Macros: Coding rule violations highlighted on macro definitions instead of macro instances	13-18
Verification Objectives in Eclipse: Create review scopes to focus your review	13-19
Filtered Report: Reuse result filters for generated report	13-19
Results Export: Export results to text file for computing graphs and statistics	13-19
Coding Rule Graphs in Report: View breakdown of coding rules violations by rule number and file	13-19
Constraints in Report: Add comments about external constraints and view comments in report	13-20
English Reports in Non-English Locales: Generate English reports on operating systems with a different language	13-20
Improved PDF report generation	13-21
Change in report template location	13-21
Changes in Polyspace User Interface	13-21

Verification Setup	14-2
Files to Review: Generate results for only specified files and folders	14-2
Faster MISRA Rule Checking: Check coding rules more quickly and efficiently	14-2
S-Function Analysis: Launch analysis of S-Function code from Simulink	14-2
Polyspace Metrics Tomcat Upgrade: Use upgraded default Tomcat server or custom Tomcat version	14-3
Project Language Flexibility: Change your project language at any time	14-3
External Constraint on Pointers: Specify certain initialization with full range for pointer arguments and return values of stubbed functions	14-3
Source Code Search: Search large applications more quickly	14-4
Polyspace TargetLink plug-in supports data from structures	14-5
Polyspace Eclipse plug-in results location moved	14-5
Improvements in automatic project creation from build command	14-5
Improvements in checking of previously supported MISRA C rules	14-6
Variables with constraints not counted as orange sources	14-6
Changes in analysis options	14-7
Verification Results	14-9
Floating-Point Support: Propagate ranges more precisely for long double variables and enable verification mode to incorporate infinities and NaNs	14-9
Absolute address usage valid by default	14-11
Run-time checks renamed	14-11
Reviewing Results	14-13
Autocompletion for Review Comments: Partially type previous comment to select complete comment	14-13
Default Layouts: Switch easily between project setup and results review in user interface	14-13
Persistent Filter States: Apply filters once and view filtered results across multiple runs	14-13
Updated Polyspace Metrics Interface: View summary of project and metrics	14-14
Improved Result Display for File-by-File Verification: View combined summary of results for all files in user interface	14-14
Simplified Variable Access: View task names instead of aliases	14-14

Verification Setup	15-2
---------------------------------	-------------

Option to Suppress Non-initialization Checks: Customize verification by suppressing non-initialization checks	15-2
Autodetection of Multitasking Primitives: Analyze source code with multitasking primitives from POSIX or VxWorks without manual setup	15-2
Microsoft Visual C++ 2013 Support: Analyze code developed in Microsoft Visual C++ 2013	15-3
GNU 4.9 and Clang 3.5 Support: Analyze code compiled with GCC 4.9 or Clang 3.5	15-3
Improvements in automatic project creation from build command	15-3
Start Page: Get quickly familiar with Polyspace Code Prover	15-4
Saved Layouts: Save your preferred layouts of the Polyspace user interface	15-4
Renaming of labels in Polyspace user interface	15-5
Including options multiple times	15-5
Updated Support for TargetLink	15-6
Improved handling of <code>__declspec</code>	15-6
Changes in analysis options	15-6
Binaries removed	15-11
Support for Visual Studio 2008 to be removed	15-11
Import Visual Studio project removed	15-12
Verification Results	15-13
Improved Concurrency Detection: View more precise sharing and protection results based on dynamic information such as data flow in branching statements and protection on individual fields of a structure	15-13
Additional MISRA C:2012 Support: Detect violations of all MISRA C:2012 rules except rules 22.x	15-15
Improved precision for mathematical functions	15-16
Improvements in checking of previously supported MISRA C rules	15-16
Change in Correctness Condition Check	15-18
Reviewing Results	15-19
Improved Review Capability: View result details and add review comments in one window	15-19
Enhanced Review Scope: Filter coding rule violations from display in one click	15-19
Additional Call Graph Showing Task Creation	15-19
Improvements in Polyspace Metrics workflow	15-20
Improvements in Polyspace Plugin for Eclipse	15-20
Improvements in Report Templates	15-20
Configuration Associated with Result Not Opened by Default	15-21
XML and RTF report formats removed	15-21

R2015a

Verification Setup	16-2
Simplified workflow for project setup and results review with a unified user interface	16-2

Improvements in search capability in the user interface	16-3
Support for GCC 4.8	16-4
Polyspace plug-in for Simulink improvements	16-4
Polyspace binaries being removed	16-4
Import Visual Studio project being removed	16-5
Verification Results	16-6
Detection of stack pointer dereference outside scope	16-6
Isolated ellipsis for variable number of function arguments supported ..	16-6
Improvement in pointer comparisons	16-7
Improvements in coding rules checking	16-8
Reviewing Results	16-10
Context-sensitive help for code complexity metrics, MISRA-C:2012, and custom coding rules	16-10
Review of code complexity metrics and global variable usage in user interface	16-10
Review of latest results compared to the last run	16-11
Guidance for reviewing Polyspace Code Prover checks in C code	16-11
Simplified results infrastructure	16-12

R2014b

Verification Setup	17-2
Improved verification speed	17-2
Support for Mac OS	17-2
Support for C++11	17-2
Code Editor for editing source files in Polyspace user interface	17-3
Local file-by-file verification	17-3
Simulink plug-in support for custom project files	17-3
TargetLink support updated	17-3
AUTOSAR support added	17-4
Default verification level changed	17-4
Default mode changed for C++ code verification in user interface	17-4
Improved global menu in user interface	17-5
Improved Project Manager perspective	17-5
Changed analysis options	17-6
Remote launcher and queue manager renamed	17-6
Polyspace binaries being removed	17-7
Import Visual Studio project being removed	17-7
Verification Results	17-8
Support for MISRA C:2012	17-8
Improved verification precision for non-initialized variables	17-8
New checks for functions not called	17-10
Improved precision level	17-11
Reviewing Results	17-12

Context-sensitive help for verification options and checks	17-12
Updated Software Quality Objectives	17-12
Improved Results Manager perspective	17-12
Error mode removed from coding rules checking	17-14

R2014a

Verification Setup	18-2
Automatic project setup from build systems	18-2
Support for GNU 4.7 and Microsoft Visual Studio C++ 2012 dialects ...	18-2
Documentation in Japanese	18-2
Preferences file moved	18-3
Support for batch analysis security levels	18-3
Interactive mode for remote verification	18-3
Default text editor	18-3
Support for Windows 8 and Windows Server 2012	18-3
Check model configuration automatically before analysis	18-4
Function replacement in Simulink plug-in	18-4
Polyspace binaries being removed	18-4
Verification Results	18-6
Support for additional Coding Rules (MISRA C:2004 Rule 18.2, MISRA C++ Rule 5-0-11)	18-6
Improvement of floating point precision	18-6
Reviewing Results	18-7
Results folder appearance in Project Browser	18-7
Results Manager improvements	18-8
Simplification of coding rules checking	18-9
Additional back-to-model support for Simulink plug-in	18-10

R2013b

Verification Results	19-2
Proven absence of certain run-time errors in C and C++ code	19-2
Identification of variables exceeding specified range limits	19-2
Graphical display of variable reads and writes	19-2
Calculation of range information for variables, function parameters and return values	19-2
Reviewing Results	19-3
Color-coding of run-time errors directly in code	19-3

Quality metrics for tracking conformance to software quality objectives	19-3
Web-based dashboard providing code metrics and quality status	19-3
Guided review-checking process for classifying results and run-time error status	19-4
Comparison with R2013a Polyspace products	19-4

R2022b

Version: 10.7

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with Intel C++ Compiler Classic (icc/icl) compilers

In R2022b, if you build your source code by using an Intel® C++ Compiler Classic (icc/icl) compiler, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis.

Target Environment	
Compiler	intel
Target processor type	x86_64

At the command line, you specify a compiler by using the `-compiler` option. For instance:

```
polyspace-code-prover-server -sources file.c -compiler intel ...
```

For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

See also `Intel C++ Compiler Classic (icc/icl) (-compiler intel)`.

You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of an Intel C++ Compiler Classic (icc/icl) compiler. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server™

Updated Clang Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled by using Clang version 12.x

In R2022b, Polyspace supports Clang compiler version 12.x natively. If you build your source code by using Clang compiler version 12.x, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis.

Target Environment	
Compiler	clang12.x
Target processor type	x86_64

At the command line, you specify a compiler by using the option `-compiler`. For instance:

```
polyspace-bug-finder-server -sources file.c -compiler clang12.x ...
```

For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of this compiler. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions, such as keywords and pragmas.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

C++ Container Support: Faster analysis of code that uses C++ containers such as `std::vector` and `std::map`

In R2022b, you can enable a faster Code Prover analysis of C++ code that uses Standard Template Library (STL) containers such as `std::vector` and `std::map`. The analysis uses smart stubs for methods from these containers and does not attempt to check the container implementations.

To enable stubbing of C++ containers, use `stdlibcxx` for the option `Libraries used (-library)`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

AUTOSAR Support: Improved troubleshooting assistance for project setup

In R2022b, when you run a Polyspace analysis based on AUTOSAR specifications, the analysis provides better assistance for troubleshooting errors and allows easier setup.

In particular, you can see these improvements:

- You can now include multiple custom headers to troubleshoot undefined types and macros.

When setting up a Polyspace project from AUTOSAR specifications, you can `#include` multiple headers into source files only for the purposes of the Polyspace analysis. These headers can contain data type and macro definitions that are not available in your AUTOSAR ARXML specifications, but are needed for analyzing your code implementation.

To force inclusion of headers into sources, use the option `-include fileName` with the `polyspace-autosar` command. The option `-include` works in the same way as the option `Include (-include)` available with a regular Polyspace analysis (not based on AUTOSAR specifications).

See also `polyspace-autosar`.

- You can locate ARXML parsing errors more easily.

The ARXML parsing phase of the analysis now starts with a preliminary check for XML validity. Invalid XML tagging errors are shown with the precise location of the error (line, column).

- Duplicate array types in ARXML do not lead to code duplication.

The ARXML parsing phase of the analysis now handles duplicate array types in the ARXML correctly. The analysis prints a warning if the same array type is declared multiple times in the ARXML specifications, but enters only a single type in the generated `main` function. This generated `main` function is used for subsequent code proving. Previously, duplicate types were recorded differently in the generated `main` function leading to a large-sized `main` function in some cases.

You can also disable the duplicate type declaration warnings using the option `-Wno-autosar-xmlDuplicateType`.

See also `polyspace-autosar`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Object Size Limitation Removed: Analyze code containing large data structures

In R2022b, Polyspace can analyze code containing large objects. Previously, objects with size greater than 256 MB caused the analysis to stop. Such large-sized objects typically result from deep nesting of structures containing array fields or multidimensional arrays of large structures.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Access™ (Polyspace as You Code)

Reviewing Results

Results Export: Updated color property when you export Code Metrics results to JSON SARIF format

In R2022b, the Polyspace Code Metrics **color** property is empty when you export Polyspace results to a JSON SARIF file.

Previously, the value of this **color** property was **BLACK**. The updated value is more consistent with the Code Metrics color for other Polyspace export formats.

Compatibility Considerations

If you pass the JSON SARIF file to a script or third party tool that uses the Code Metrics color to manage Polyspace results, update the script or tool configuration to filter on an empty **color** field instead of a **BLACK** field value.

Changes in the polyspace-access Command Options

Options **-new-findings** and **-review-status** will be removed in a future release

Warns

The polyspace-access command options in this table will be removed in a future release. Use the new options instead.

Current Option	Usage	New Option
<code>-new-findings</code>	Select only new findings when you export or assign an owner to unassigned findings.	<p><code>-resolution new</code></p> <p>This new option lets you filter by additional resolution types such as Fixed, Unresolved, and resolved. See also</p> <p>You get an error if you use this option with a project that contains only one run. In this case, all findings are new and you do not need to specify this option.</p>
<code>-review-status Unreviewed "To investigate" "To fix" Justified "No action planned" "Not a defect" Other</code>	Select findings with one of the specified review status when you export or assign an owner to unassigned findings.	<code>-status Unreviewed "To investigate" "To fix" Justified "No action planned" "Not a defect" Other</code>

For accuracy and consistency, two filter have been updated in the Polyspace Access interface. The filter labels changes are:

- State → Resolution

- Review Status → Status

See “Filter Polyspace Access Results”.

Products: Polyspace Access

polyspace-access Command: Manage review information and compare project runs

In R2022b, you can manage Polyspace Access projects programmatically by using the `polyspace-access` command to perform the operations in this table.

Operation	Command
Add, edit, or remove review information for a finding	<pre>polyspace-access -review <textFileOrfindingID> -project-path <fullProjectPath> <review-options> -host ...</pre> <p>Use this command to assign review information such as status, severity, comment, owner, or bug tracking tool ticket.</p> <p>To assign the same review information to multiple findings, specify the path of a text file where you store the finding IDs of those findings.</p> <p>For example, to perform a batch assignment for a large number of findings from project <code>public/example/Bug Finder</code>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Create a text file <code>findingsIDs.txt</code> where you store the finding IDs of all the findings that you want to review. In the file, list one finding ID per line. 2 Pass the file <code>findingsIDs.txt</code> to the <code>-review</code> command: <pre>polyspace-access -review findingsIDs.txt -project-path "public/example/Bug Finder" -set-status "To investigate" -set-severity Medium -host ...</pre> <p>To assign review information to a single finding, specify its finding ID.</p> <p>See also “Make Batch Edits to Review Information for New Findings”.</p>


Operation	Command
Compare two runs from the same project	<pre>polyspace-access -export <runID1> -baseline <runID2> - resolution <findingResolution> -output <filePath> - host ...</pre> <p>Here:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • runID1 is the run ID of the run that you use as a current run. • runID2 is the run ID of the run that you use as a baseline for the comparison. <p>The baseline run must be older than the current run.</p> <p>The command outputs a file with a list of findings filtered by one of these resolution types:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New • Fixed • Resolved • Unresolved <p>See also “Comparison Mode at the Command Line”.</p>

You can use these commands as part of automation scripts when managing your projects. Previously, you performed the operations in this table only from the Polyspace Access user interface.

Products: .

Polyspace Access: Import review details and justifications from existing projects

In R2022b, you can import review information between Polyspace Access projects from the Polyspace Access interface or at the command line. For instance, if you justify findings in the file `test.c` in one project, you can reuse those justifications in another project that also uses file `test.c`.



After you import the review information, you can use filters in the Polyspace Access interface toolbar or view the **Result Details** pane to determine which review information was imported.

At the command-line, use option `polyspace-access -import-reviews` to import review information from a source project to a destination project. For example:

```
polyspace-access -import-reviews <source> -to-project-path <destination> -import-strategy <strategy> -host ...
```

Where `-import-strategy` specifies whether the source always overwrites the destination or not.

After you complete the import, you can also use the command `polyspace-access -export -imported-reviews` to generate a file that lists findings filtered by type of import, for instance all findings where the review information was overwritten during the import.

Previously, to import review information, you had to download results from Polyspace Access, import from previous results at the command line, and then upload the results again. The updated method takes fewer steps. You can also see in the result details which review information is new in the current result and which review information is imported.

See “Import Review Information from Existing Polyspace Access Projects”.

Products: Polyspace Access

R2022a

Version: 10.6

New Features


Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup


Updated Clang Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled by using Clang versions 6.x to 11.x

In R2022a, Polyspace supports Clang compiler versions 6.x to 11.x natively. If you build your source code by using these Clang compiler versions, you can specify the corresponding compiler option values for your Polyspace analysis.

- 


Target Environment	
Compiler	clang6.x
Target processor type	x86_64

cclang6.x for LLVM release 6.0.0 and 6.0.1.

- 


Target Environment	
Compiler	clang7.x
Target processor type	x86_64

cclang7.x for LLVM release 7.0.0, 7.0.1, and 7.1.0.

- 


Target Environment	
Compiler	clang8.x
Target processor type	x86_64

cclang8.x for LLVM release 8.0.0 and 8.0.1.

- 


Target Environment	
Compiler	clang9.x
Target processor type	x86_64

cclang9.x for LLVM release 9.0.0 and 9.0.1.

- 

Target Environment	
Compiler	clang10.x
Target processor type	x86_64

cclang10.x for LLVM release 10.0.0 and 10.0.1.



`clang11.x` for LLVM release 11.0.0, 11.0.1, and 11.1.0.

At the command line, you specify a compiler by using the option `-compiler`. For instance:

```
polyspace-bug-finder-server -sources file.c -compiler clang9.x ...
```

For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

Because of the native support, you can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of these compilers. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compilers and compiler-specific language extensions, such as keywords and pragmas.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Configuration from Build System: Import compiler macro definitions automatically without tracing build

In R2022a, Polyspace can import macro definitions from compilers that provide native options to list the compiler predefined macros, for instance `gcc -dm -E`. Polyspace passes the relevant options to the compiler and extracts the macro definitions from the output, without tracing your build.

With this new macro import strategy, when you use `polyspace-configure` to create a Polyspace project or to generate an analysis options file from your build system, Polyspace automatically attempts to import macro definitions by trying import strategies in this order of priority:

- 1 From compiler by using native compiler options to list macro definitions. This strategy is available only for compilers that support listing macro definitions.
- 2 From source code tokens. Polyspace uses every non-keyword token in your source code to query your compiler for macro definitions. This strategy is available only if Polyspace can trace your build. This strategy is not available if you use a JSON compilation database to extract your build configuration.
- 3 From a predefined allow list. Polyspace uses the allow list to query your compiler for macro definitions.


Previously, if you used a JSON compilation database or if a third-party software prevented `polyspace-configure` from tracing your build, for example System Integrity Protection on Mac OS, Polyspace attempted only the allow list strategy to import macro definitions.

See also `polyspace-configure`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Access (Polyspace as You Code).

Simulink Support: Polyspace updates generated code when model changes

In R2022a, the workflow for analyzing code generated from Simulink® models is simplified. If you have Embedded Coder®, Polyspace checks the model for any new change every time you click **Run Analysis**. If you have changed the model since the last Polyspace analysis, Polyspace invokes Embedded Coder to refresh the generated code before starting the new analysis.



See Run Polyspace Analysis on Code Generated with Embedded Coder.

Previously, to reflect an updated model in your code, you regenerated code explicitly. Now, Polyspace detects changes in your model and regenerates code if the model changes.

Additional Considerations: After updating your model, you still need to generate code explicitly if any of the following is true:

- You do not use Embedded Coder to generate code.
- The model is configured to generate code as a model reference.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Simulink Support: Analyze generated code by bounding number of calls to step function

In R2022a, you can reduce the number of unproven orange checks when verifying generated code by bounding the number of calls to the step function in the code. The step function in the generated code corresponds to all actions performed in one simulation step of the model. If you know that a model or subsystem will run for a certain number of steps, you can provide this information to the Polyspace analysis and bound the number of calls to the step function.

Bounding the number of calls to the step function:

- Reduces the number of unproven orange checks. For instance, operations that might otherwise overflow a buffer when performed an unspecified number of times remain within the bounds of the buffer.
- Allows you to prove certain properties of the system *after* a certain number of simulation steps are completed.

For more information, see `-main-generator-bounded-loop`.

MATLAB Coder Support: Polyspace analysis takes into account MATLAB Coder settings for nonfinite numbers

In R2022a, a Polyspace analysis of C/C++ code generated from MATLAB® code has better support for nonfinite numbers. If the option **Support nonfinite numbers** is enabled in your code generation

settings (default behavior), a subsequent Polyspace analysis of the generated code takes this information into account. The analysis runs with the Polyspace option `Consider non finite floats (-allow-non-finite-floats)` enabled and correctly interprets infinities and NaN-s.

Previously, the analysis ignored the MATLAB Coder™ specification and produced false positives when the generated code explicitly used infinities and NaN-s.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Ignoring Code Annotations: Perform a worst-case analysis to see all results including previously justified ones

In R2022a, you can run a Polyspace analysis that ignores all code annotations justifying Polyspace results.

To avoid reviewing a result multiple times, you can add annotations specific to Polyspace to your code with review information such as justification for a result. Later runs take into account these annotations and show the review information in the results. In some cases, you might want to run a clean analysis as if the results have not been previously reviewed. Starting in R2022a, you can use the option `-ignore-code-annotations` to run such an analysis with no history. The analysis ignores the code annotations and shows all annotated results without any review information from the annotations.

See also:

- `-ignore-code-annotations`
- Annotate Code and Hide Known or Acceptable Results

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Functionality Being Removed: Coding standards checking and code metrics computation with Code Prover

In a future release, these functionalities will be removed from Code Prover and Code Prover Server:

- Calculating code metrics other than stack use metrics.
- Checking compliance with these coding rule standards:
 - MISRA C:2004
 - MISRA-AC-AGC
 - MISRA C:2012
 - JSF C++
 - MISRA C++:2008
 - Custom coding rules

You get a warning when you perform any of the preceding tasks by using Code Prover. To calculate the stack usage metrics, use the new option `-stack-usage`. Using the options `-code-metrics` and `-stack-usage` together might cause an error.

See:

- Calculate stack usage (`-stack-usage`)
- Use `-stack-usage` instead of `-code-metrics` to calculate stack usage metrics

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

If you used Code Prover to calculate code metrics and check compliance with coding standards, consider using Bug Finder instead. See:

- Migrate Code Prover Workflows for Checking Coding Standards and Code Metrics to Bug Finder
- Coding Standards
- Code Metrics

Functionality Being Removed: Polyspace desktop integration with Eclipse IDE

The Polyspace desktop product integration with Eclipse™-based IDEs will be removed in a future release.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Compatibility Considerations

To continue running Code Prover analyses and reviewing the analysis results, use the Polyspace Code Prover desktop product instead. See [Run Polyspace Code Prover on Desktop](#).

Functionality Removed: Polyspace stubs for Standard Template Library

Polyspace stubs for the C++ Standard Template Library (STL) have been removed. These stubs conform to the older C++98 Standard and were meant for quickly getting started with a C++ analysis. In most situations, your compiler implementation of the Standard Template Library is required for successful compilation of a C++ project with Polyspace.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

If you were using the Polyspace stubs when running an analysis, you will encounter a compilation error. To work around the error, provide your compiler implementation of the Standard Template Library for analysis.

Functionality Removed: Compilation assistant

The Polyspace compilation assistant is removed in R2022a. You get an error if you use option `-easy-setup-compile` at the command line.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Compatibility Considerations

If you use the compilation assistant in your Polyspace project:

- The option is automatically removed in the Polyspace user interface.
- Remove option `-easy-setup-compile` at the command line.

Alternatively, when you set up your Polyspace project:

- Use the `Compiler (-compiler)` option to specify a compiler that Polyspace supports natively if you compile your code by using that compiler.
- Use `polyspace-configure` to trace your build command and to obtain your compiler configuration. See `polyspace-configure`.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

Use `-stack-usage` instead of `-code-metrics` to calculate stack usage metrics

Warns

Starting in R2022a, to calculate the stack usage metrics of your code, at the command line, use the new option `Calculate stack usage (-stack-usage)` instead of `-code-metrics`. In the Polyspace UI, select the option in the **Check Behavior** pane. This option calculates these metrics:

- Maximum Stack Usage
- Minimum Stack Usage
- Program Maximum Stack Usage
- Program Minimum Stack Usage
- Lower Estimate of Size of Local Variables
- Higher Estimate of Size of Local Variables

See `Calculate Stack Usage` .

Previously, you calculated stack usage metrics with other code metrics by selecting the **Calculate Code Metrics** box in the **Coding Standard & Code Metrics** pane or by specifying the option `-code-metrics` in the command line. This workflow is not recommended and will be removed in a future release. To calculate the stack usage metrics, use `Calculate stack usage (-stack-usage)` . For instance, when calculating stack usage metrics, replace these commands:

```
polyspace-code-prover -sources file_name -code-metrics
```

with these commands:

```
polyspace-code-prover -sources file_name -stack-usage
```

Using the options `-code-metrics` and `-stack-usage` together might cause an error.

For calculating other code metrics, Bug Finder is the recommended tool. See [Migrate Code Prover Workflows for Checking Coding Standards and Code Metrics to Bug Finder](#) .

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Options `-respect-types-in-fields` and `-respect-types-in-globals` will be removed in a future release

Warns

These options will be removed in a future release:

- Respect types in fields (`-respect-types-in-fields`)
- Respect types in global variables (`-respect-types-in-globals`)

The options were originally introduced in Polyspace Code Prover to detect pathological constructions that increased the pointer analysis time significantly. For instance, the option `Respect types in global variables` (`-respect-types-in-globals`) prevents casts of nonpointer global variables to pointers by flagging their subsequent dereference as red or orange checks. Such casts previously increased the pointer analysis time significantly. Because of performance improvements in pointer analysis, these options are no longer required.

You can still detect the pathological constructions using Polyspace Bug Finder™. For instance, you can use the Bug Finder checker for CERT C: Rule INT36-C to flag conversions from integer to pointer.

Option `-no-stl-stubs` no longer supported

Errors

The option **No STL stubs** (`-no-stl-stubs`) is no longer supported. This option disabled use of Polyspace stubs for the C++ Standard Template Library (STL). Since these stubs are no longer provided in R2022a, there is no requirement to disable their use.

Changes in MATLAB function, options object and properties

Property `NoStlStubs` no longer supported

Errors

The property `NoStlStubs` is no longer supported. To disable use of Polyspace stubs for the C++ Standard Template Library (STL), you enabled this property as follows:

```
proj = polyspace.Project;  
proj.Configuration.InputsStubbing.NoStlStubs = true;
```

Since these stubs are no longer provided in R2022a, there is no requirement to disable their use.

Verification Results

Improved Pointer Analysis: New pointer analysis mode that keeps better records of pointers and pointed variables

In R2022a, you can enable a new mode for pointer analysis that can show more precise results if the execution paths leading to the results involve pointers. In this mode, the analysis can keep a more precise record of the relationship between pointers and pointed variables.

For instance, in this example, a pointer to a structure is cast to a pointer to char, and then its contents are initialized. Because of the cast, the later fields of the original structure stay non-initialized. Prior to R2022a, the analysis could not prove the non-initialized state of the later fields and showed an orange check when those fields were read. Because of the improved pointer analysis, you now see a red check.

```
struct myStruct {
    int firstField;
    int secondField;
};
int foo(){
    struct myStruct obj;
    struct myStruct *ptr = &obj;
    *(char *)ptr = 1;
    return obj.secondField;
}
```

To use the new mode for pointer analysis, use the option `-improve-pointer-analysis-precision`. You might see a change in the result of a Code Prover check if the execution path leading to the check involves pointers in some way. In most cases, the results are more precise (orange checks becoming green or red). In some cases, you might also see an increase in grey checks (code that was previously thought as reachable is now correctly shown as unreachable).

Because the new mode keeps more detailed record of the relations between pointers and pointed variables, it might not scale to large applications.

See also `-improve-pointer-analysis-precision`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover, Polyspace Code Prover Server

Reviewing Results

Results Export: Generate more accurate keys to track results across analysis runs

In R2022a, if you export Polyspace results to a CSV file, you can specify whether the generated result keys are calculated within the result function scope or the file scope. Along with the scope, the key is calculated by using the result names and types to identify each result. You use these keys to track results across analysis runs, for instance to remove duplicate results when you merge results from different modules that have common files.


To specify how the keys are calculated, use option `-key-mode flag` at the command line with `polyspace-report-generator` or `polyspace-results-export`, where *flag* is one of these :

- `file-scope`(default) — The entries in the **Key** column are calculated by using the result name, the result type, and the file scope. This corresponds to the current behavior when you export analysis results.
- `function-scope` — The entries in the **Key** column are calculated by using the result name, the result type, and the function location. If the result is not inside a function, the key is calculated by using the file scope. When you enable this mode, the keys of results that are inside functions are prefixed with FN.

In the Polyspace user interface:

- To export results with `function-scope` mode, create a menu item by going to **Tools > Preferences** and entering this command on the **Tools Menu** tab:

```
$POLYSPACE_ROOT\bin\polyspace-results-export.exe -results-dir $RESULT_DIR -key-mode function-scope
-format csv -output-name $RESULT_DIR
```



You can then export results by using the menu item you created from **Tools > External Tools**.

- To export results with `file-scope` mode, use the **Reporting > Export** menu.

When you enable `function-scope` mode, a new result key is generated between analysis runs only if there are changes within the function scope of that result. You can use the more accurate `function-scope` keys, along with the entries of the **File** and **Function** column to track relevant changes.

Previously, edits within the file could trigger a recalculation of result keys, even if there were no changes within the function where the result was located.

See also Enable Function Scope for Exported Keys.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Access.

Polyspace Access: Redesign of UI dashboard design for consistency and efficiency

The Polyspace Access Dashboard has been redesigned by modernizing and enhancing consistency and efficiency. The new global layout affects various cards, charts, graphs, numbers, and icons in Polyspace Access.

The screenshot displays the Polyspace Access Dashboard for a project named 'example (Code Prover)'. The interface includes a top navigation bar with tabs for RUN, DASHBOARDS, ENVIRONMENT, and REVIEW. A left sidebar contains a Project Explorer with a filter and a list of projects, including 'example (Code Prover)'. The main dashboard area features several key performance indicators (KPIs) and charts:

- Open Results:** Shows 29 Open, 29 New, 0 Assigned To Me, and 29 Unassigned.
- Polyspace:** Shows 3% progress, 32 Remaining, and an Exhaustive Threshold.
- Code Metrics:** Shows 0 Sub Projects, 125 Uncommented, 1 Files, and 25 Cyclomatic.
- Run-time Checks:** Shows 90% Selectivity, 12 Open, and 12 New. A legend indicates 3 Red, 8 Orange, 2 Gray, and 120 Green findings.
- Coding Standards:** Shows 136 Density, 17 Open, and 17 New. A legend indicates 17 To Do, 0 In Progress, and 0 Done.
- Trends:** A line chart titled 'Open findings over time' showing the number of open findings from 12/23/2021 9:12:00 to 12/23/2021 9:38:24.
- Details:** A table summarizing findings by name and status.

Name	Total	To Do	In Progress	Done
Red	3	3	-	-
Gray	2	2	-	-
Orange	8	7	-	1
Green	120	-	-	-
Coding Standards	17	17	-	-

Polyspace Access: Improved performance when viewing aggregate data from large project folders

Polyspace Access shows improved speed performance when opening different Polyspace Access dashboards. This speed improvement for loading dashboards is most notable when viewing aggregated project information for a folder that has many projects in it.

This table shows the improvements in loading times of the **Defects** dashboard for folders containing 500 and 2500 subprojects.


Folder Size	R2021b Loading Time	R2022a Loading Time
Folder containing 500 subprojects	45 seconds	1 second
Folder containing 2500 subprojects	50 seconds	3 seconds

Performance when loading dashboards was timed on a server using an Intel Xeon (Skylake IBRS) 4 core processor with 32GB of RAM and an SSD.

Polyspace Access: View code covered by verification in new graph

In R2022a, a **Code Covered By Verification** graph is now displayed in the **Run-time Checks**, **Defects**, and **Coding Standards** dashboards.

The **Code Covered By Verification** graph shows the number of files that were not analyzed due to situations such as compilation errors. For Code Prover run-time checks, the graph also shows the number of functions and code operations that were not checked because they were proven to be unreachable.



Polyspace Access Project Runs: Add labels to analysis runs that you upload to a project

In R2022a, you can add labels to a project run that you upload to Polyspace Access.

You can use labels to, for instance, identify project runs of interest more easily, or to associate a run with a specific branch or continuous integration build job.

To add or remove a label:



- At the command line, enter:

```
polyspace-access -add-label <LABEL> -run-id <RUN_ID> -host ...  
polyspace-access -remove-label <LABEL> -run-id <RUN_ID> -host ...
```

Use the `-list-project` flag to obtain the run ID of the latest run, or the `-list-runs` flag to obtain the run ID of older runs. See `polyspace-access`.

For example, to add label `myLabel` to a project run with run ID 1234, enter:

```
polyspace-access -label myLabel -run-id 1234 -host ...
```

- In the Polyspace Access interface, select a run on the toolstrip drop-down list and click  in the **Project Details** pane. To remove a label, select the label from the **Labels** list and click .

The screenshot displays the Polyspace Access dashboard. At the top, there is a 'DASHBOARD' header and a navigation bar with several icons. Below this, a dropdown menu shows the current project selected as 'ID 13186 - Labels C...'. A list of projects is visible, with 'ID 13186 - Labels Custom Label, otherCustomLabel - Upload Date 6/13/21, 6:59 PM' highlighted. The main content area is divided into two columns. The left column, titled 'Project Details', shows information for the selected project: Name 'Bug_Finder_Example (Bug Finder)', Author 'MathWorks', Language 'C', Tools 'Bug Finder', Coding Standards 'Custom Rules, Guidelines', and Number of Runs '3'. Below this, the 'Run (ID 13186)' section shows the Upload Date '6/13/21, 6:59 PM' and a list of labels: 'Custom Label' and 'otherCustomLabel'. The right column, titled 'Summary', displays 'Open Results' with a large '296 Open' count and a '0 Assigned To Me' count. At the bottom of the right column, the 'Polyspace' logo is visible.

Product: Polyspace Access.

polyspace-access Command: Assign SQO levels, move or delete a project, and view list of runs for a project

In R2022a, you can manage Polyspace Access projects programmatically by using the `polyspace-access` command to perform the operations listed in this table.

Operation	Command
Move or rename a project or folder	<pre>polyspace-access -move-project <SOURCE> -to-project-path <DESTINATION> -host ...</pre> <p>For example, to move project <code>public/myProjects/foo</code> to folder <code>public/myOtherProjects</code>, run this command :</p> <pre>polyspace-access -move-project public/myProjects/foo -to-project-path public/myOtherProjects/foo -host ...</pre>
Delete a project or folder	<pre>polyspace-access -delete-project <PROJECT_PATH> -host ...</pre> <p>The command deletes the project from the Project Explorer but not from the Polyspace Access database. To delete a project from the database, see Delete Outdated Projects.</p>
Assign a Software Quality Objective (SQO) level to a project	<pre>polyspace-access -set-sqo <PROJECT_PATH> [-name <SQO_NAME>] -level <SQO_LEVEL> -host ...</pre> <p>The command assigns the specified <code><SQO_LEVEL></code> (1 through 6 or "exhaustive") for the current SQO definition. You can optionally use the <code>-name</code> flag to assign a different SQO definition. See also Quality Objectives Dashboard in Polyspace Access Web Interface.</p>
View the SQO definition and level currently assigned to a project.	<pre>polyspace-access -get-sqo <PROJECT_PATH> -host ...</pre> <p>The command returns the name of the SQO definition currently assigned to the project along with the assigned SQO level.</p>
View list of available SQO definitions	<pre>polyspace-access -list-sqo -host ...</pre> <p>The command returns a list of the names of all the available SQO definitions.</p>
View list of runs uploaded to a project	<pre>polyspace-access -list-runs <PROJECT_PATH> -host ...</pre> <p>The command returns a list of all the runs uploaded to the specified project. For each run, you see the run ID and any labels added to that run.</p>

You can use these commands as part of automation scripts when managing your projects. Previously, you performed the operations listed in the table only from the Polyspace Access user interface.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server.

polyspace-access Command: Improved robustness and error diagnostics

In R2022a, you can use the new `-max-retry` option with the `polyspace-access` command when you upload results from a client machine to a server machine that hosts the Polyspace Access database. The option specifies the number of times the client machine attempts to reconnect to the server machine in the event of a sporadic network failure, for instance `-max-retry 5`. The reconnection attempts happen at 10-second intervals. By default, the client machine attempts to reconnect three times.

The `polyspace-access` command also has improved error messages to help you diagnose issues more easily.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Functionality Removed: Report generation from pre-R2015a results

Report generation from pre-R2015a Polyspace results are no longer supported. In releases R2015a and earlier, Polyspace products generated results in a format that will no longer be supported for report generation.

Compatibility Considerations

Typically, you do not require support for report generation from earlier releases since you presumably have archived reports generated using the earlier release. To generate reports from pre-R2015a releases using a newer release, first rerun the analysis using the newer release and regenerate the results in a supported format, and then generate reports. See also [Generate Reports from Polyspace Results](#).

Polyspace Access Installation

License Management: Use a single license to review Bug Finder, Code Prover, and Ada results in your web browser

In R2022a, Polyspace Bug Finder Access and Polyspace Code Prover Access are both renamed Polyspace Access. You require only one license to review Bug Finder, Code Prover, and Ada results in your web browser.

Previously, you required separate licenses to review Bug Finder results, and to review Code Prover or Ada results.

Product: Polyspace Access.

Compatibility Considerations

In the license manager options file, typically named `MLM.opt`, identify all users and groups to which you previously granted right-to-use privileges for only Polyspace Code Prover Access. For instance:

```
Define a group of users
GROUP ACCESS_CP_users user1 user2 user3

# Grant right-to-use privileges to individual users for Bug Finder
INCLUDE Polyspace_BF_Access USER user1
INCLUDE Polyspace_BF_Access USER user2

# Grant right-to-use privileges to individual users for Code Prover
INCLUDE Polyspace_CP_Access USER admin
# Grant right-to-use privileges to group of users for Code Prover
INCLUDE Polyspace_CP_Access GROUP ACCESS_CP_users
```

For all those users and groups, replace all instances of `Polyspace_CP_Access` with `Polyspace_BF_Access`.

```
Define a group of users
GROUP ACCESS_CP_users user1 user2 user3

# Grant right-to-use privileges to individual users
INCLUDE Polyspace_BF_Access USER user1
INCLUDE Polyspace_BF_Access USER user2

# Grant right-to-use privileges to individual users
INCLUDE Polyspace_BF_Access USER admin
# Grant right-to-use privileges to group of users
INCLUDE Polyspace_BF_Access GROUP ACCESS_CP_users
```

If a user or group previously had right-to-use privileges for Polyspace Bug Finder Access, this user or group now has right-to-use privileges to review Code Prover and Ada results.

See also [Configure Polyspace Access License](#).

Support for X.509 certificates generated without a SAN extension removed

In R2022a, Polyspace Access no longer supports certificates that were generated without a subject alternative name (SAN) extension. If the certificate uses only the common name (CN) field to identify the server host, Polyspace Access considers that certificate invalid. You cannot use this certificate to encrypt communications between the Polyspace Access server and client machines.

If you configure your bug tracking tool or LDAP server for HTTPS with certificates that were generated without a SAN extension, those certificates are also considered invalid.

Product: Polyspace Access.

Compatibility Considerations

To encrypt communications between the Polyspace Access server and client machines with HTTPS, generate x.509 certificates that use a SAN extension to specify the fully qualified domain name of the server hosting Polyspace Access. See [Choose Between HTTP and HTTPS Configuration for Polyspace Access](#).

To generate a valid SAN certificate for your bug tracking tool or LDAP server, contact your network administrator.

Changes in Polyspace Access docker containers

In R2022a, the following docker containers have been renamed:

Previous Container Name	Current Container Name
polyspace-access-web-server-main	polyspace-access-web-server-0-main
polyspace-access-etl-main	polyspace-access-etl-0-main
polyspace-access-db-main	polyspace-access-db-0-main
issuetracker-server-main	issuetracker-server-0-main
issuetracker-ui-main	issuetracker-ui-0-main
usermanager-server-main	usermanager-server-0-main
usermanager-ui-main	usermanager-ui-0-main
usermanager-db-main	usermanager-db-0-main

Product: Polyspace Access.

Compatibility Considerations

In your scripts, replace instances of the previous names with the current names.

R2021b

Version: 10.5

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Documentation

Documentation: View combined documentation for all Polyspace Code Prover products

In R2021b, the Polyspace Code Prover documentation covers all workflows for running a Polyspace Code Prover analysis:

- *Desktop:*

The classic product Polyspace Code Prover supports desktop workflows. You can run Code Prover in the Polyspace user interface, by using scripts, or from platforms such as Simulink.

The Polyspace Code Prover documentation continues to describe these workflows. See:

- Install Code Prover on Desktop (Polyspace Code Prover)
- Configure Code Prover Analysis on Desktop (Polyspace Code Prover)
- Review Polyspace Code Prover Results in Polyspace User Interface (Polyspace Code Prover)
- *Server and Web Browser:*

The newer products, Polyspace Code Prover Server and Polyspace Code Prover Access, released in R2019a, support server-based workflows. Polyspace Code Prover Server runs a Code Prover analysis on continuous integration platforms such as Jenkins. Polyspace Code Prover Access hosts the analysis results on a server so that several users can review them simultaneously on a web browser.

Previously, workflows involving Polyspace Code Prover Server and Polyspace Code Prover Access were documented separately. The Polyspace Code Prover documentation now describes these workflows. See:

- Install Code Prover on Server (Polyspace Code Prover)
- Set Up Code Prover Analysis on Servers During Continuous Integration (Polyspace Code Prover)
- Review Polyspace Code Prover Results in Web Browser (Polyspace Code Prover)


Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Documentation: View web documentation by default

In R2021b, if you open the Polyspace documentation from the product while connected to the internet, the web documentation opens in your default web browser. If you open the documentation without an internet connection, or if your internet connection becomes unavailable, the same actions point to the PDF documentation shipped with the products.

This change applies to all methods of opening the documentation:

- From the Polyspace user interface, choosing **Help > Documentation**.



- Using the `-doc` option of commands such as `polyspace-code-prover`.


See `-doc` | `-documentation` (Polyspace Code Prover).

Depending on where you open the documentation from, you see documentation pages appropriate to the platform.


Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Contextual Help: View contextual help in web browser

In R2021b, all contextual help in the Polyspace products open in your default web browser. This change applies to products such as Code Prover and Code Prover Access. Contextual help includes help for options that can be accessed from the tooltip on the option:



and help for results that can be accessed from the result details:



You can now use all facilities of your web browser to interact with a contextual help page. Note that the contextual help buttons open pages available with your installation. You do not require an internet connection to view these pages.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Access

Verification Setup

Faster Analysis: Reduction in analysis time on code that uses C++ `std::string` library

In R2021b, Code Prover does not analyze the implementation of `std::string` methods, leading to an improved analysis time. On average, the analysis takes half the time compared to previous releases but in large code bases with heavy use of this library, the analysis time can reduce even further. In specific cases, the analysis time has been observed to reduce from a few days to hours.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations


Skipping the implementation of `std::string` methods does not impact the analysis precision significantly. You will not see an increase in orange checks in your files.

Issues that originated at call sites of `std::string` methods but were previously shown within the body of these methods, now appear on the call sites themselves, making them simpler to understand. The issues are shown through an expanded definition of the check **Invalid use of standard library routine** on `std::string` method invocations. See “String Library Function Checks: New checks on arguments to C++ `std::string` methods” on page 3-8.

To revert to the previous analysis mode, use the analysis option `-analyze-library std_string`.

IAR Embedded Workbench Compiler: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled by using RISC-V target

In R2021b, Polyspace supports the IAR Embedded Workbench RISC-V target natively. If you build your source code by using the RISC-V target, you can specify the target name for your Polyspace analysis.



For more information, see [IAR Embedded Workbench Compiler \(-compiler iar-ew\)](#).

At the command line, you specify a compiler target by using the option `Target processor type` (`-target`). For instance:

```
polyspace-bug-finder-server -sources file.c -compiler iar-ew -target riscv...
```

Because of the native support, you can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of this compiler target. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the target and compiler-specific language extensions, such as keywords and pragmas.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Updated GCC Compiler Version Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with GCC versions 9.x and 10.x

In R2021b, Polyspace supports GCC compiler versions 9.x and 10.x. If you build your source code by using the GCC compiler versions 9.x or 10.x., you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis.

Target Environment	
Compiler	gnu9.x
Target processor type	x86_64

Target Environment	
Compiler	gnu10.x
Target processor type	x86_64

At the command line, you specify a compiler by using the option `-compiler`. For instance:

```
polyspace-bug-finder-server -sources file.c -compiler gnu9.x ...
```

For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

Because of the native support, you can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of these compilers. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compilers and compiler-specific language extensions, such as keywords and pragmas.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

C17 Support: Run Polyspace analysis on code that follows version C17 of C standard

In R2021b, Polyspace supports version C17 of the C Standard (ISO/IEC 9899:2018). This version of the standard addresses issues in the previous version, C11, but it does not introduce new language features.

Target Language	
Source code language	C
C standard version	c17

See also `C standard version (-c-version)`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Configuration from Build System: Copy console output to log file

In R2021b, you can copy the output of the `polyspace-configure` command to a log file. Use option `-log` to specify the log file path, for example:

```
polyspace-configure -verbose -log pscfg.log make -B
```

You can review the contents of the log file to investigate possible issues with the execution of the `polyspace-configure` command, especially if you run the command as part of an automation script. Previously, to store the console output, you had to redirect the Standard Out (stdout) and the Standard Error (stderr) to a file.

See also `polyspace-configure`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Simulink Support: Consistent C++ version in Polyspace and Simulink

In R2021b, the C++ version used in the Polyspace analysis and the C++ version specified in the Simulink **Configuration Parameter** window are consistent. Polyspace extracts the C++ version specified in Simulink **Configuration Parameter** window and uses the version number in the analysis. Previously, unless you explicitly set the C++ version for Polyspace, the analysis used the default C++03, regardless of the C++ version specified in Simulink. Starting in R2021b, the C++ version specified in Simulink is also used in the Polyspace analysis.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Functionality Being Removed: Coding standards checking and code metrics computation with Code Prover

These functionalities will be removed from Code Prover and Code Prover Server in a future release:

- Calculating code metrics
- Checking compliance with these coding rule standards:
 - MISRA C:2004
 - MISRA-AC-AGC
 - MISRA C:2012
 - JSF C++
 - MISRA C++:2008
 - Custom coding rules

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

If you use Code Prover to calculate code metrics and check compliance with coding standards, consider using Bug Finder instead. See:

- Coding Standards
- Code Metrics

Functionality Being Removed: Polyspace stubs for Standard Template Library

Polyspace stubs for the C++ Standard Template Library (STL) will be removed in a future release. These stubs conform to the older C++98 Standard and are meant for quickly getting started with a C++ analysis. In most situations, your compiler implementation of the Standard Template Library is required for successful compilation of a C++ project with Polyspace.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

If your project uses STL stubs, you see a warning. Use the option `No STL stubs (-no-stl-stubs)` to prevent use of the stubs, and then provide your compiler implementation of the Standard Template Library for analysis.

Functionality Being Removed: Compilation assistant

The Polyspace compilation assistant will be removed in a future release. You get a warning when you enable this option and run an analysis.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

If you use the compilation assistant in your Polyspace project, clear the corresponding option. To clear this option in the desktop interface, go to **Tools > Preferences**, and then select the **Project and Results Folder** tab.

Alternatively, when you set up your Polyspace project:

- Use the `Compiler (-compiler)` option to specify a compiler that Polyspace supports natively if you compile your code by using that compiler.
- Use `polyspace-configure` to trace your build command and to obtain your compiler configuration. See `polyspace-configure`.

Verification Results

String Library Function Checks: New checks on arguments to C++ `std::string` methods

In R2021b, the **Invalid use of standard library routine** check has been expanded to check arguments to C++ `std::string` methods:

- For methods that take a `const char*` argument *str*, the check determines if *str* is non-NULL and points to a valid string.

For instance, in a call to `std::string::append()` with a `const char*` argument, the check is green if the argument is non-NULL and points to a valid string:

```
#include <string>

void main() {
    std::string str = "";

    const char txtStr[4] = {'n','o','p', '\0'};
    const char txt[3] = {'n','o','p'};

    str.append(txtStr); //txtStr is valid string
    str.append(txt);   //txt is not a valid string
}

```

- For methods that take a `const char*` argument *str* and a `size_t` argument *n*, the check determines if *str* is non-NULL and points to an *n*-character buffer.

For instance, in a call to `std::string::append()` with a `const char*` argument for the buffer to append and a `size_t` argument for number of characters to append, the check is green if the `const char*` argument is non-NULL and the buffer has the number of characters to be appended:

```
#include <string>

void main() {
    std::string str = "";
    const char txt[3] = {'n','o','p'};

    str.append(txt,3);
    str.append(txt,4); //txt points to a 3-character buffer
}

```

Similar considerations apply to `std::wstring`, `std::u16string` and `std::u32string` methods.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations


You can see an increase in the number of **Invalid use of standard library routine** (Polyspace Code Prover) checks.

Reviewing Results

Results Review: Open review history, select layout, and open additional panes by using fewer clicks

In R2021b, use the new **Layout** menu on the toolbar to select the layout of the Polyspace Access interface.

To open additional panes, such as the **Review History**, use the **Window** menu and make a selection.

You can also open the **Review History** by clicking  in the **Result Details** pane.


Previously, you used **Window > Layout** to select a layout and **Window > Show/Hide View** to open additional panes.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Results Review: View relevant information in review panes when you select a finding

In R2021b, if you select a finding in the **Result Details** or **Source Code** panes, these panes are updated with information about the selected finding (if available):

- **Call Hierarchy**
- **Contextual Help**
- **Data Race Graph**
- **Error Call Graph**
- **Variable Access Graph**

Previously, except for the **Result Details** pane, the panes were not updated when you selected a different finding. For instance, after selecting a different finding, you clicked  in the **Result Details** pane to update the **Contextual Help** pane.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Functionality Removed: Automatic Orange Tester

The Automatic Orange Tester capability is removed in R2021b. If you use the Automatic Orange Tester, you get an error at the start of the analysis.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use the Automatic Orange Tester with your Polyspace projects, the corresponding options are automatically unset in the desktop interface.

If you use these command-line options in your scripts, remove them:

- `-automatic-orange-tester`

- `-automatic-orange-tester-loop-max-iteration`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-tests-number`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-timeout`

If you use these properties in your MATLAB scripts, remove them (`opts=polyspace.Options('C')`):

- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTester`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterLoopMaxIteration`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterTestsNumber`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterTimeout`

Functionality Removed: Polyspace Metrics

The Polyspace Metrics web dashboard is removed in R2021b. You get an error if you use:

- The `polyspace-results-repository` command.
- Option `-add-to-results-repository` when you run an analysis on a remote cluster.
- A configuration that attempts to start a Polyspace Metrics server automatically. The configuration is typically stored in a `.conf` file in the `%APPDATA%` (Windows) or `/etc/polyspace` (Linux) folder.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

To continue monitoring the quality of your code in a web browser, use Polyspace Access, which has a more intuitive dashboard. With Polyspace Access you can:

- Review and justify results directly from your web browser.
- Integrate a bug tracking tool, such as Jira, through the web interface and create tickets to track Polyspace findings.
- Monitor the quality of your code against coding standards such as AUTOSAR C++14, CERT® C/C++, and MISRA C®.
- Define custom quality objectives definitions and apply them to specific projects.

For more information, see:

- Review Polyspace Code Prover Results in Web Browser
- Upload Results to Polyspace Access
- Migrate Results from Polyspace Metrics to Polyspace Access

Functionality Being Removed: Report generation from pre-R2015a results

Report generation from pre-R2015a Polyspace results will not be supported in a future release. In releases R2015a and earlier, Polyspace products generated results in a format that will no longer be supported for report generation.

Compatibility Considerations

Typically, you do not require support for report generation from earlier releases since you presumably have archived reports generated using the earlier release. To generate reports from pre-R2015a releases using a newer release, first rerun the analysis using the newer release and regenerate the results in a supported format, and then generate reports. See also [Generate Reports from Polyspace Results](#).

Polyspace Access Installation

User Management: Set project permissions at the group level

In R2021b, you can use groups to manage project permissions for large sets of users. Import groups from your company Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) or create custom groups in the **User Manager** interface, and then assign roles to those groups to authorize or prevent them from viewing projects in Polyspace Access. All members of the group inherit the role that you assign to the group.

To assign a role to a group:

- In the Polyspace Access interface, right-click a project in the **Project Explorer** and select **Manage Project Permissions**.
- At the command line, use `polyspace-access` with options `-set-role` and `-group`.

See Manage Project Permissions.

Previously, you managed project permissions by assigning roles to users individually.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

User Management: Update list of users and groups more quickly by reloading web browser

In R2021b, Polyspace Access populates its list of users and groups from the **User Manager** database. If you add new users or groups to the **User Manager** database, refresh the list of users and groups by logging into the Polyspace Access interface or by reloading your web browser if you are already logged in.

Previously, if you added users to the **User Manager** database, you had to restart the Polyspace Access services to refresh the list of users in Polyspace Access.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

User Authentication: Authenticate user logins against custom identities and LDAP identities simultaneously

In R2021b, you can configure Polyspace Access to simultaneously authenticate users against credentials from your organization's Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) and against custom credentials. If you create custom user profiles, those users still can log into Polyspace Access after you start using your organization's LDAP to authenticate users.

See Configure **User Manager**.

Previously, you configured Polyspace Access to authenticate users against custom credentials or LDAP credentials, but not both at the same time.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Compatibility Considerations

If you configured Polyspace Access to use your company LDAP in a previous release, and you reuse the `settings.json` file in R2021b, in the **Admin** interface, go to the settings and select **Connect an LDAP directory**.

Polyspace Access Services: Faster results uploads and more responsive source code view

In R2021b, when you upload results to the Polyspace Access database for review, the upload is up to 10% faster than in R2021a.

When you review the uploaded results in your web browser, the **Source Code** pane is more responsive as you scroll through the code or switch between different files. In R2021a, the pane takes up to 40% longer to display the source code when you scroll through code or switch between files.

These performance improvements are more noticeable with large files and with source code that contains a large number of findings.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

R2021a

Version: 10.4

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Configuration from Build System: Specify options delimiter and suppress console output

In R2021a, `polyspace-configure` has new options to simplify the creation of a Polyspace project or options file:

- `-options-for-sources-delimiter` — Use this option to specify an ASCII character that Polyspace uses as a delimiter between a group of analysis options. You typically use this option in combination with `-options-for-sources`, which associates a group of analysis options with specific source files. You might want to specify a delimiter if, for instance, the default delimiter (`;`) is already used inside a macro.
- `-no-console-output` — Use this option to completely suppress the console output of `polyspace-configure`, including error and warning messages. By default, `polyspace-configure` emits errors and warnings only.

See also `polyspace-configure`

The new options allow you to customize the `polyspace-configure` runs without extensive additional scripting.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Configuration from Build System: Improved detection of incompatible software

In R2021a, if you use software that is not compatible with `polyspace-configure` when you trace your build process, `polyspace-configure` emits a message that identifies the software and that provides contextual help if applicable. Software that is not compatible with `polyspace-configure` includes some antivirus software and certain build systems such as Bazel.

For more information, see `polyspace-configure`.

Previously, when `polyspace-configure` could not trace your build process because of incompatible software, the command output did not identify the software. Now, you can easily check if your build system and environment is compatible with `polyspace-configure`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

AUTOSAR Support (Software Integration): Faster and more AUTOSAR-aware code analysis

In R2021a, Code Prover runs faster on C/C++ code that uses the AUTOSAR RTE API and shows more precise results.

If you choose `autosar` for the option **Libraries used** (`-library`), the analysis uses smart stubs for functions from the AUTOSAR library instead of generic stubs. The analysis does not attempt to check the function implementations. Using this option enables faster analysis without losing precision and triggers library-specific checks on function calls.

See also:


- Libraries used (-library)
- Noncompliance with AUTOSAR specification
- Choose Between Component-Based and Integration Analysis of AUTOSAR Code with Polyspace

If you want to check only for compliance with the AUTOSAR standard (in addition to regular Code Prover run-time checks), no special setup is required for C/C++ code that follows AUTOSAR specifications. You can run a Code Prover analysis with a single option enabled.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

AUTOSAR Support (Component Based): Determine if an RTE function or event is supported in current release

In R2021a, if you run Polyspace for AUTOSAR on a code implementation of AUTOSAR Software Components, the results contain a list of recognized RTE API functions and events.



To open this list, in your analysis results, click the **ReleaseNote** link in the navigation on the left.


Using this list, you can:

- Determine if the analysis emulates a given RTE API function precisely and checks the function arguments for compliance with the AUTOSAR standard and relevant design specifications.
- Navigate from an RTE API function or event name to the description of the function in the AUTOSAR standard.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Updated GCC Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with GCC version 8.x

In R2021a, Polyspace supports the GCC compiler version 8.x natively. If you build your source code by using GCC version 8.x, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis.




For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

Because of the native support, you can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of this compiler. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Updated Microsoft Visual C++ Support: Set up a Polyspace analysis for code compiled with Visual Studio 2019

In R2021a, Polyspace supports the compiler Visual Studio® 2019 natively. If you build your source code by using Visual Studio 2019 (versions 16.x), you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis.



For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

Because of the native support, you can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of this compiler. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Analysis of projects containing mix of C and C++ source files in Code Prover

In R2021a, you can verify projects containing a mix of C and C++ source files by using Code Prover. To specify that your project uses a mix of C and C++, use the analysis option `Source code language (-lang)` with the value `C-CPP`. Polyspace compiles the C files of your project in C and C++ files in C++. After the compilation, Polyspace verifies such mixed projects as C++ projects.

Previously, Code Prover did not support verifying projects that had a mix of C and C++ files. When `-lang` was specified as `C-CPP`, Polyspace compiled all the files in the project in C++. To verify projects containing both C and C++ source files, you had to separate the C and C++ files because C files might fail to compile in C++. Starting in R2021a, you do not need to separate the C and C++ files. If you have a Bug Finder project containing C and C++ files, you can now use the same project for a Code Prover verification.


Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

If you want to mimic the previous behavior and compile all files in your project in C++ regardless of their file type, use `-lang` with the value `CPP` instead.

Simulink Support: Start Polyspace analysis without an explicit code generation step

In R2021a, start the Polyspace analysis of generated code without having to explicitly generate the code first. To start the Polyspace analysis of code generated from a model, Click **Run Analysis** in the Simulink toolstrip.



If you have Embedded Coder, Polyspace generates code from the model by using Embedded Coder when there is no previously generated code corresponding to the model. After the code generation is complete, the Polyspace analysis starts.

See Run Polyspace Analysis on Code Generated from Simulink Model.

Previously, you generated code explicitly in a separate step before starting the Polyspace analysis of the generated code. You are no longer required to perform this step.

Additional Considerations: Before starting a Polyspace analysis, you still need to generate code explicitly if any of the following is true:

- You do not use Embedded Coder to generate code.
- The model is configured to generate code as a model reference.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

polyspacesetup Function : Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB in fewer steps

In R2021a, you can integrate Polyspace with the current or earlier release of MATLAB in fewer steps. When you run the function `polyspacesetup` at the MATLAB command prompt, the function looks for a Polyspace installation in the default location. If the installation exists, the function integrates Polyspace with MATLAB. Specify the installation location explicitly only when you install Polyspace in a nondefault location.

See Also:

- `polyspacesetup`
- Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB and Simulink

Previously, to integrate Polyspace with Simulink, you provided the location of the Polyspace installation folder. Starting in R2021a, providing the installation location is no longer required if you install Polyspace in the default location.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

pslinkrunCrossRelease Function : Analyze code generated in an earlier release of Simulink by using a later release of Polyspace

In R2021a, you can run a Polyspace analysis of generated code from an earlier release of Simulink by using the function `pslinkrunCrossRelease`. To use this cross-release workflow, your Polyspace version must be later than your Simulink version and your Simulink must be R2020b or later.

See :

- `pslinkrunCrossRelease`
- Run Polyspace on Code Generated by Using Previous Releases of Simulink

Previously, you used the function `pslinkrun` in both cross-release and same release workflows. Starting in R2021a, these two workflows are differentiated by introducing the function `pslinkrunCrossRelease` explicitly for the cross-release workflow.

The compatibility of Polyspace with prior releases of Simulink is also simplified. Previously, the compatibility of Polyspace with an earlier Simulink depended on the specific version of Polyspace and Simulink. Starting in R2021a, you can integrate Polyspace with Simulink only if your Polyspace version is later than your Simulink version, and you have Simulink from R2020b or later. See Polyspace Support of MATLAB and Simulink from Different Releases.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Compatibility Considerations

The function `pslinkrun` no longer supports a cross-release workflow. Use the function `pslinkrunCrossRelease` instead.

Functionality being removed: Compilation assistant

The Polyspace compilation assistant will be removed in a future release.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compatibility Considerations

If you use the compilation assistant in your Polyspace project, clear the corresponding option. To clear this option in the desktop interface, go to **Tools > Preferences** and then select the **Project and Results Folder** tab.

Instead, when you set up your Polyspace project, you can:

- Use the `Compiler (-compiler)` option to specify a compiler that Polyspace supports natively if you compile your code by using that compiler.
- Use `polyspace-configure` to trace your build command and to obtain your compiler configuration. See `polyspace-configure`.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

Verification level (-to) option values `cpp-link` and `cpp-normalize` checks for compile errors

Behavior change

The behavior of `Verification level (-to)` option values `cpp-link` and `cpp-normalize` have changed. Previously, these options stopped a Code Prover analysis at different stages of compilation. Starting in R2021a, when you use these options, Polyspace completes the compilation phase of a Code Prover analysis. This behavior is equivalent to how Polyspace behaves when `-to` is used with `compile`.

The values `cpp-link` and `cpp-normalize` of the analysis option `-to` will be removed in a future release. Use `-to` with `compile` instead.

See also `Verification level (-to)`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

The pragma `Inspection_point` also applies to C++ code

Behavior change

Starting in R2021a, you can use the `Inspection_point` pragma in Code Prover with C++ source code. Previously, the pragma `Inspection_point` applied to only C code in Code Prover.

See also `Find Relations Between Variables in Code`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

`-code-behavior-specifications` takes only one file as argument

Behavior change

Starting in R2021a, this option only takes one XML file as argument. If you were specifying code behaviors in multiple XML files, combine their content into one file and provide this file as argument to the option.

See also `-code-behavior-specifications`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

`-sources-encoding` with value other than `auto` disables automatic detection of encoding

Behavior change

Starting in R2021a, if you explicitly specify a value with the option `-sources-encoding` (or use the default value `system` which uses the default encoding of your OS), the analysis does not perform any automatic detection of source file encoding. For instance, if you use `-sources-encoding shift-jis`, the analysis internally converts your source files from Shift JIS (Shift Japanese Industrial Standards) to UTF-8 encoding before processing them. If you see regressions from previous releases, consider using `-sources-encoding auto` to reenabte the automatic detection of source encoding. Automatic detection is useful when your project contains, for instance, a mix of different encodings.

See also `Source code encoding (-sources-encoding)`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

polyspace-autosar does not support ARXML schema of AUTOSAR releases below 4.0

Behavior change

Starting in R2021a, the `polyspace-autosar` command can only parse ARXML files that follow the XML schema of AUTOSAR releases 4.0 onwards.

See also Run Polyspace on AUTOSAR Code.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Verification Results

AUTOSAR Support (Software Integration): New checks for compliance of RTE API usage with AUTOSAR standard

In R2021a, a new run-time check **Noncompliance with AUTOSAR specification** determines if the arguments to a function from the RTE API follow the AUTOSAR standard specifications. For instance, if a function expects an initialized buffer, the check is red if you pass an uninitialized buffer by pointer.

You can run this check during verification at the software integration stage. To enable this check, use the value `autosar` for the option **Libraries used** (`-library`) and run a regular Code Prover analysis.

See also:

- `Libraries used (-library)`
- `Noncompliance with AUTOSAR specification`
- `Choose Between Component-Based and Integration Analysis of AUTOSAR Code with Polyspace`

With the new check in R2021a, if you want to check only for compliance with the AUTOSAR standard specifications or want to perform a verification at the software integration stage, you no longer require an AUTOSAR-specific setup. You can run a regular Code Prover analysis with a single option enabled.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Changes in Code Prover assumptions

In R2021a, Code Prover assumes that the memory allocation functions such as `malloc`, `realloc` or `memalign` do not initialize the allocated memory. The previous assumption was that the allocated memory might be initialized. The new assumption adheres strictly to the C Standard.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations

If you use one of these memory allocation functions, **Non-initialized variable** checks on reads of the allocated regions that were previously orange might turn red.

Reviewing Results

Simulink Block Annotation : Add multiple Polyspace annotations corresponding to multiple types of Polyspace results

In R2021a, you can annotate a Simulink block with multiple annotations for multiple types of Polyspace results through the **Polyspace Annotation** window. For instance, consider a block that is annotated for a MISRA C violation. If this block is then flagged for a defect violation, you can add an annotation corresponding to the defect violation without overwriting the previous annotation for the MISRA C violation. To add these two annotations, open the **Polyspace Annotation** window twice and each time, annotate for a specific type of result. These annotations are appended to each other and can be seen in the **Result Details** pane of Polyspace User Interface. See *Annotate Blocks to Justify Issues*

Previously, if you added a new annotation to an already annotated Simulink block, Polyspace overwrote the existing annotation. Starting in R2021a, adding an annotation to a previously annotated Simulink block appends the new annotation to the existing annotation.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Code Prover Result Messages: Redundant tooltips removed from += and similar operations

In R2021a, in code operations that use the shorthand form $x+=y$, $x-=y$, $x*=y$, and so on, if x contains an operation that triggers an orange check, you see only this orange check and the associated tooltip in the Code Prover results.

Previously, you saw a green check in addition to an orange check because the operation in x happens twice, once on the left and once on the right side of the assignment. The orange check eliminated a problematic path and resulted in a subsequent green check. You also saw two copies of essentially the same tooltip. Starting in R2021a, these redundant tooltips have been removed.

For instance, this division operation previously triggered two checks and showed two copies of essentially the same range information in the tooltip. Starting in R2021a, you see only the upper copy, which represents range information before either of the two divisions are performed.

```

buf[i/j] |= 1;
pbuf[i]
* pbuf[i]
return
operator / on type int 32
left: [0 .. 99]
right: [0 .. 231-1]
result: [0 .. 99]
operator / on type int 32
left: [0 .. 99]
right: [1 .. 231-1]
result: [0 .. 99]


```


Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Access

Results Review Scope : Define and share custom families of filters

In R2021a, you can create custom families of filters to tailor the scope of your review to results that are relevant to only your project or organization. You can then share the customized review scopes with other Polyspace Access users. See [Create Custom Filter Groups in Polyspace Access Web Interface \(Polyspace Code Prover Access\)](#).

For example, you might want to review your code for violations of a subset of only **Numerical** defects and **MISRA C: 2012** rules.




Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.


Results Review Layout : Select view to prioritize review of code or results list

In R2021a, the Polyspace Access interface has different layouts to match your results review workflow.

The default **Code Review** layout enables you to focus on the **Source Code** while you investigate issues in your code.



The **Results Review** layout prioritizes the **Results List** and **Result Details** panes as you review and triage findings.



Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Code Quality Comparison Between Runs: Filter and view information for previous findings fixed in the current run

In R2021a, if you compare two project runs and some of the findings from the **Baseline** run are **Fixed** in the **Current** run, you can filter for and view the source code and result details for these findings. See Compare Analysis Results to Previous Runs (Polyspace Code Prover Access).

Polyspace Access considers a finding **Fixed** if either:

- You make changes to your code that fix an issue.
- The source code that contains an issue is deleted or is not part of the current analysis.

The screenshot shows the Polyspace Code Prover interface. At the top, there are tabs for 'Current' (ID 6089 - Job 1.0) and 'Baseline' (ID 6010 - Job 1.0). The 'Results List' on the left shows a table of findings, with 'Fixed' findings highlighted in green. The 'Result Details' pane on the right shows a 'Memory leak' finding from a baseline run, which is now fixed in the current run. The source code for the finding is displayed below.

Type	Group	Check	Information
Defects	Dynamic memory	memory leak	Impact: Medium
Defects	Dynamic memory	Memory leak	Impact: Medium
Defects	Dynamic memory	Memory leak	Impact: Medium
Defects	Dynamic memory	Memory leak	Impact: Medium
Defects	Programming	Function called from sig...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Programming	Function called from sig...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Programming	Shared data access wit...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Programming	Abnormal termination of...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Programming	Function called from sig...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Programming	Function called from sig...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Resource management	Opening previously ope...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Security	Vulnerable pseudo-rand...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Nonsecure parameters ...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Inconsistent cipher oper...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Missing cipher key	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Missing parameters for ...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Missing peer key	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Context initialized incorr...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Weak padding for RSA ...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Missing cipher data to p...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Incorrect key for crypto...	Impact: Medium
Defects	Cryptography	Missing cipher algorithm	Impact: Medium

The 'Result Details' pane shows a warning: "This finding from Baseline run (ID 6010) is not in Current run (ID 6089)". Below this, a yellow box indicates: "Memory leak (Impact: Medium) Pointer 'pi' points to dynamically allocated memory. It has not been freed before the end of its scope." A table below shows the event details:

Event	File	Scope
1 Dynamic allocation	dynamicmemory.c	bug_memleak_array()
2 Assignment to local poi...	dynamicmemory.c	bug_memleak_array()
3 Memory leak	dynamicmemory.c	bug_memleak_array()

The source code for the finding is shown below:

```

193 int j;
194 int* pi = (int*)malloc(10 * sizeof(int));
195 if (pi == NULL) {
196     return;
197 }
198
199 for (i = 0; i < SIZE9; i++) {
200     pilil = 42 + i;
201 }
202
203 for (j = 0; j < SIZE9; j++) {
204     if (pi[j] == extval()) {
205         break;
206     }

```

Previously, you had to open the **Baseline** run as a separate tab to view the source code and result details for **Fixed** findings.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Functionality being removed: Automatic Orange Tester

The Automatic Orange Tester will be removed in a future release. You get a warning at the start of the analysis if you use this feature.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations

If you use the Automatic Orange Tester with your Polyspace projects, unset the corresponding options. In the desktop interface, you set these options in the **Configuration** pane under the **Advanced Settings** node.

If you use these command-line options in your scripts, remove them:

- `-automatic-orange-tester`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-loop-max-iteration`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-tests-number`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-timeout`

If you use these properties in your MATLAB scripts, remove them (`opts=polyspace.Options('C')`):

- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTester`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterLoopMaxIteration`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterTestsNumber`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterTimeout`

Polyspace Access Installation

License Management : Uploading of results to Polyspace Access no longer requires a license checkout

In R2021a, the upload of analysis results to the Polyspace Access database does not trigger a Polyspace Access license checkout.

If you upload results as part of an automation script, you no longer consume a license when you run the script. Previously, each results upload triggered a license checkout.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

User Manager : Enable pagination when requesting large set of users from LDAP server

In R2021a, if you use an LDAP server to retrieve user profiles and authenticate user logins, you can enable pagination to retrieve a large set of users from the LDAP server. See [Authenticate Users from Your Organization LDAP Server \(Polyspace Code Prover Access\)](#).

Typically, LDAP servers limit the number of entries that they return in a result set. If the number of entries exceed that limit, the result set is truncated. When you enable pagination, the number of results is broken up into smaller sets. You are able to retrieve all entries from the LDAP server when you query a large set of users.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Bug Tracking Tool : Create Jira tickets for Jira projects that use single select custom fields

In R2021a, if you integrate the Jira software bug tracking tool (BTT) with Polyspace Access, you can create Jira tickets for Jira projects that are configured with single select custom fields. See [Configure Jira Software Bug Tracking Tool \(Polyspace Code Prover Access\)](#).

Previously, Polyspace Access did not support the creation of Jira tickets in projects that used single select custom fields.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Admin Interface : Improved logging for Polyspace Access services

In R2021a, when you view the logs for the Polyspace Access services in the **Admin** user interface, the logs are automatically refreshed. You do not need to reload the page to view new events.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

R2020b

Version: 10.3

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis for code compiled with Renesas SH C compilers

If you build your source code by using Renesas® SH C compilers, in R2020b, you can specify the target name `sh`, which corresponds to SuperH targets, for your Polyspace analysis.

Target Environment	
Compiler	renesas
Target processor type	sh

See also `Compiler (-compiler renesas)`.

You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of Renesas SH C compilers. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Cygwin Support: Create Polyspace projects automatically by using Cygwin 3.x build commands

In R2020b, the `polyspace-configure` command supports version 3.x of Cygwin™ (versions 3.0, 3.1, and so on).

See also `Check if Polyspace Supports Build Scripts`.

Using the `polyspace-configure` command, you can trace build scripts that are executed on a Cygwin 3.x command line and create a Polyspace project with the source files and compilation options automatically specified.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

C++17 Support: Run Polyspace analysis on code with C++17 features

In R2020b, Polyspace can interpret the majority of C++17-specific features.

Target Language	
Source code language	CPP
C++ standard version	cpp17

See also:

- `C++ standard version (-cpp-version)`

- C/C++ Language Standard Used in Polyspace Analysis
- C++17 Language Elements Supported in Polyspace

You can now set up a Polyspace analysis for code containing C++17-specific language elements. Previously, some C++17 specific language elements were not recognized and caused compilation errors.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

AUTOSAR Support: Analysis more resilient to ARXML errors

In R2020b, specific types of ARXML errors do not stop a Code Prover analysis. Despite the errors, the analysis attempts to model the software component behaviors as far as possible and continue into the code extraction and code verification phases.

See also Interpret Errors and Warnings in Polyspace Analysis of AUTOSAR Code.

You can run a Code Prover analysis more easily on in-progress and incomplete ARXMLs. The ARXML parsing phase reports the errors for each software component behavior. If any of these errors lead to downstream errors during the code extraction phase, you can return to the reports for each software component behavior and track down and fix the ARXML errors.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

AUTOSAR Support: Specify file and folder patterns to exclude from analysis

In R2020b, you can avoid errors in Polyspace analysis from AUTOSAR projects by excluding specific subfolders and files in the source folders up front. For each source folder that you specify, using a Linux-`find`-like syntax, you can specify patterns for file paths that must be excluded.

You can also use a similar file exclusion strategy to exclude files from the ARXML folder.

For more information, see:

- Select AUTOSAR XML (ARXML) and Code Files for Polyspace Analysis
- `polyspace-autosar` Command

Previously, you could only specify a root folder for your ARXML and source files. The finer file-selection allows you to avoid folders that might cause errors in project setup.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

AUTOSAR Support: Specify AUTOSAR software component behaviors and data types using more refined syntax

In R2020b, you can use a more refined syntax when specifying AUTOSAR software component behaviors to analyze or when importing data types. Using a Linux-`find`-like syntax, you can specify inclusion or exclusion patterns for the fully qualified names of behaviors or types.

For more information, see `polyspace-autosar` Command.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Configuration from Build System: Generate a project file or analysis options file by using a JSON compilation database

In R2020b, if your build system supports the generation of a JSON compilation database, you can create a Polyspace project file or an analysis options file from your build system without tracing your build process. After you generate the JSON compilation database file, pass this file to `polyspace-configure` by using the option `-compilation-database` to extract your build information.

For more information on compilation databases, see [JSON Compilation Database](#).

Previously, you had to invoke your build command and trace your build process to extract the build information. For some build systems such as Bazel, `polyspace-configure` could not always trace the build process, resulting in errors when running an analysis by using the generated options file.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Configuration from Build System: Specify how Polyspace imports compiler macro definitions

In R2020b, when you use `polyspace-configure` to create a Polyspace project file or to generate an analysis options file from your build system, you can specify how Polyspace imports the compiler macro definitions.

Use option `-import-macro-definitions` and specify:

- `none` — Skip the import of macro definition. You can provide macro definitions manually instead.
- `from-whitelist` — Use a Polyspace white list to query your compiler for macro definitions.
- `from-source-token` — Use all non-keyword tokens in your source files to query your compiler for macro definitions.

See also `polyspace-configure`.

Previously, Polyspace used all non-keyword tokens in your source files to query your compiler for macro definitions each time that you traced your build command. You now have greater control on the import of macro definitions.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Configuration from Build System: Compiler configuration cached from prior runs for improved performance

In R2020b, when you use `polyspace-configure` to create a Polyspace project file or to generate an analysis options file from your build system, Polyspace caches your compiler configuration. If your compiler configuration does not change, Polyspace reuses the cached configuration during subsequent runs of `polyspace-configure`.

See also `polyspace-configure`.

Previously, Polyspace did not cache your compiler configuration. Instead, during every run of `polyspace-configure`, Polyspace queried your compiler for the size of fundamental types,

compiler macro definitions, and other compiler configuration information. Starting R2020b, the caching improves the later `polyspace-configure` runs.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

polyspacePackNGo Function : Generate and package Polyspace option files from a Simulink model

In R2020b, you can package Polyspace option files along with code generated from a Simulink model, and then analyze the code on a different machine in a distributed workflow. After packaging the generated code, create and archive options files required for a Polyspace analysis by using the `polyspacePackNGo` function.

See also:

- `polyspacePackNGo`
- Run Polyspace Analysis on Generated Code by Using Packaged Options Files

In a distributed workflow, a Simulink user generates code from a model and sends the code to another development environment. In this environment, a Polyspace user analyzes the generated code by using design ranges and other model-specific information. Previously, in this distributed workflow, you configured the Polyspace analysis options manually. Starting in R2020b, you do not have to manually create the option files when analyzing generated code by using Polyspace in a distributed workflow.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Polyspace and MATLAB Integration : Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB programmatically without user interaction

In R2020b, use simpler steps to integrate Polyspace and MATLAB. Instead of browsing to a specific subfolder of the Polyspace installation folder, and then running the `polyspacesetup` function, run `polyspacesetup` from any folder:

```
polyspacesetup('install', 'polyspaceFolder', folder);
```

folder is the location of the Polyspace installation in your machine. To integrate Polyspace with MATLAB without user interaction, use:

```
polyspacesetup('install', 'polyspaceFolder', folder, 'silent', true);
```

See:

- `polyspacesetup`
- Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB and Simulink

Previously, integrating Polyspace with MATLAB required user interaction. Starting in R2020b, you can perform the integration programmatically and silently.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

polyspace.ModelLinkOptions Object : Configure object to analyze code generated as a model reference

In R2020b, you can configure a `polyspace.ModelLinkOptions` object to analyze code generated as a model reference by using the new optional argument `asModelRef`. To run a Polyspace analysis on the code generated as a model reference, create a `polyspace.ModelLinkOptions` object and set the `asModelRef` flag to `true`. See also:

- `polyspace.ModelLinkOptions`
- Analyze Code Generated as Model Reference

Previously, the class `polyspace.ModelLinkOptions` did not support analyzing code generated as model reference. Starting in R2020b, you can run a Polyspace analysis on code generated as a model reference by using the class `polyspace.ModelLinkOptions`. You can also set the options for the Polyspace analysis by using a `pslinkoptions` object.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Offloading Analysis : Submit Polyspace analysis jobs from CI server to a dedicated analysis cluster

In R2020b, you can set up a continuous integration (CI) system to offload a Polyspace analysis to a dedicated cluster and download the results after analysis. The cluster performing the analysis can be one server or several servers where a head node distributes the jobs to several worker nodes which perform the analysis. In this workflow:

- MATLAB Parallel Server™ is required on all servers involved in distributing jobs or running the analysis.
- You install the Polyspace Server products both on the client and server side, but you do not require licenses for job submission on the client side.

See [Offload Polyspace Analysis from Continuous Integration Server to Another Server](#).

When running static code analysis with Polyspace as part of continuous integration, you might want the analysis to run on a server that is different from the server running your continuous integration (CI) scripts. For instance, you might want to perform the analysis on a server that has more processing power. You can then offload the analysis from your CI server to the other server.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Offloading Analysis : Server-side errors reported back to client side

If you run a Polyspace analysis on a MATLAB Parallel Server cluster, in R2020b, server-side errors are reported back in the client-side log.

The log contains this additional information reported back from the server side:

- Errors that occurred during the server-side analysis.

For instance, if a Polyspace Server license has not been activated, you see a license checkout failure reported from the server side.

- Path to the Polyspace Server instance that runs the analysis.

Information reported from the server side appears in the log between the `Start Diary` and `End Diary` lines.

Starting R2020b, you can troubleshoot server-side errors more easily by using the log reported on the client side.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

Option `-consider-external-arrays-as-unsafe` also applies to C code

In R2020b, the option `-consider-external-arrays-as-unsafe` also applies to C code. The option removes the default Code Prover assumption that external arrays of unspecified size can be safely accessed at any index. Previously, the option was available only for C++ code.

See also `-consider-external-array-access-unsafe`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Verification Results

Changes in run-time checks

Summary: In R2020b, you see these changes in the results of Code Prover run-time checks.

Check	Change
Non-initialized variable and Non-initialized local variable	<p>If all fields of a structure are unused and uninitialized, checks for initialization on this structure are orange.</p> <p>Previously, if none of the fields of a structure were used later, the checks considered the structure as initialized. For instance, in this code:</p> <pre>typedef struct { int a; char c; } S; void foo(void) { S s; S s1; s = s1; }</pre> <p>the Non-initialized local variable check on <code>s1</code> in <code>s = s1</code> is orange. Prior to R2020b, the check was green because even though the structure fields are uninitialized, they are not used later.</p>

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations

You can see a change in the number of results flagged by the updated run-time checks.

Updated code metrics specifications

In R2020b, these code metrics specifications have been updated.

Code Metric	Update
Number of Called Functions	<p>These metrics now accounts for function calls in a C++ constructor initializer list.</p> <p>For instance, in this code snippet, the number of called functions of <code>Derived::Derived()</code> is one. Previously, the number was computed as zero.</p> <pre>class Base { int b; public: Base() { b = 0; }; }; class Derived : public Base { int d; public: Derived() : Base() { d = 0; }; };</pre>

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations

If you compute these code metrics, you can see a difference in results compared to previous releases.

Reviewing Results

Results Export: Export Polyspace results to external formats such as SARIF JSON

In R2020b, you can use the new `polyspace-results-export` command to export Polyspace results to formats such as JSON and CSV.

- The JSON object follows the Static Analysis Results Interchange Format or SARIF notation.
- The CSV file has the same fields as produced by using the earlier `polyspace-report-generator` command with the `-generate-results-list-file` option.

Use the `polyspace-report-generator` command to generate PDF or Word reports in a predefined format. To package results using your own format, export them using the `polyspace-results-export` command and read the resulting JSON object or CSV file.

You can use this command with results generated locally or with results uploaded to Polyspace Access.

See also `polyspace-results-export`.

Using the JSON object or CSV file, you can display results in a convenient format. For instance, you can group defects found by Bug Finder based on their impact. Because the JSON object follows a standard notation, you can also use this format to display Polyspace results with results from other tools.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Simulink Block Annotation : Annotate Simulink blocks from Polyspace user interface to justify Polyspace results

In R2020b, you can annotate a Simulink block directly from the Polyspace user interface. See Annotate Blocks to Justify Issues.

Previously, when annotating a check on generated code from the Polyspace user interface, you had to locate the corresponding block in the Simulink Editor and annotate the block again. Starting in R2020b, you can annotate a check in the Polyspace user interface and have the annotations carry over to the Simulink blocks by using the traceable elements of the code. You do not have to go back to the model to re-enter the annotation.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

User Authentication : Use a credentials file to pass your Polyspace Access credentials at the command line

In R2020b, if you use a command that requires your Polyspace Access credentials, you can save these credentials in a file that you pass to the command. If you use that command inside a script, you no longer need to store your credentials in the script.

To create a credentials file, enter a set of credentials, either as `-login` and `-encrypted-password` entries on separate lines, for example:

```
-login jsmith  
-encrypted-password LAMMMEACDMKEFELKMNDCONEAPECEEKPL
```

Or as a `-api-key` entry:

```
-api-key keyValue123
```

For more information on generating API keys, see Configure **User Manager** (Polyspace Code Prover Access).

Save the file and pass it to the command by using the `-credentials-file` flag. You can use the credentials file with these Polyspace commands:

- `polyspace-access`
- `polyspace-results-export`
- `polyspace-report-generator`

For increased security, restrict the read/write permissions for the credentials file.

Previously, you could provide your Polyspace Access credentials in a script only by passing them directly to the command. Starting R2020b, when the command that requires the credentials runs, someone who is inspecting currently running processes, for instance, by using the command `ps aux` on Linux, can no longer see your credentials.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Importing Review Information: Accept information in source or destination results folder in case of merge conflicts

In R2020b, when importing review information such as severity, status, and comments at the command line, if the same result has different review information in the source and destination folder, you can choose one of the following:

- That the review information in the destination folder is retained.

This behavior is the default behavior of the `polyspace-comments-import` command.

- That the review information in the source folder overwrites the information in the destination folder.

You can switch to this behavior using the new option `-overwrite-destination-comments`.

See also `polyspace-comments-import`.

Previously, newer review information in the destination folder was retained and could not be overwritten. Now, when merging review information, you can choose whether the source or destination folder takes precedence in case of merge conflicts.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Functionality being removed: Polyspace Metrics

The Polyspace Metrics web dashboard will be removed in a future release.

Compatibility Considerations

To continue monitoring the quality of your code in a web browser, use Polyspace Access instead. In addition to a more intuitive dashboard, with Polyspace Access you can:

- Review and justify results directly from your web browser.
- Integrate a bug tracking tool such as Jira with the web interface and create tickets to track Polyspace findings.
- Monitor the quality of your code against coding standards such as AUTOSAR C++14, CERT C/C++, and MISRA C.
- Define custom Quality Objectives definitions and apply them to specific projects.

For more information, see Polyspace Code Prover Access .

See also Migrate Results from Polyspace Metrics to Polyspace Access (Polyspace Code Prover Access).

Code Quality Improvement Progress: Compare results from current run to previous runs and determine progress in code quality improvement

In R2020b, you can select any two runs of a project in the Polyspace web interface (current and baseline runs) and compare them. You can compare a current run to only older baseline runs.

The screenshot displays the 'Project Overview' section of the Polyspace Code Prover interface. It shows a comparison of analysis findings between a 'Baseline Run' and a 'Current Run' for the project 'Bug_Finder_Example'. The interface includes a 'Project Explorer' on the left, a 'Project Overview' section with a 'Summary' table, and a 'Details' table below it. The 'Summary' table compares metrics like 'Number of Files', 'Number of Lines Without Comm...', 'Defects - Total', 'Defects - Density', 'Coding Standards - Total', and 'Coding Standards - Density'. The 'Details' table shows the status of findings: 'Resolved', 'New', and 'Unresolved' for 'Defects', 'Custom Rules', and 'Polyspace Guidelines'.

Comparison	Baseline Run	Current Run
Number of Files	14	14
Number of Lines Without Comm...	5201	5201
Defects - Total	242	-
Defects - Density	36	0
Coding Standards - Total	49	-
Coding Standards - Density	9	0

Name	Resolved	New	Unre
Defects	188	-	
Custom Rules	45	-	
Polyspace Guidelines	4	-	


The comparison shows the number of analysis findings that are:

- **Resolved.** Findings from the baseline run no longer found in the current run.
- **New.** Findings in the current run that were not present in the baseline run.
- **Unresolved.** Findings from the baseline run that are still present in the current run.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Code Quality Objectives: Define custom quality objectives definitions and apply them to specific projects

In R2020b, you can create custom quality objectives definitions and apply those definitions to specific projects. For instance, if you want to track the compliance of a project with a coding standard, you can create Quality Objective thresholds for that coding standard and apply them to your project.




To create custom quality objectives definitions, you must be an **Administrator** or **Owner**.

Previously, custom quality objectives applied to all projects.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Project Selection: Find a project in the Project Explorer through a text filter

In R2020b, you can use a text filter in the **Project Explorer** to find projects that are not visible in a folder hierarchy. The text filter is not case sensitive.



Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Functionality being removed: Automatic Orange Tester

The Automatic Orange Tester will be removed in a future release.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use the Automatic Orange Tester with your Polyspace project, unset the corresponding options. In the desktop interface, you set these options in the **Configuration** pane under the **Advanced Settings** node.

If you use these command-line options in your scripts, remove them:

- `-automatic-orange-tester`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-loop-max-iteration`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-tests-number`
- `-automatic-orange-tester-timeout`

If you use these properties in your MATLAB scripts, remove them (`opts=polyspace.Options('C')`):

- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTester`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterLoopMaxIteration`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterTestsNumber`
- `opts.Advanced.AutomaticOrangeTesterTimeout`

Polyspace Access Installation

Bug Tracking Tool: Integrate with Jira Software Cloud

In R2020b, you can integrate Jira Software Cloud with Polyspace Access. After you configure Polyspace Access, you can create a Jira ticket to track Polyspace findings. The ticket is populated with details of the finding and a link to open that finding in Polyspace Access. See [Configure Issue Tracker \(Polyspace Code Prover Access\)](#).

Previously, you could integrate Polyspace Access with only self-managed Jira Software.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Cluster Admin Settings: Validate values of settings on demand or on save

In R2020b, the **Cluster Admin** validates the settings that you enter in the **Cluster Settings** when you save those settings. You can also validate the settings before you save by clicking **Validate now** at the bottom of the page.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

HTTPS Configuration: Configure services without specifying ports or SSL certificates

In R2020b, if you install Polyspace Access on a single node, the ports of the Polyspace Access services are no longer exposed. You do not need to specify port numbers for the services or to provide SSL private keys and certificates for the HTTPS configuration. See [Configure Polyspace Access for HTTPS \(Polyspace Code Prover Access\)](#).

Previously, you had to check the availability of the ports for the services, and then you provided a private key and SSL certificate file to enable the HTTPS protocol for Polyspace Access.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Functionality Replaced: Polyspace Access embedded LDAP

The Polyspace Access embedded LDAP is removed in R2020b. To continue using custom login credentials for Polyspace Access, use the **User Manager** internal directory instead. See [Authenticate Users from Internal Directory \(Polyspace Code Prover Access\)](#).

The screenshot shows the 'User Manager' interface. At the top left is the title 'User Manager' and at the top right is the user 'admin' with a dropdown arrow. Below the title is a 'Dashboard' section with a 'Create' button on the right. The main area contains a table with the following columns: 'Sign-in ID', 'Display Name', and 'Email'. There are four rows of user data, each with a small 'X' icon and a dropdown arrow on the right side of the row.

Sign-in ID	Display Name	Email
admin ADMIN	admin	admin@email.com
jdoe	John Doe	
jsmith	Jane Smith	
rroll	Richard Roll	

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Compatibility Considerations

In the **User Manager** interface, create users to transfer the user names and passwords that you stored in the embedded LDAP LDIF file to the **User Manager** database.

Changes in Polyspace Access docker containers, options, and binaries

In R2020b, the following docker containers, options, and binaries have been renamed:

- The cop-docker-agent binary is now called the admin-docker-agent
- **HTTPS Options**

Previous Option Name	Current Option Name
--https-certificate-file	--ssl-cert-file
--https-private-key-file	--ssl-key-file
--https-trusted-certificates-file	--ssl-ca-file

- **Containers**

Previous Container Name	Current Container Name
polyspace-db	polyspace-access-db-main
polyspace-etl	polyspace-access-etl-main
polyspace-gateway	gateway
polyspace-issuetracker	issuetracker-server-main
polyspace-web-server	polyspace-access-web-server-main

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Compatibility Considerations

In your scripts, replace instances of the previous names with the current names. You cannot reuse a settings configuration file (`settings.json`) from a previous release of Polyspace Access with the R2020b software.

R2020a

Version: 10.2

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Checking Initialization Code: Analyze initialization code alone before checking remaining program

In R2020a, you can mark off a section of code as initialization code and check for run-time errors only in this section.

For instance, in this example, the initialization code starts from the beginning of `main` and continues up to the pragma `polyspace_end_of_init`. The verification stops when the pragma is encountered.

```
#include <limits.h>

int aVar;
const int aConst = INT_MAX;
int anotherVar;

int main() {
    aVar = aConst + 1;
#pragma polyspace_end_of_init
    anotherVar = aVar - 1;
    return 0;
}
```

For more information, see [Verify initialization section of code only \(-init-only-mode\)](#).

Often, issues in the initialization code can invalidate the analysis of the remaining code. For instance, in the preceding example, the overflow in the line `aVar = aConst+1` must be fixed first before the value of `aVar` is used in subsequent code. Now, you can check the initialization code alone and fix the issues found before verifying the remaining program.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with MPLAB XC8 C compilers

If you build your source code by using MPLAB XC8 C compilers, in R2020a, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis.

Target Environment	
Compiler	microchip
Target processor type	pic

See also `MPLAB XC8 C Compiler (-compiler microchip)`.

You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of MPLAB XC8 C compilers. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis to emulate MPLAB XC16 and XC32 compilers

If you use MPLAB XC16 or XC32 compilers to build your source code, in R2020a, you can easily emulate these compilers by using the Polyspace GCC compiler options. See [Emulate Microchip MPLAB XC16 and XC32 Compilers](#).

For each compiler, you can emulate these target processor types:

- **MPLAB XC16:** Targets PIC24 and dsPIC.
- **MPLAB XC32:** Target PIC32.

You can copy the analysis options required for emulating MPLAB XC16 or XC32 compilers and paste into your Polyspace options file (or specify in a Polyspace project in the user interface), and avoid compilation errors from issues specific to these compilers.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Source Code Encoding: Non-ASCII characters in source code analyzed and displayed without errors

In R2020a, if your source code contains non-ASCII characters, for instance, Japanese or Korean characters, the Polyspace analysis can interpret the characters and later display the source code correctly.

If you still have compilation errors or display issues from non-ASCII characters, you can explicitly specify your source code encoding using the option `Source code encoding (-sources-encoding)`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Simulink Support : Analyze custom C code in C Function blocks

In R2020a, Polyspace can check custom C code in C Function blocks for bugs and run-time errors.

The analysis checks the C code in context of the model. In other words, the analysis uses design ranges and other context information specified in the model.

To analyze custom C code in C Function block, select **Custom Code Used in Model** instead of **Code Generated as Top Model** (meant for generated code) on the **Polyspace** tab in Simulink and then start the analysis. In addition to functions called from C Caller blocks and Stateflow charts, the custom code in C Function blocks are also checked for run-time errors. See [Run Polyspace Analysis on Custom Code in C Function Block](#).


The Polyspace analysis of custom code now includes individual scripts in C Function blocks (block introduced in Simulink in R2020a). In a single run, you can analyze all handwritten C code invoked from your model and check for bugs, run-time errors or coding rule violations.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Project Creation from AUTOSAR Configuration: Troubleshoot project creation more easily with resolution hints

In R2020a, when creating a Polyspace project from your ARXML and source files, if you run into errors during code extraction, the analysis provides helpful resolution hints for these common code extraction issues:

- Undefined data types
- Include files not found

To see the resolution hints, in the file `psar_project.xhtml`, click the  button on the upper left, then click **Behaviors**. On the **Behaviors** tab, below the errors in the code extraction phase, click the link to see a summary of code-extraction diagnostics with possible resolution hints.

Extract implementation code for **89** AUTOSAR behaviors with proof artifacts:

- [noRunnableImplementation \(30\)](#)
- [error_noRunnableImplementationTopFileError \(3\)](#)
- [error_atLeastOneRunnableInFileThatDoesNotCompile \(23\)](#)
- [subsetOfRunnablesImplementation \(3\)](#)
- [allRunnablesImplementation \(30\)](#)

[See summary of code-extraction diagnostics with possible resolution hints](#)

Execution reported errors and warnings. [Reported errors](#) [See detailed log messages](#)

The resolution hints are of two types:

- For undefined data types, the analysis proposes matches from the ARXML that could possibly be used for the data types. You can specify these data types with the `polyspace-autosar` option `-autosar-datatype`.
- For missing include files, the analysis proposes matches for the include files from subfolders of the source folder. You can specify these include files with the `polyspace-autosar` option `-I`.

Instead of fixing individual code extraction errors using the resolution hints, you can download a file with all options that implement the hints. On the summary page, click the link **Download polyspace-autosar options**.

Summary of polyspace-autosar code-extraction diagnostics

Lists diagnostics that are reported when extracting the implementation-code of one or more AUTOSAR behaviors. Each diagnostic may have "resolution-hints" which are specific to the class of error.

Resolution-hints can translate to `polyspace-autosar` options that you may add to your project [Download polyspace-autosar options](#)

You can use the downloaded text file with the `polyspace-autosar` option `-options-file` to implement the resolution hints in one shot.

For information on the options, see `polyspace-autosar`.

Most issues during the code extraction phase of Polyspace project creation come from data types not found or missing include files. You can now address a significant fraction of these issues with a simple one-click resolution.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Jenkins Support : Use sample Jenkins Pipeline script to run Polyspace as part of continuous delivery pipeline

In R2020a, you can start from a template Jenkins Pipeline script to run Polyspace analysis as part of a continuous delivery pipeline.

See Sample Jenkins Pipeline Scripts for Polyspace Analysis.

You can make simple replacements to adapt the template to your Polyspace Server and Access installations, and include the script in a new or existing Jenkinsfile to get up and running with Polyspace in Jenkins Pipelines.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

Option `-function-behavior-specifications` renamed to `-code-behavior-specifications` and capabilities extended

Warns

The option `-function-behavior-specifications` has been renamed to `-code-behavior-specifications`.

Using this option, you could previously map your functions to standard library functions to work around analysis imprecisions or specify thread creation routines. Now, you can use the option to define a blacklist of functions to forbid from your source code.

See also `-code-behavior-specifications`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Changes in MATLAB functions, options object and properties:

`polyspaceCodeProverNodesktop` removed

Errors

Use `polyspaceCodeProver(projectFile, '-nodesktop')` instead of `polyspaceCodeProverNodesktop(projectFile)`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

`pslinksetup` removed

Errors

Use `polyspacesetup` instead of `pslinksetup` to integrate between Polyspace and Simulink (in the same release or across releases). See Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB and Simulink.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Verification Results

Checks on Initialization Code: Verify that global variables are initialized after warm reboot

In R2020a, you can mark off a section of a C program as initialization code and verify if all non-const global variables are explicitly initialized at declaration or in that section.

For instance, in this simple example, the initialization code starts from the beginning of `main` and continues up to the `pragma polyspace_end_of_init`. The global variable `aVar` is initialized in this section but the variable `anotherVar` is not.

```
int aVar;
const int aConst = -1;
int anotherVar;

int main() {
    aVar = aConst;
#pragma polyspace_end_of_init
    return 0;
}
```

For more information, see:

- Check that global variables are initialized after warm reboot (`-check-globals-init`)
- Global variable not assigned a value in initialization code

In a warm reboot, to save time, the bss segment of a program, which might hold variable values from a previous state, is not loaded. Instead, the program is supposed to explicitly initialize all non-const variables without default values before execution. You can now delimit this initialization code and verify that all non-const global variables are indeed initialized in a warm reboot.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Changes in run-time checks

In R2020a, you see these changes in the results of Code Prover run-time checks.

Check	Change
Uncaught exception	<p>The check no longer flags the case where a function throws an exception whose data type is not in the list of exception types in the function declaration.</p> <p>For instance, the function <code>foo</code> is declared to throw exceptions of type <code>int</code> and <code>std::exception</code>:</p> <pre>void foo2() throw(std::exception, int);</pre> <p>Code Prover used to check if the function can throw exceptions outside the specified types. The check is not performed from R2020a onwards.</p> <p>Dynamic exception specification using the above syntax is deprecated in C++11 and removed in the later standard C++17. See also Dynamic exception specification in the C++ standard.</p>

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access


Compatibility Considerations

You can see a change in the number of results flagged by the updated run-time checks.

Reviewing Results

AUTOSAR Support: Navigate from Polyspace findings to AUTOSAR ARXML specifications

In R2020a, if you run Polyspace on AUTOSAR code and upload the results to Polyspace Access, you can navigate from the AUTOSAR finding to the corresponding AUTOSAR behavior specification.



From the **Result Details** pane for an AUTOSAR finding, click **parameter spec** to open the **AUTOSAR behavior specification**. You can use the information in the spec to further investigate the cause of an AUTOSAR finding.

See also Review Polyspace Results on AUTOSAR Code.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Bug Tracking Tool Support: Create Redmine tickets for Polyspace Access results and assign to developers

In R2020a, Polyspace Access supports integration with the Redmine bug tracking tool. If you use Redmine, after you configure Polyspace Access, you can create a Redmine ticket to track Polyspace findings. The ticket is populated with details of the finding and a link to open that finding in Polyspace Access. You can add the ticket to any existing Redmine project.

Create Redmine ticket for finding #9 (10.1 The value of an expression...)

Project*

Tracker*

Subject* 10.1 The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a signed char

Description

Implicit conversion of the expression of underlying type 'signed int' to the type 'signed char' that is not a wider integer type of the same signedness.

Found in /local/test/sources/CP_C_R2019a/single_file_analysis.c

- Go to Polyspace finding here:
<https://myAccess.company.com:9443/metrics/index.html?a=review&p=3&r=1&fid=9>

Status*

Priority*

Assignee

Estimated time

Create Cancel

Once you create a ticket, the **Result Details** pane displays a link that you can click to open the ticket in the Redmine interface. See also Track Issue in Bug Tracking Tool.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Simulink Support: Navigate from generated code in Polyspace Access to blocks in model

In R2020a, if you run Polyspace on generated code in Simulink and upload the results to Polyspace Access, you can navigate from the source code in Polyspace Access to blocks in the model.

On the **Source Code** pane in the Polyspace Access web interface, links in code comments show blocks that generate the subsequent lines of code. To see the block in the model:

- 1 Right-click a link and select **Copy MATLAB Command to Highlight Block**.

The screenshot shows a code editor window titled 'Source Code' with a file named 'test20a.c'. The code contains several MATLAB-style comments with blue hyperlinks. A context menu is open over the link '<Root>/T=1' on line 37. The menu options are: 'Go To Line', 'Copy File Path To Clipboard', and 'Copy MATLAB Command to Highlight Block'. The third option is highlighted with a blue border.

```

28 /* Real-time model */
29 RT_MODEL_test20a_T test20a_M_;
30 RT_MODEL_test20a_T *const test20a_M = &test20a_M_;
31
32 /* Model step function */
33 void test20a_step(void)
34 {
35     /* Output: '<Root>/Out1' incorporates:
36      * Gain: '<Root>/Gain'
37      * Inport: '<Root>/T=1'
38     */
39     test20a_Y.Out1 = 2;
40 }
41
42 /* Model initialize function */
43 void test20a_initialize(void)

```

This action copies the MATLAB command required to highlight the block. The command uses the `Simulink.ID.hilite` function.

- 2 In MATLAB, with the model open, paste and run the copied command.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Results Review: See review history of findings

In R2020a, you can open the **Review History** pane to see all the changes to the review fields of findings with a timestamp and the name of the user who made the change. On the Polyspace Access toolbar, select **Layout > Show/Hide View**.

ENVIRONMENT		REVIEW		
Result Details		Review History x		
Show All				
Date and Time	User	What Chan	Original value	New value
4/27/2020 3:35:15 PM	ps_user	Comment	Reassigning to project owner	Changing severity to low
4/27/2020 3:35:04 PM	ps_user	Severity	High	Low
4/27/2020 3:34:55 PM	ps_user	Status	To investigate	To fix
4/27/2020 3:34:22 PM	jdoe	Comment	Triage of data race defects	Reassigning to project owner
4/27/2020 3:33:16 PM	jsmith	Severity	Unset	High
4/27/2020 3:33:10 PM	jsmith	Status	Unreviewed	To investigate
4/27/2020 3:33:06 PM	jsmith	Comment		Triage of data race defects

You can use this information to better understand how and why the **Severity** or **Status** of a finding has changed, and retrieve previous comments that were overwritten.

For more information, see Review History.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Results Review: See the configuration options used for analysis

In R2020a, you can open the **Configuration Settings** pane to view the Polyspace configuration options that were enabled to generate the analysis results. On the Polyspace Access toolstrip, select **Layout > Show/Hide View**.

Options	Value
-author	MathWorks
-checkers	BAD_PLAIN_CHAR_USE, BITWISE_NEG, FLOAT_ABSORPTION, FLOAT_CONV_OVFL, FLOAT_OVFL, FLOAT_STD_LIB, FLOAT_ZERO_DIV, INT_CONSTANT_OVFL, INT_CONV_OVFL, INT_OVFL, INT_PRECISION_EXCEEDED, INT_STD_LIB, INT_TO_FLOAT_PRECISION_LOSS, INT_ZERO_DIV, INVALID_OPERATION_ON_BOOLEAN, SHIFT_NEG, SHIFT_OVFL, SIGN_CHANGE, UINT_CONSTANT_OVFL, UINT_CONV_OVFL, UINT_OVFL
-compiler	gnu4.6
-critical-section-begin	BEGIN_CRITICAL_SECTION:Cs10, acquire_sensor:Cs11, acquire_printer:Cs12, acquire_sensor2:Cs13, acquire_printer2:Cs14
-critical-section-end	END_CRITICAL_SECTION:Cs10, release_sensor:Cs11, release_printer:Cs12, release_sensor2:Cs13, release_printer2:Cs14
-date	08/12/2019
-do-not-generate-results-for	all-headers
-dos	true
-entry-points	bug_datarace_task1, bug_datarace_task2, bug_datarace_task3, bug_datarace_task4, bug_deadlock_task1, bug_deadlock_task2, bug_doublelock_task, bug_doubleunlock_task, bug_badlock_task, bug_badunlock_task, bug_dataracstdlib_task1, bug_dataracstdlib_task2, bug_destroylocked_task, corrected_datarace_task1, corrected_datarace_task2, corrected_datarace_task3, corrected_datarace_task4, corrected_deadlock_task1, corrected_deadlock_task2, corrected_doublelock_task, corrected_doubleunlock_task, corrected_badlock_task, corrected_badunlock_task, corrected_dataracstdlib_task1, corrected_dataracstdlib_task2, corrected_destroylocked_task
-lang	C
-misra3	mandatory
-prog	Bug_Finder_Example
-results-dir	D:\Polyspace\Bug_Finder_Example\BF_Result_1
-target	x86_64
-verif-version	1.0


You can use this information to better understand your results. For instance, you might expect to see a certain coding rule violation but the checker for this rule is not enabled. Previously, you had to parse the **Run Log** to see which options and checkers were enabled.

For more information, see Configuration Settings.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Code Quality Objectives: Customize thresholds used to track the quality of your code

In R2020a, if you use Quality Objectives to track the quality of your code, you can customize the thresholds you use as pass/fail criteria to better align with your company or project requirements. For instance, you can define quality gates to ensure adherence to a specific external coding standard.



Project Overview | Quality Objectives x | Quality Objectives Settings x

Save | Back to default

⚠ Changes to settings apply to all projects.

Quality Objectives Criteria

- Defects 289/289
- Run-time Checks 20/30
- Global Variables 0/4
- Code Metrics 13/31
- Custom Rules 0/43
- MISRAACAGC 1/129
- MISRA C:2012 49/170
- MISRA C++:2008 73/202
- MISRA C:2004 49/131**
- JSF AV C++ 0/157
- SEI CERT C 0/203
- SEI CERT C++ 0/126
- ISO/IEC TS 17961 0/46
- AUTOSAR C++14 0/251

▼ MISRA C:2004

View by Group | View by Category

	Category	SQ01	SQ02	SQ03	SQ04	SQ05	SQ06	Exhaus
▾ MISRA C:2004 49/131	–	■	■	■	■	☑	☑	☑
▶ 1 Environment 0/1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	☑
▶ 2 Language extensions 0/3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	☑
▶ 3 Documentation 0/1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	☑
▶ 4 Character sets 0/2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	☑
▶ 5 Identifiers 1/7	–	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑
▶ 6 Types 1/5	–	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☑
▶ 7 Constants 0/1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	☑
▶ 8 Declarations and definitions 3/12	–	■	■	■	■	☑	☑	☑
▶ 9 Initialization 2/3	–	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☑
▶ 10 Arithmetic type conversions 2/6	–	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☑
▶ 11 Pointer type conversions 4/5	–	■	■	■	■	☑	☑	☑
▶ 12 Expressions 7/13	–	■	■	■	■	☑	☑	☑
▶ 13 Control statement expressions 6/7	–	■	■	■	■	☑	☑	☑
▶ 14 Control flow 4/10	–	■	■	■	■	☑	☑	☑


To make changes to the quality objectives settings, you must have a role of **Administrator**.

Previously, you could track the quality of your code only against thresholds. See Customize Software Quality Objectives.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Project Dashboard: Open results by clicking Dashboard charts

In R2020a, you can click a section of a pie chart or the legend of a pie chart to open the corresponding findings in the **Results List** and more easily narrow the scope of your review.



Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Bug Tracking Tool Support: Manage tickets for multiple findings


In R2020a, if you create a bug tracking tool ticket in Polyspace Access, you can select multiple findings that you associate with the ticket. If a ticket already exists, you can add that ticket to additional findings or you can detach the ticket from findings that are associated with the ticket.

Previously, you could create a ticket for only one finding at a time and you could not detach a ticket from a finding.

For more information, see [Track Issue in Bug Tracking Tool](#).

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.


Results Review: View error call graph

In R2020a, if you review **Run-Time Checks**, click the  icon to open the **Error Call Graph** pane.

The pane displays the call sequence that leads to the detected finding. Click a node on the graph to navigate back to the source code.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Results Review: View variable access graph

In R2020a, if you review **Global Variables** findings, click the  icon to open the **Variable Access Graph** pane.

The pane displays a graphical representation of the access operations on global variables. Click a node on the graph to navigate back to the source code at the location of calling and called functions.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Exporting Results: Export only results that must be reviewed to satisfy software quality objectives (SQOs)

Summary: In R2020a, when exporting Polyspace results from the Polyspace Access web interface to a text file, you can export only those results that must be fixed or justified to satisfy your software quality objectives. The software quality objectives are specified through a progressively stricter set of SQO levels, numbered from 1 to 6.

See also:

- `polyspace-access`
- Send Email Notifications with Polyspace Code Prover Results
- Software Quality Objectives (Polyspace Code Prover Access)

Benefits: You can customize the requirements of each level in the Polyspace Access web interface, and then use the option `-open-findings-for-sqo` with the level number to export only those results that must be reviewed to meet the requirements.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Report Generation: Configure report generator to communicate with Polyspace Access over HTTPS

In R2020a, if you generate reports for results that are stored on Polyspace Access, you can configure the `polyspace-report-generator` binary to communicate with Polyspace Access over HTTPS.

Use the `-configure-keystore` option to run this one-time configuration step. See `polyspace-report-generator`.

Previously, you needed a Polyspace Bug Finder desktop license to generate reports if Polyspace Access was configured with HTTPS.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Report Generation: Navigate to Polyspace Access Results List from report

In R2020a, if you generate a report for results that are stored on Polyspace Access, you can navigate from the report to the **Results List** in the Polyspace Access web interface.

ID	Guideline	Message	Function
68688	D1.1	Any implementation-defined behaviour on which the output of the program depends shall be documented and understood. The abort function returns an implementation-defined termination status to the host environment.	File Scope
68695	21.8	The library functions abort, exit and system of <stdlib.h> shall not be used.	File Scope
68841	8.4	A compatible declaration shall be visible when an object or function with external linkage is defined. Function 'bug_datarace_task1' has no visible prototype at definition.	File Scope
68835	8.4	A compatible declaration shall be visible when an object or function with external linkage is defined. Function 'bug_datarace_task2' has no visible prototype at definition.	File Scope


Click the link in the **ID** column to open Polyspace Access with the **Results List** filtered down to the corresponding finding.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Polyspace Access Installation

Installation and Configuration: Issue Tracker service

In R2020a, use the new **Issue Tracker** service to configure Polyspace Access to integrate with the Jira software or Redmine bug tracking tools.



The screenshot shows the 'Issue Tracker' configuration form. The fields are as follows:

Node:	master ▼
Port number:	5002
Use HTTPS protocol:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trusted certificates file:	
Provider:	JIRA ▼
URL:	athworks.com
Authentication type:	JIRA

The 'Authentication type' dropdown menu is open, showing options: None, JIRA, and Redmine.

See Configure the **User Manager** and **Issue Tracker**.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Installation and Configuration: Change in default location of Polyspace Access data volume and working directories

In R2020a, the default location of the working directories of the Polyspace Access **Web Server** and **ETL** services and of the data volume is inside the folder where you unzipped the Polyspace Access ZIP file, under the `polyspace` folder.

Previously, the working directories of the **Web Server** and **ETL** were stored in the temporary files folder of your system (`/tmp` on Linux or `%TEMP%` on Windows). The data volume was stored under `/var/lib/docker/volumes` on Linux.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

R2019b

Version: 10.1

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Shared Variables Mode: Run a less extensive Code Prover analysis on complete application to compute global variable sharing and usage only

In R2019b, you can run a less extensive Code Prover analysis on your complete application to see a list of all global variables and their sharing and usage.

Code Prover Verification	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Verify whole application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Show global variable sharing and usage only

In this mode, the analysis stops before the run-time error detection phase and the results contain:

- Global variables (shared, unshared, used, unused)
- Coding rules, if coding rule checking is enabled
- Code metrics, if code metrics computation is enabled

The **Variable Access** pane shows all read and write operations on global variables.

See also Show global variable sharing and usage only (-shared-variables-mode).

You can now run Code Prover on your entire application to see global variable sharing and usage, and then run Code Prover component-by-component for run-time error detection. Previously, global variables were reported only in the full analysis that included run-time error detection. Run-time error detection can sometimes take significantly longer for complete applications. If you want to review only the global variable sharing and usage in your complete application, you no longer require the full analysis.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Cosmic compilers

If you build your source code by using Cosmic compilers, in R2019b, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis.

Target Environment	
Compiler	cosmic <input type="text"/>
Target processor type	s12z <input type="text"/>

See also Cosmic Compiler (-compiler cosmic).

You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of Cosmic compilers. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.


Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Simulink Support: Analyze generated code by using contextual buttons on the Simulink Editor toolstrip

In R2019b, a toolstrip with contextual buttons replaces the menus and toolbars in the Simulink Editor. For details, see release notes.

Code generation and verification tasks appear in separate tabs on the Simulink toolstrip.

- To generate code, open the **C Code** tab. To access this tab, on the **Apps** tab, select **Embedded Coder**.
- To analyze the generated code, open the **Polyspace** tab. To access this tab, on the **Apps** tab, select **Polyspace Code Verifier**.



The Simulink toolstrip includes contextual tabs, which appear only when you need them.


Additional Considerations

All menu items available earlier in the submenu **Code > Polyspace** now appear on the **Polyspace** tab. See Changes in Polyspace Analysis Workflows in Simulink in R2019b.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Simulink Support: Verify custom code called from C Caller blocks and Stateflow charts in context of model

In R2019b, Polyspace can check functions called from C Caller blocks and Stateflow® charts for bugs and run-time errors. The analysis extracts the functions' inputs and other call context information from the model.



See Run Polyspace Analysis on Custom Code in C Caller Blocks and Stateflow Charts.


With support for custom code analysis, you can:

- Check whether handwritten code called from model has issues:

You typically use model verification software such as Simulink Design Verifier™ to check for bugs and run-time errors in a model. The model verification software shows only a small subset of run-time errors in handwritten code loaded on C Caller blocks and Stateflow charts. With Polyspace, you can check for bugs, run-time errors, coding standard violations and many other issues in handwritten code directly from your Simulink model and supplement the checks at the model level.

- Use call context information for handwritten functions from signal ranges in model:

The analysis uses call context information from the model. For instance, in this simple model, the function `times_n` is called in two C caller blocks (named `Multiply_unbounded_input` and `Multiply_bounded_input`).




When you analyze custom code, in this case the function `times_n`, the analysis shows that an operation in the custom code can overflow. From the analysis results, you can determine that the

overflow occurs only when the function is called in the `Multiply_unbounded_input` block but not when it is called from the `Multiply_bounded_input` block.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Simulink Support: Compare two Polyspace result sets and see the effect of changes in model or code generation parameters

In R2019b, you can open previous Polyspace results on a model directly from the Simulink Editor. You can look at two Polyspace result sets for side-by-side comparison.




Previously, you could open only the latest result from the Simulink editor. To open a previous result, you had to locate the result outside Simulink in your file explorer and open the result in the Polyspace user interface. You can now perform these actions more easily:

- Change a section of the model or a code generation option, regenerate code, rerun Polyspace, open the new results, and compare with a previous result.
- Change a Polyspace analysis option, rerun Polyspace, open the new results, and compare with a previous result.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Configuration from Build System: Compiler version automatically detected from build system

In R2019b, if you create a Polyspace analysis configuration from your build system by using the `polyspace-configure` command or in the user interface, the analysis uses the correct compiler version for the option `Compiler (-compiler)` for GNU® C, Clang, and Microsoft® Visual C++® compilers. You do not have to change the compiler before starting the Polyspace analysis.



Previously, if you traced your build system to create a Polyspace analysis configuration, the latest supported compiler version was used in the configuration. If your code was compiled with an earlier version, you might encounter compilation errors and have to explicitly specify an earlier compiler version before starting the analysis.

For instance, if the Polyspace analysis configuration uses the version GCC 4.9 and some of the standard headers in your GCC version include the file `x86intrin.h`, you can see a compilation error such as this error:

```
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/6/include/avx512bwintrin.h, line 2427:
|           error: invalid type conversion
|           return (__m512i) __builtin_ia32_packssdw512_mask ((__v16si) __A,
```

You had to connect the error to the incorrect compiler version, and then explicitly set a different version. Now, the compiler version is automatically detected when you create a project from your build command.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Changes in analysis options and binaries

Check MISRA C:2012 (-misra3) option values CERT-rules, CERT-all, and ISO-17961 are removed

Warns

Check MISRA C:2012 (-misra3) option values CERT-rules, CERT-all, and ISO-17961 are removed. Previously, you used **Check MISRA C:2012 (-misra3)** with these options values to check your code for violations of the CERT C and ISO/IEC TS 17961 coding standards. Use a Polyspace Bug Finder analysis with the new **Coding Standards & Code Metrics** analysis options Check SEI CERT-C (-cert-c) and Check ISO/IEC TS 17961 (-iso-17961) instead.

The new analysis options simplify checking for violations of coding standards CERT C and ISO/IEC TS 17961. For more information, see Changes in Coding Standard Checking in R2019a (Polyspace Bug Finder) (Polyspace Bug Finder)

You get a warning when you use the removed option values. Polyspace automatically replaces the removed option value with the value indicated in this table.

Option	Replace by
-misra3 CERT-rules	-misra3 all
-misra3 CERT-all	
-misra3 ISO-17961	

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Check MISRA C++ rules (-misra-cpp) option values CERT-rules and CERT-all are removed

Warns

Check MISRA C++:2008 (-misra-cpp) option values CERT-rules and CERT-all are removed. Previously, you used **Check MISRA C++ rules (-misra-cpp)** with these options values to check your code for violations of the CERT C++ coding standards. Use a Polyspace Bug Finder analysis with the new **Coding Standards & Code Metrics** analysis option Check SEI CERT-C++ (-cert-cpp) instead.

The new analysis option simplifies checking for violations of the CERT C++ coding standard. For more information, see [Changes in Coding Standard Checking in R2019a \(Polyspace Bug Finder\)](#) (Polyspace Bug Finder)

You get a warning when you use the removed option values. Polyspace automatically replaces the removed option value with the value indicated in this table.

Option	Replaced by
-misra-cpp CERT-rules	-misra-cpp all-rules
-misra-cpp CERT-all	

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Changes in MATLAB functions, options object and properties

Direct file specification not allowed for CodingRulesCodeMetrics properties that denote rule subsets

Errors

The properties of a `polyspace.Project` object that indicate coding rule subsets no longer take a text file as argument. To specify a custom subset of rules, instead of specifying a text file directly, use the value `from-file` and then specify an XML file using the `CheckersSelectionByFile` property. For instance, if `proj` is a `polyspace.Project` object, instead of:


```
proj.Configuration.CodingRulesCodeMetrics.MisraCppSubset = 'C:\rules.txt';
```

use:

```
proj.Configuration.CodingRulesCodeMetrics.MisraCppSubset = 'from-file';
proj.Configuration.CodingRulesCodeMetrics.EnableCheckersSelectionByFile = true;
proj.Configuration.CodingRulesCodeMetrics.CheckersSelectionByFile = 'C:\rules.xml';
```

where `rules.xml` contains the same specifications as `rules.txt`.

You can convert existing text files into XML files in the Polyspace user interface. In the **Coding**

Standards & Code Metrics node of the **Configuration** pane, click . In the **Findings selection** window, select the files then click **Save Changes**. Polyspace consolidates the files into a single XML file, and saves this file as `filename.xml`, where `filename` is the name of the first selected file alphabetically. For instance, if you select the text files `foo.conf` and `bar.conf`, they are saved as `bar.conf.xml`.

The change affects these subproperties of the `CodingRulesCodeMetrics` property:

- `AcAgcSubset`
- `JsfSubset`
- `MisraC3Subset`
- `MisraCSubset`
- `MisraCppSubset`

See also `polyspace.Project.Configuration` Properties.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Format for specifying properties of polyspace.CodingRulesOptions object changed*Errors*

The properties of the `polyspace.CodingRulesOptions` object are now grouped into sections. Instead of specifying a rule directly, specify the containing section first and then the rule.

For instance, if `rules` is a `polyspace.CodingRulesOptions` object that specifies MISRA C:2012 rules, instead of:

```
rules.rule_2_1 = false;
```

use:

```
rules.Section_2_Unused_code.rule_2_1 = false;
```

To find the section number for a rule, see Coding Standards. To find the property corresponding to the section name, use auto-completion for MATLAB object properties.

See also `polyspace.CodingRulesOptions`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Using checkers selection file required for polyspace.CodingRulesOptions object*Errors*

If you assign a `polyspace.CodingRulesOptions` object to an analysis configuration, for instance:

```
misraRules = polyspace.CodingRulesOptions('misraC2012');  
proj = polyspace.Project;  
proj.Configuration.CodingRulesCodeMetrics.MisraC3Subset = misraRules;
```

You must also enable the use of a checkers selection file, for instance:

```
proj.Configuration.CodingRulesCodeMetrics.EnableCheckersSelectionByFile = true;
```

You have to enable checkers selection by file because the Polyspace run uses an XML file underneath to enable the coding rule checkers. The XML file is saved in a `.settings` subfolder of the results folder.

See also `polyspace.CodingRulesOptions`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Verification Results

Function Stub Improvements: See fewer orange checks from default conservative assumptions on pointer arguments

In R2019b, a Code Prover analysis assumes that stubbed function arguments passed by reference or pointer cannot remain uninitialized on return from the function. A function is stubbed if its definition is not available for the analysis. See Stubbed Functions.

You see fewer orange checks from the previous default assumption that stubbed function arguments that are not initialized might remain uninitialized on return from the function.

For instance, in the following example, Code Prover assumes that `i` is initialized on return from the function `stub`. With this assumption, the non-initialized variable check on `i` in the line `j=i` appears green.

```
int main(void)
{
    int i, j;
    stub(&i);
    j = i;
    return 0;
}
```

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations

You see fewer orange non-initialized variable checks compared to previous releases. To revert to the previous conservative assumptions for specific function stubs, specify external constraints. See External Constraints for Polyspace Analysis.

MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.12: Dynamic memory allocation shall not be used

In R2019b, you can look for violations of MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.12. The directive states that dynamic memory allocation and deallocation packages provided by the Standard Library or third-party packages shall not be used. The use of these packages can lead to undefined behavior.

See MISRA C:2012 Dir 4.12.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Reviewing Results

Code Annotations: Justify Code Prover results by using annotations spread over multiple lines

In R2019b, you can enter multi-line code annotations to justify Polyspace results. Subsequent runs can use these annotations and automatically populate the severity, status, and comments fields for previously reviewed results.

See Annotate Code and Hide Known or Acceptable Results.

Previously, the entire Polyspace annotation could span one line only. With the single-line constraint removed, you can add more detailed explanations in code annotations and view the entire annotation in your code editor, or let your code editor wrap the annotations. For instance, you can enter a code annotation like this annotation:

```
x++; /* polyspace RTE:OVFL "This operation
      cannot overflow
      because of
      external constraints" */
```

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Polyspace Access Installation

User Authentication : Use LDAP search filters to restrict number of users to authenticate

In R2019b, if you use your organization's Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) to authenticate users, you can filter for and load a subset of users from your LDAP database when you start Polyspace Code Prover Access. Previously, you loaded all LDAP users listed under the **LDAP base** that you specified when you started Polyspace Code Prover Access.

To filter the LDAP users, use the new **LDAP search filter** field in the Cluster Operator settings for the **User Manager** service. For more information, see Use Your Organization LDAP.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

User Management : Update list of users from LDAP database or LDIF file

In R2019b, if you remove users from your organization's Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) database or from the Polyspace Access embedded LDAP LDIF file, you can update the list of users stored in the Polyspace Access database. Previously, users that were removed from the LDAP database or from the LDIF file were still visible in the list of users you selected when assigning findings or managing project permissions.

To update the list of users stored in the Polyspace Access database, append `/users/list/removed` to the URL that you use to Open the Polyspace Access Web Interface. Only an **Administrator** can perform this operation. For more information, see Manage LDAP Users in Polyspace Access.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

R2019a

Version: 10.0

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Polyspace-only Licenses: Install Polyspace without MATLAB installation

In R2019a, you can install the Polyspace products without a MATLAB installation.

If you use Windows® or Linux® binaries to automate your Polyspace analysis and do not otherwise use MATLAB in your workflow, you do not require a MATLAB installation. However, if you want to use the conveniences of MATLAB scripting such as easy reading and visualization of Polyspace results and syntax completion for functions, you can install MATLAB separately and link with your Polyspace installation.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use MATLAB scripts to run Polyspace, you can continue to run your scripts as before. However, your initial set up is different from previous releases:

- Run the installer twice with separate licenses to install MATLAB and Polyspace in separate folders.
- Perform a setup step to link your Polyspace installation with your MATLAB installation.

See Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB and Simulink.

New Polyspace Products Supporting Continuous Integration: Perform automated code analysis after code submission with Polyspace Code Prover Server and Polyspace Code Prover Access

R2019a brings new Polyspace products for automated runs on server class machines:

- Polyspace Bug Finder Server and Polyspace Bug Finder Access
- Polyspace Code Prover Server and Polyspace Code Prover Access

The current products, Polyspace Bug Finder and Polyspace Code Prover, can be used by individual developers on their desktops.

The new Polyspace products are designed for automated runs in a continuous integration workflow. With the new products, the Polyspace suite of products now supports all phases of a software development process:


- *Prior to code submission:*

Developers can run the Polyspace desktop products to check their code during development or right before submission to meet predefined quality goals.

The desktop products can be plugged in IDEs such as Eclipse or run with scripts, for instance during compilation. The analysis results can be reviewed in IDEs such as Eclipse or in the graphical user interface of the desktop products.

- *After code submission:*

The Polyspace server products can run automatically on newly committed code as a build step in a continuous integration process (using tools such as Jenkins). The analysis runs on a server using the product Polyspace Bug Finder Server or Polyspace Code Prover Server and the results are uploaded to the Polyspace Access web interface for collaborative review.



Note: Depending on the specifications, the same computer can serve as both Server 1 and Server 2.

See Polyspace Products for Code Analysis and Verification.

For more information on the new products, see:


- Polyspace Bug Finder Server
- Polyspace Code Prover Server
- Polyspace Bug Finder Access
- Polyspace Code Prover Access

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Code Prover Analysis Engine Separated from Viewer: Run Code Prover analysis on server and view the results from multiple client machines

In R2019a, you can run Code Prover on a server with the new product, Polyspace Code Prover Server. You can then host the analysis results on the same server or a second server with the product, Polyspace Code Prover Access. Developers whose code was analyzed and other reviewers such as quality engineers and development managers can fetch these results from the server to their

desktops and view the results in a web browser, provided they have a Polyspace Code Prover Access license.



Note: Depending on the specifications, the same computer can serve as both Server 1 and Server 2.


You can run the Code Prover analysis on a few powerful server class machines but view the analysis results from many terminals.

With the desktop product, Polyspace Code Prover, you have to run the analysis and view the results on the same machine. To view the results on a different machine, you need a second instance of a desktop product. The desktop products can now be used by individual developers on their desktops prior to code submission and the server products used after code submission. See Polyspace Products for Code Analysis and Verification (Polyspace Bug Finder Server).

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Continuous Integration Support: Run Code Prover on server class computers with continuous upload to Polyspace Access web interface

In R2019a, you can check exhaustively for run-time errors on server class machines as part of continuous integration. When developers submit code to a shared repository, a build automation tool such as Jenkins can perform the checks using the new Polyspace Code Prover Server product. The analysis results can be uploaded to the Polyspace Access web interface for review. Each reviewer with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license can login to the Polyspace Access web interface and review the results.



Note: Depending on the specifications, the same computer can serve as both Server 1 and Server 2.

See:

- Install Polyspace Server and Access Products
- Run Polyspace Code Prover on Server and Upload Results to Web Interface

The continuous integration support with the Polyspace Server and Access products enables the following:

- *Automated post-submission checks:* In a continuous integration process, build scripts run automatically on new code submissions before integration with a code base. With the new product Polyspace Code Prover Server, a Code Prover analysis can be included in this build process. The analysis can run Code Prover checks on the new code submissions and report the results. The results can be reviewed in the Polyspace Access web interface with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license.
- *Collaborative review:* The analysis results can be uploaded to the Polyspace Access web interface for collaborative review. For instance:
 - Each quality assurance engineer with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license can review the Code Prover results for a project and assign issues to developers for fixing.
 - Each development team manager with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license can see an overview of Code Prover results for all projects managed by the team (and also drill down to details if necessary).

For further details, see the release notes of Polyspace Code Prover Access .

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Continuous Integration Support : Set up testing criteria based on Code Prover static analysis results

In R2019a, you can run Code Prover on server class machines as part of unit and integration testing. You can define and set up testing criteria based on Code Prover static analysis results.

For instance, you can set up the criteria that new code submissions must have zero red checks (definite run-time errors) before integration with a code base. Any submission with red checks can cause a test failure and require code fixes.

See:

- `polyspace-code-prover-server` for how to run Code Prover on servers.
- `polyspace-access` for how to export Code Prover results for comparison against predefined testing criteria.

If you use Jenkins for build automation, you can use the Polyspace plugin. The plugin provides helper functions to filter results based on predefined criteria. See *Sample Scripts for Polyspace Analysis with Jenkins*.

The continuous integration support with the Polyspace Server and Access products enables the following:

- *Automated testing*: After you define testing criteria based on Code Prover results, you can run the tests along with regular dynamic tests. The tests can run on a periodic schedule or based on predefined triggers.
- *Prequalification with Polyspace desktop products*: Prior to code submission, to avoid test failures, developers can check their submission with the same criteria as the server-side analysis. Using an installation of the desktop product, Polyspace Code Prover, developers can emulate the server-side analysis on their desktops and review the results in the user interface of the desktop product. For more information on the complete suite of Polyspace products available for deployment in a software development workflow, see *Polyspace Products for Code Analysis and Verification (Polyspace Bug Finder Server)*.


To save processing power on the desktop, the analysis can also be offloaded to a server and only the results reviewed on the desktop. See *Install Products for Submitting Polyspace Analysis from Desktops to Remote Server (Polyspace Bug Finder Server)*.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Continuous Integration Support: Set up email notification with summary of Code Prover results after analysis

In R2019a, you can set up email notification for new Code Prover results. The email can contain:

- A summary of new results from the latest Code Prover run only for specific files or modules.
- An attachment with a full list of the new results. Each result has an associated link to the Polyspace Access web interface for more detailed information.



See Send E-mail Notifications with Polyspace Code Prover Results.


The continuous integration support with the Polyspace Server and Access products enables the following:

- *Automated notification:* Developers can get notified in their e-mail inbox about results from the last Code Prover run on their submissions.
- *Preview of Code Prover results:* Developers can see a preview of the new Code Prover results. Based on their criteria for reviewing results, this preview can help them decide whether they want to see further details of the results.
- *Easy navigation from e-mail summary to Polyspace Access web interface:* Each developer with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license can use the links in the e-mail attachments to see further details of a result in the Polyspace Access web interface.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Server.

Offloading Polyspace Analysis to Servers: Use Polyspace desktop products on client side and server products on server side

In R2019a, you can offload a Polyspace analysis from your desktop to remote servers by installing the Polyspace desktop products on the client side and the Polyspace server products on the server side. After analysis, the results are downloaded to the client side for review. You must also install MATLAB Parallel Server on the server side to manage submissions from multiple client desktops.



See [Install Products for Submitting Polyspace Analysis from Desktops to Remote Server](#).

You can also follow a workflow where Polyspace runs on a dedicated server after code submission and uploads results to a web interface for review. In this case, you require one or more Polyspace Code Prover Server license for running the analysis on dedicated servers and Polyspace Code Prover Access licenses to review the results.

The Polyspace desktop products have a graphical user interface. You can configure options in the user interface with assistance from features such as auto-population of option arguments and contextual help. To save processing time on your desktop, you can then offload the analysis to remote servers.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Compatibility Considerations

If you offloaded analysis results from your desktop to remote servers prior to R2019a, your initial setup is different from previous releases.

- On the client side, you do not require Parallel Computing Toolbox™. You only require the Polyspace desktop product, Polyspace Code Prover.
- On the server side, instead of the desktop product, Polyspace Code Prover, you must install the server product, Polyspace Code Prover Server. You still require MATLAB Parallel Server (previously called MATLAB Distributed Computing Server).

You install the Polyspace server products and MATLAB Parallel Server in separate folders and link between them.

See [Install Products for Submitting Polyspace Analysis from Desktops to Remote Server](#).


- You do not have the quick start option to start the server with one worker (the **Metrics and Remote Analysis Server Settings** interface). Instead you must use the **Admin Center** interface in MATLAB Parallel Server. In this workflow, you first start the services on all remote computers, then assign responsibilities to these computers as either the head node that schedules jobs or worker nodes that run the analysis.

See [Install Products for Submitting Polyspace Analysis from Desktops to Remote Server](#).


Collaborative Review Support : Upload results from Polyspace user interface to Polyspace Access web interface and share results using web links

In R2019a, you can upload Polyspace Code Prover results from the user interface of the desktop products to the Polyspace Access web interface. Developers with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license can review these results in the web interface and share the results using web links.

To upload results from the Polyspace user interface, select **Tools > Preferences**. On the **Server Configuration** tab, enter the URL of the Polyspace Access web interface and the client keystore path and password.



After setting up communication between the Polyspace user interface and the Polyspace Access web interface, the **Access** menu appears in the Polyspace user interface. You can use this menu to open the web interface, open results from the web interface in the user interface of the desktop product or upload results from the desktop product to the web interface.




For details about setting up and reviewing results in the Polyspace Access web interface, see Polyspace Code Prover Access documentation.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with ARM v5 and v6 compilers

If you build your source code using these compilers, in R2019a, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis:


- ARM® v5



You can specify target arm.

See ARM v5 Compiler (-compiler armcc).

- ARM v6



You can specify targets arm and arm64.

See ARM v6 Compiler (-compiler armclang).

You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of these compilers. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Updated GCC, Clang, and Visual C++ Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with GCC versions 7.x, Clang versions 4.x or 5.x, or Microsoft Visual C++ 2017 compilers

In R2019a, if you build your source code using these version of GCC, Clang, or Microsoft Visual C++ compilers, you can specify the following compiler option values to setup your Polyspace analysis:

- | Target Environment | |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Compiler | gnu7.x |
| Target processor type | x86_64 |

gnu7.x for GCC release 7.1, 7.2, and 7.3.

- | Target Environment | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Compiler | clang4.x |
| Target processor type | x86_64 |

clang4.x for LLVM release 4.0.0, and 4.0.1.

- | Target Environment | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Compiler | clang5.x |
| Target processor type | x86_64 |

clang5.x for LLVM release 5.0.0, and 5.0.1.

- | Target Environment | |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Compiler | visual15.x |
| Target processor type | x86_64 |

visual15.x for Microsoft Visual C++ 2017 versions 15.0 to15.7.

The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Simulink Toolstrip: Analyze generated code using contextual buttons in Simulink Editor

In R2019a, you have the option to turn on the Simulink Toolstrip.


- To enable the toolstrip, select **File > Simulink Preferences**. On the **Editor** node, select **Replace menus and toolbars with the Simulink Toolstrip (Tech Preview)**.

- To disable the toolstrip, on the **Modeling** tab, select **Environment > Simulink Preferences**. Clear the previous selection.

See Simulink Toolstrip Tech Preview replaces menus and toolbars in the Simulink Desktop for more details.

The Simulink Toolstrip includes contextual tabs, which appear only when you need them. The Polyspace contextual tab includes options for completing actions that apply only to Polyspace.

- To generate code, open the **C Code** tab. To access this tab, on the **Apps** tab, select **Embedded Coder**.
- To analyze the generated code, open the **Polyspace** tab. To access this tab, on the **Apps** tab, select **Polyspace Code Verifier**.



On the **Polyspace** tab:

- 1 After code generation, from the **Verification Objectives** menu, choose **Find Bugs** (Bug Finder) or **Prove Code** (Code Prover).
- 2 Optionally, configure code analysis options. To configure the basic options related to the model, select **Settings > Polyspace Settings**. To configure advanced options related to the generated code, select **Settings > Project**.
- 3 To start an analysis, select **Run Analysis**. The analysis runs on the model element selected, provided code has been generated earlier from the same element. The selected element appears in the **Analyze Code from** field. To select the entire model, click anywhere on the canvas outside a model element.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Compatibility Considerations

The Simulink Toolstrip included with R2019a is a tech preview. You may encounter performance issues when you enable the toolstrip. Documentation does not reflect the addition of the Simulink Toolstrip and toolstrip customization is not available.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop renamed to polyspace-code-prover

Warns

The command-line options available with `polyspace-code-prover` are the same as those with `polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop` (with the exception of changes mentioned below). Simply replace `polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop` with `polyspace-code-prover` in your batch files or shell scripts. This feature applies to Code Prover only.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

-report-template arguments changed for coding standard templates*Warns*

If you used the template `CodingRules.rpt` for the option `-report-template`, use the new `CodingStandards.rpt` template instead.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Check MISRA C:2012 (-misra3) option values CERT-rules, CERT-all, and ISO-17961 are removed*Warns*

Check MISRA C:2012 (`-misra3`) option values `CERT-rules`, `CERT-all`, and `ISO-17961` are removed. Previously, you used **Check MISRA C:2012 (-misra3)** with these options values to check your code for violations of the CERT C and ISO/IEC TS 17961 coding standards. Use a Polyspace Bug Finder analysis with the new **Coding Standards & Code Metrics** analysis options `Check SEI CERT-C (-cert-c)` and `Check ISO/IEC TS 17961 (-iso-17961)` instead.

The new analysis options simplify checking for violations of coding standards CERT C and ISO/IEC TS 17961. For more information, see *Changes in Coding Standard Checking in R2019a (Polyspace Bug Finder)*

You get a warning when you use the removed option values. This feature applies to Code Prover Only.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Check MISRA C++ rules (-misra-cpp) option values CERT-rules and CERT-all are removed*Warns*

Check MISRA C++:2008 (`-misra-cpp`) option values `CERT-rules` and `CERT-all` are removed. Previously, you used **Check MISRA C++ rules (-misra-cpp)** with these options values to check your code for violations of the CERT C++ coding standards. Use a Polyspace Bug Finder analysis with the new **Coding Standards & Code Metrics** analysis option `Check SEI CERT-C++ (-cert-cpp)` instead.

The new analysis option simplifies checking for violations of the CERT C++ coding standard. For more information, see *Changes in Coding Standard Checking in R2019a (Polyspace Bug Finder)*

You get a warning when you use the removed option values. This feature Applies to Code Prover Only.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Syntax changed for options that take C++ templates as arguments*Errors*

If you use options that take instantiations of C++ templates as arguments, you have to provide the option arguments differently. For instance, for this template function `getMax`:

```
template <class T>
T GetMax (T a, T b) {
    T result;
    result = (a>b)? a : b;
    return (result);
}
template int GetMax<int>(int, int); // explicit instantiation
```

If you previously specified the instantiation as argument for the option `Functions to call (-main-generator-calls)` in this way:

```
-main-generator-calls custom="T1 getMax<T1>(T1,T1) [with T1=int]"
```

You now specify the option argument as:

```
-main-generator-calls custom="T1 getMax<int>(T1,T1)"
```

This syntax change applies to all options that take instantiations of templates as arguments.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server

Changes in MATLAB functions, options object and properties

Initial setup required for running Polyspace from MATLAB

Behavior change

If you use MATLAB scripts to run Polyspace, you can continue to run your scripts as before. However, your initial setup is different compared to previous releases:

- Run the MathWorks® installer twice with separate licenses to install MATLAB and Polyspace in separate folders.
- Perform a setup step to link your Polyspace installation with your MATLAB installation.

See [Integrate Polyspace with MATLAB and Simulink \(Polyspace Bug Finder\)](#).

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

polyspaceCodeProverNodedesktop removed

Warns

Use `polyspaceCodeProver(projectFile, '-nodedesktop')` instead of `polyspaceCodeProverNodedesktop(projectFile)`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

CodeProverReportTemplate property value changed for coding standard compliance reports

Warns

To update your MATLAB code, use the new template `CodingStandards` for the property `CodeProverReportTemplate`:

```
proj = polyspace.Project;
proj.Configuration.MergedReporting.BugFinderReportTemplate = 'CodingStandards';
```

instead of the old template `CodingRules`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Property CustomRulesSubset is removed

Errors

`CodingRulesCodeMetrics` property `CustomRulesSubset` is removed. Previously, you used this property to specify the path to the file where you defined custom naming conventions to check against. Use the new property `CheckersSelectionByFile` instead.

With the new property, you specify a file in .xml format where you define custom rules to match identifiers in your code, and custom selections of checkers for all the coding standards that Polyspace supports. See `Set checkers by file (-checkers-selection-file)`.

To update your MATLAB code, see this table.

```
opts = polyspace.Project;
```

Property	Use Instead
<pre>opts.CodingRulesCodeMetrics... .EnableCustomRules=1; opts.CodingRulesCodeMetrics... .CustomRulesSubset='custom_rules.txt';</pre>	<pre>opts.CodingRulesCodeMetrics... .EnableCustomRules=1; opts.CodingRulesCodeMetrics... .EnableCheckersSelectionByFile=1; opts.CodingRulesCodeMetrics... .CheckersSelectionByFile='custom_rules.xml';</pre>

For more information, see `polyspace.Project.Configuration Properties`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Option values CERT-rules, CERT-all, and ISO-17961 are removed for CodingRulesCodeMetrics property MisraCSubset

Errors

MisraCSubset option values CERT-rules, CERT-all, and ISO-17961 are removed. Previously, you used MisraCSubset with these options values to check your code for violations of the CERT C and ISO/IEC TS 17961 coding standards. Use a Polyspace Bug Finder analysis with the new CodingRulesCodeMetrics properties CertC and EnableIso17961 instead.

The new CodingRulesCodeMetrics properties simplify checking for violations of coding standards CERT C and ISO/IEC TS 17961.

For more information, see `polyspace.Project.Configuration Properties`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Option values CERT-rules and CERT-all are removed for CodingRulesCodeMetrics property MisraCppSubset

Errors

MisraCppSubset option values CERT-rules and CERT-all are removed. Previously, you used MisraCSubset with these options values to check your code for violations of the CERT C++ coding standard. Use a Polyspace Bug Finder analysis with the new CodingRulesCodeMetrics property CertCpp instead.

The new CodingRulesCodeMetrics property simplifies checking for violations of the CERT C++ coding standard.

For more information, see `polyspace.Project.Configuration Properties`.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Verification Results

Recursion Detection: See list of recursion cycles in C/C++ project

In R2019a, the code metrics `Number of Recursions` and `Number of Direct Recursions` are displayed along with a list of recursion cycles in your project.

- For the metric **Number of Direct Recursions**, the list shows all direct recursions (self recursive functions or functions calling themselves).
- For the metric **Number of Recursions**, the list shows all direct recursions plus a partial list of indirect recursion cycles. For details, see `Number of Recursions`.

★ Number of Recursions (Value: 1) ?				
This metric shows the number of recursions, both direct and indirect.				
	Event	File	Scope	Line
1	Recursion cycle: operation1 => operation3 => operation4 => operation5	recursion.c	recursion.c	3

The new display of recursion cycles enables the following:

- *Easier navigation to recursion cycles*: Each row in the list shows one recursion cycle. You can click a row to navigate to one of the functions involved in the recursion cycle.
- *Checking metric computation*: You can check the value of the code metrics `Number of Recursions` and `Number of Direct Recursions`.

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations

A slightly different algorithm is used to compute the number of recursions. You can see a different value of this metric compared to previous releases. For computation details, see `Number of Recursions`.

Infinite Recursions: Simple infinite recursions detected by checks for non-terminating calls

In R2019a, Polyspace Code Prover can prove that a call to a function that contains an infinite recursion does not terminate (in simple cases). The analysis shows a red **Non-terminating call** check on these calls.

For instance, in this example, calls to `foo()` do not terminate:

```
void foo(int arg) {
    foo(arg);
}
```

Updated code metrics specifications

In R2019a, these code metric specifications have been updated.

Code Metric	Update
Number of Function Parameters	<p>In cases where a C++ function returns an object, you can see a decrease in number of function parameters.</p> <p>Previously, the metric incorrectly included additional parameters corresponding to Polyspace internal variables.</p>
Number of Recursions	<p>You can see a change in the number of recursions in your project.</p> <p>The algorithm to compute recursions is slightly different from previous releases. The metric reports the number of direct recursions plus the number of strongly connected components formed by the indirect recursion cycles.</p> <p>The metric is also supported with events showing the recursion cycles. For details, see the release note about Recursion Detection.</p>
Number of Paths	<p>You can see a high value of the metric in some cases where the metric value was previously reported as zero.</p> <p>The number of paths increases exponentially with the branching in the code. If the number of paths exceeds an internal limit, the metric calculation stops and reports the value 9223372036854775807 (indicating the hexadecimal value 0x7fffffffffffffff). Previously, the metric value was reported as zero in those cases.</p>

Code Metric	Update
Code complexity metrics for C++ templates	<p>If you use C++ templates, you can see a difference in the value of certain metrics.</p> <p>Each instantiation of a C++ template is considered as a separate function. Code complexity metrics are reported separately for each instantiation.</p> <p>For instance, consider the function template <code>GetMax</code> instantiated twice in <code>main</code>:</p> <pre> // function template #include <iostream> using namespace std; template <class T> T GetMax (T a, T b) { T result; result = (a>b)? a : b; return (result); } int main () { int i=5, j=6, k; long l=10, m=5, n; k=GetMax<int>(i, j); n=GetMax<long>(l, m); cout << k << endl; cout << n << endl; return 0; } </pre> <p>In R2019a, the two instantiations of <code>GetMax</code> are considered as separate functions. All code metrics are reported separately for the two instantiations. Further, the number of called functions in <code>main</code> is 2.</p> <p>Previously, the two instantiations were considered as one.</p>
Stack usage metrics, for instance, Minimum Stack Usage and Maximum Stack Usage.	<p>Stack usage takes into account variable parameters of variable-argument or variadic functions. Previously, only the fixed parameters were taken into account.</p>

Code Metric	Update
Sizes of local variables and stack usage metrics	<p>You see a decrease in the metrics for a function if a local variable is an instance of a C++ class that inherits virtually from another class. Previously, a Polyspace internal variable was used to keep track of the virtual inheritance and the internal variable was taken into account in the size metrics. The calculation no longer considers the internal variable.</p> <p>For instance, consider this example:</p> <pre>class A { virtual void f(); }; class B : virtual A { };</pre> <p>Previously, the size of an object of type A was shown as 8 and B as 16. Now both sizes are calculated as 8.</p>

Products: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop), Polyspace Code Prover Server, Polyspace Code Prover Access

Compatibility Considerations

If you compute these code metrics, you can see a difference in results compared to previous releases.

Reviewing Results

Source Code Navigation: Keep result pinned while navigating through source code

In R2019a, clicking a result in the source code does not change the result selection on the **Results List** and the details on the **Result Details** pane.

For instance, in this example, the result **Non-terminating call** is selected on the **Results List** pane. The corresponding source code (line 150) appears on the **Source** pane and further details about the result on the **Result Details** pane. If you then navigate through the source code and select a token highlighting another result (for instance, the orange / operator in line 135), the selection in the results list and the details still show the **Non-terminating call** result.

The screenshot displays the Polyspace Code Prover interface. On the left, the 'Results List' pane shows a list of 395 results. The 'Non-terminating call' error is selected and highlighted in blue. The 'Result Details' pane on the right shows the details for this error, including a 'Result Review' section with a red circle icon and a question mark, and a 'Source' section showing the corresponding C code. The code is for a file named 'example.c' and includes a recursive function 'Recursion' and a caller function 'Recursion_caller'. Line 135 of the code is highlighted, showing the call to 'Recursion'.

To find the root cause of a result, you have to navigate through the source code. You can keep the result pinned on the **Results List** and **Result Details** pane during this navigation.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Compatibility Considerations

Previously, if you clicked a token in the source code showing a result, the selection on the **Results List** pane and the information on the **Result Details** pane changed to the clicked result. To emulate this behavior, Ctrl-click the token in the source code or right-click and select **Select Results At This Location**.

Report Generation: Generate Polyspace reports faster than previous releases

In R2019a, Polyspace report generation uses a more optimized algorithm.

You can now generate PDF, HTML or Microsoft Word reports from Polyspace results much faster than before. For large reports, report generation can be more than ten times faster than before.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Report Generation : Generate single file for HTML reports

In R2019a, if you generate an HTML report, a single HTML file is created.

The single HTML file allows easier archiving. Previously, several companion files were generated in HTML reporting. You had to archive all files together to be able to view the HTML report.


Product: Polyspace Code Prover (Desktop).

Compatibility Considerations

The structure of the new HTML report is different from prior releases. If you used scripts to parse the HTML reports, you might have to adapt the scripts to the new HTML structure.

Project Dashboard : Track progress of code quality via Polyspace results

In R2019a, you can track the progress of the code quality of your projects using the new intuitive Polyspace Code Prover Access **DASHBOARD**. When an analysis run is uploaded to the Polyspace Access database, the dashboard updates to give a snapshot of all the available findings, including a progress trend for number of findings compared to previous runs.




The **DASHBOARD** perspective of the Polyspace Access web interface allows you to:

- *Prioritize reviews:* See new and open issues that have not been fixed or justified, then open a detailed results list for just those issues. You can drill down on a set of findings filtered by new, open, unassigned, by family of findings, or by file.
- *Aggregate results for multiple projects:* If your team works on multiple projects, you can move all of those under an umbrella project and view a snapshot of the code quality for all your team's projects.
- *Authenticate client access:* The web interface is behind a login. Only users with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license and the appropriate credentials can view the dashboard from their web browser.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Project Dashboard : Compare Polyspace Code Prover results against Software Quality Objectives

In R2019a, check the quality of your code against pre-defined quality objective thresholds using the new Polyspace Code Prover Access **Quality Objectives** dashboard web interface. Use the thresholds to establish PASS/FAIL criteria for the code quality of your projects. For instance, the dashboard displays the progress and remaining open issues across thresholds and categories of findings. Use the available dropdown menu to select a threshold and see a more detailed view of completion by category of finding.



The **Quality Objectives** dashboard allows you to drill down to categories of open issues for each threshold. Click a cell in the table to open a list of findings you need to address to pass a given quality objective threshold.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Collaborative Review Support : Review Polyspace Code Prover results and source code in web browser

In R2019a, review Polyspace analysis findings and view the findings in your source code using the new Polyspace Code Prover Access **REVIEW** web interface. You do not need to install a Polyspace product on your machine to open and review analysis results.

The screenshot displays the Polyspace Access web interface. The top navigation bar includes sections for 'APPS', 'FAMILY FILTERS', 'FILTERS', 'ENVIRONMENT', and 'REVIEW'. The main content area is divided into a 'Results List' table and a 'Result Details' panel.

Results List Table:

Family	ID	Type	Group	Check
● *	58538	Red Check	Static memory	Illegally deref
● *	58603	Red Check	Other	Invalid use of
● *	58686	Red Check	Control flow	Non-terminat
● *	58701	Red Check	Static memory	Out of bound
● *	58845	Red Check	Control flow	Non-terminat
× *	58534	Gray Check	Data flow	Unreachable
× *	58627	Gray Check	Data flow	Unreachable
× *	58681	Gray Check	Data flow	Unreachable
× *	58725	Gray Check	Data flow	Unreachable
× *	58767	Gray Check	Data flow	Unreachable
× *	58847	Gray Check	Data flow	Unreachable
? *	58543	Orange Check	Static memory	Illegally deref
? *	58570	Orange Check	Numerical	Division by ze
? *	58582	Orange Check	Numerical	Overflow
? *	58585	Orange Check	Numerical	Overflow
? *	58589	Orange Check	Numerical	Overflow
? *	58597	Orange Check	Numerical	Overflow
? *	58599	Orange Check	Data flow	Non-initialize
? *	58601	Orange Check	Other	User assertio
? *	58626	Orange Check	Data flow	Non-initialize
? *	58674	Orange Check	Data flow	Non-initialize
? *	58675	Orange Check	Data flow	Non-initialize
? *	58676	Orange Check	Static memory	Illegally deref
? *	58707	Orange Check	Data flow	Non-initialize
? *	58712	Orange Check	Other	User assertio
? *	58766	Orange Check	Numerical	Overflow
? *	58773	Orange Check	Static memory	Out of bound
? *	58778	Orange Check	Data flow	Non-initialize
? *	58783	Orange Check	Other	User assertio
? *	58785	Orange Check	Data flow	Non-initialize
? *	58790	Orange Check	Other	User assertio
? *	58818	Orange Check	Numerical	Overflow
? *	58833	Orange Check	Numerical	Overflow
▼ *	58879	MISRA C:2012	9 Initialization	9.1 The value
▼ *	58880	MISRA C:2012	9 Initialization	9.1 The value

Result Details Panel (example.c / Pointer_Arithmetic()):

Status: Unreviewed
Severity: Unset
Assigned to: Type username or...
Track issue: Create Ticket

Illegally dereferenced pointer
Error: pointer is outside its bounds
Dereference of local pointer 'p' (pointer to int 32, size: 32 bits):
Pointer is not null.
Points to 4 bytes at offset 400 in buffer of 400 bytes, so is outside bounds.
Pointer may point to variable or field of variable:
'array', local to function 'Pointer_Arithmetic'.

Event	File	Scope
1	Entering function 'RTE'	main.c main()
2	Entering function 'Point...	example.c RTE()
3	● Illegally dereference...	example.c Pointer_Arithmetic()

Source Code:

```

94   for (i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
95       *p = 0;
96       p++;
97   }
98
99   if (get_bus_status() > 0) {
100      if (get_oil_pressure() > 0) {
101          *p = 5; /* Out of bounds */
102      } else {
103          i++;
104      }
105   }
106
107   i = get_bus_status();
108
109   if (i >= 0) {*(p - i) = 10;}

```


The **REVIEW** perspective of the Polyspace Access web interface:

- *Facilitates collaborative review:* The web interface streamlines the review efforts of your team. For instance:
 - During a team meeting, findings can be assessed and assigned to developers.
 - Developers can log into the web interface to review findings assigned to them, and determine whether to justify the findings or fix them.
 - A project manager can track the progress of the review by filtering the list of results for findings that are still open.
- *Authenticates client access:* The web interface is behind a login. Only users with a Polyspace Code Prover Access license and the appropriate credentials can view the results from their web browser.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Collaborative Review Support : Share Polyspace Code Prover results using web links


In R2019a, you can right-click an analysis result in the Polyspace Code Prover Access interface to obtain a URL that you can share with other team members. The link that you provide opens the Polyspace Code Prover Access interface and displays the finding along with the corresponding source code.



Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Project Authorization Management : Create and enforce authorization policies for access to project

In R2019a, you can manage project users in Polyspace Code Prover Access by right-clicking a project in the **PROJECT EXPLORER** and assigning roles to member of your team. The roles authorize or forbid users from viewing projects.




The ability to authorize access to projects allows you to:

- *Restrict access to your source code:* Use the authorization policy to restrict who can view the source code you upload with your analysis results.
- *Display relevant projects only:* When they log in to Polyspace Access, users can only see projects for which they are administrators, owners, or contributors. Use the authorization policy so that team members only see projects that they are working on.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

Bug Tracking Tool Support : Create JIRA issues for Polyspace Code Prover results and assign to developer

In R2019a, Polyspace Code Prover supports integration with the JIRA software. If you have an instance of the JIRA software, after you configure Polyspace Code Prover, you can create a JIRA ticket to track Polyspace findings. The ticket is populated with details of the finding and a link to open that finding in Polyspace Access. You can add the ticket to any existing JIRA project.



Once you create a ticket, the **Result Details** pane in the Polyspace Code Prover web interface displays a link to the corresponding JIRA issue.

Product: Polyspace Code Prover Access.

R2018b

Version: 9.10

New Features

Bug Fixes


Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Configuration from Build System: Automatically generate Polyspace configuration modules from build system

In R2018b, you can create a separate Polyspace analysis module for each binary in your build system.

Suppose a build system has the following dependencies and creates four binaries: the executables `foo.exe` and `bar.exe`, and the dynamic libraries `util.dll` and `gui.dll`.



Previously, you created a single Polyspace options file from this build system. You can now create a separate Polyspace options file for each binary created in your build system.

See also:


- Modularize Polyspace Analysis by Using Build Command
- `polyspace-configure`

The ability to generate configuration modules from build system has the following benefits:

- *More precise analysis:* You can perform a separate Polyspace analysis for each binary in your build system. The analysis does not mix files from distinct binaries.
- *Automated modularization:* You can reuse the modularization in your build system to create the Polyspace analysis modules.
- *Focused analysis:* You can analyze only specific modules instead of your entire code base.
- *Minimal knowledge of build system required:* You do not need to know the details of your build system. With a `-module` flag, a separate options file is created for each binary in your build system. You can analyze only the code implementation of the binaries that you are interested in.

C11 and C++14 Support: Run Polyspace analysis on code with C11 or C++14 features

In R2018b, Polyspace can interpret the majority of C11 or C++14-specific features.



See also *C/C++ Language Standard Used in Polyspace Analysis*.

You can now setup a Polyspace analysis for code containing C11 or C++14-specific features. Previously, some features were not recognized and caused compilation errors.

Autodetection of Concurrency Primitives: Multitasking model detected from C11 multithreading functions

In R2018b, if you use C11 functions for multitasking, the Polyspace analysis can interpret them semantically.

Polyspace interprets the following functions:

- `thrd_create`: Thread is created.
- `mtx_lock`: Critical section begins.
- `mtx_unlock`: Critical section ends.


See also *Auto-Detection of Thread Creation and Critical Section in Polyspace*.

You do not have to adapt your code or specify your multitasking model manually through analysis options. The analysis determines your multitasking model from the functions in your code and finds data races or other concurrency defects.

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Renesas compilers

If you build your source code with the Renesas compiler, in R2018b, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

You can specify these target processors directly: `r178`, `rh850`, or `rx`. See *Renesas Compiler (-compiler renesas)*.



You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of the Renesas compilers. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

AUTOSAR Support: Provide multiple root folders for sources

In R2018b, you can specify multiple root folders for source code when running Polyspace Code Prover on AUTOSAR software components.

```
polyspace-autosar -create-project PROJECT_FOLDER
                  -arxml-dir AUTOSAR_FOLDER
                  -sources-dir CODE_FOLDER1 -sources-dir CODE_FOLDER2 ...
                  [OPTIONS]
```

See `polyspace-autosar`.

If you have source folders outside your main source hierarchy, for instance source code libraries, you can specify them with the `polyspace-autosar` command.

AUTOSAR Support: Run Polyspace on AUTOSAR software components by using MATLAB scripts

In R2018b, you can run Polyspace Code Prover on code implementation of AUTOSAR software components using MATLAB scripts.

```
exampleDir = fullfile(matlabroot,'help',...
    'toolbox','codeprover','examples','polyspace_autosar');
arxmlDir = fullfile(exampleDir, 'arxml');
sourceDir = fullfile(exampleDir, 'code');

tempDir = tempdir;

projectDir = fullfile(tempDir, 'polyspace');
status = polyspaceAutosar('-create-project', projectDir, ...
    '-arxml-dir', arxmlDir, ...
    '-sources-dir', sourceDir);
```

See also `polyspaceAutosar`.

You can use MATLAB scripts to automate the modularized Polyspace analysis of AUTOSAR code.

AUTOSAR Support: Provide compiler options by tracing your build command

In R2018b, you can trace your build command to gather compiler options, macro definitions and paths to include folders, and provide this information for analysis of code implementation of AUTOSAR software components.

- 1 Trace your build command (for instance, `make`) with `polyspace-configure` and generate an options file for subsequent Code Prover analysis. Suppress inclusion of sources in the options file with the `-no-sources` option.

```
polyspace-configure -output-options-file options.txt -no-sources make
```

- 2 Run Code Prover on AUTOSAR code with `polyspace-autosar`. Provide your ARXML folder, source folders and other options. In addition, provide the earlier generated options file with the `-extra-options-file` option.

```
polyspace-autosar -create-project projFolder \
    -arxml-dir arxmlFolder \
```



```
-sources-dir codeFolder \
-extra-options-file options.txt
```

See also:

- Run Polyspace on AUTOSAR Code Using Build Command
- `polyspace-configure` and `polyspace-autosar`

You can now automate the Code Prover analysis of AUTOSAR code by reusing the infrastructure you have already set up.

- *Reusing build command*: You reuse the compiler options specified in your build command for the Code Prover analysis. Code Prover can emulate your compiler and recognize compiler-specific macros and language extensions.
- *Reusing ARXML specifications*: You reuse the ARXML specifications to perform a modular Code Prover analysis. Code Prover can modularize your code based on the software components in the ARXML specifications. See also Benefits of Polyspace for AUTOSAR.

Function Pointer Calls: Verify functions called through function pointers despite type mismatch

In R2018b, Code Prover can verify functions called through function pointers even if there is a type mismatch between the function argument(s) and/or return type and the function pointer argument(s) and/or return type.

For instance, in this example, function pointer `obj_fptr` has an argument that is a pointer to a four-element array. `obj_fptr` points to a function `foo` whose corresponding argument is a pointer to a three-element array.

```
typedef int array_four_elements[4];
typedef void (*fptr)(array_four_elements*);

typedef int array_three_elements[3];
void foo(array_three_elements*);

void main() {
    array_four_elements arr[4] = {0,0,0,0};
    array_four_elements *ptr;
    fptr obj_fptr;

    ptr = &arr;
    obj_fptr = &foo;

    obj_fptr(&ptr);
}

void foo(array_three_elements* x) {
    ...
}
```

By default, the analysis does not check functions called through mismatched function pointers. Use the option `Permissive function pointer calls (-permissive-function-pointer)`.

Only type mismatches between pointer types are allowed. Type mismatches between nonpointer types cause compilation errors.

If you cannot fix type mismatches between a function pointer and the pointed function, you can still analyze the function body. Previously, Code Prover displayed an orange check on the function call and did not check the function body for run-time errors.

Check Behavior on Overflows: Fine-tune the behavior of checks based on signedness of integer

Behavior change

In R2018b, you can set different behaviors for checks of operations on signed or unsigned integers that may overflow. For instance, signed integers should truncate and unsigned integers should wrap-around.

Use the new options `Overflow mode for signed integer` (`-signed-integer-overflows`) and `Overflow mode for unsigned integer` (`-unsigned-integer-overflows`) to fine-tune the check behavior.

The options `-scalar-overflows-checks` and `-scalar-overflows-behavior` are no longer supported. You get a warning if you use these options. For Polyspace projects starting R2014a, instances of the `-scalar-overflows-*` options are replaced with the new options according to the mapping of this table.

Option	Use instead
<code>-scalar-overflows-checks none -scalar-overflows-behavior truncate-on-error</code>	<code>-signed-integer-overflows allow</code> <code>-unsigned-integer-overflows allow</code>
<code>-scalar-overflows-checks signed -scalar-overflows-behavior truncate-on-error</code>	<code>-signed-integer-overflows forbid</code> <code>-unsigned-integer-overflows allow</code>
<code>-scalar-overflows-checks signed-and-unsigned -scalar-overflows-behavior truncate-on-error</code>	<code>-signed-integer-overflows forbid</code> <code>-unsigned-integer-overflows forbid</code>
<code>-scalar-overflows-checks none -scalar-overflows-behavior wrap-around</code>	<code>-signed-integer-overflows allow</code> <code>-unsigned-integer-overflows allow</code>
<code>-scalar-overflows-checks signed -scalar-overflows-behavior wrap-around</code>	<code>-signed-integer-overflows warn-with-wrap-around</code> <code>-unsigned-integer-overflows allow</code>
<code>-scalar-overflows-checks signed-and-unsigned -scalar-overflows-behavior wrap-around</code>	<code>-signed-integer-overflows warn-with-wrap-around</code> <code>-unsigned-integer-overflows warn-with-wrap-around</code>

For Polyspace projects prior to R2014a, all combinations of the old `-scalar-overflows-*` options map to `-signed-integer-overflows forbid -unsigned-integer-overflows allow`.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

Polyspace Code Prover has new Target & Compiler options

Behavior change

Polyspace Code Prover has new **Target & Compiler** configuration options C standard version (-c-version) and C++ standard version (-cpp-version).

Use these options to specify the C and C++ language standards you follow in your source code.

Polyspace Code Prover has new Check Behavior options

Behavior change

Polyspace Code Prover has new **Check Behavior** configuration options Overflow mode for signed integer (-signed-integer-overflows) and Overflow mode for unsigned integer (-unsigned-integer-overflows).

Use these options to specify the behavior of checks for signed and unsigned integer overflows.

-compiler option has new value renesas

Behavior change

Compiler (-compiler) option has new value renesas. When you specify this option value, The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

-no-def-init-glob overrides -main-generator-writes-variables

Behavior change

Ignore default initialization of global variables (-no-def-init-glob) option overrides Variables to initialize (-main-generator-writes-variables) option. If you specify the option -no-def-init-glob, global variables are considered as uninitialized until you explicitly initialize them in the code. Even if you use the option -main-generator-writes-variables to specify that the generated main initialize global variables, the analysis ignores the initialization.

Target & Compiler options Respect C90 standard (-no-language-extensions), C++11 extensions (-cpp11-extension), and are removed

Warns

Options **Respect C90 standard** (-no-language-extensions) and **C++11 extensions** (-cpp11-extension) are removed. Use options C standard version (-c-version) and C++ standard version (-cpp-version) instead.

In the Polyspace user interface, if an option is replaced by another option, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration. To update your scripts, see this table.

Option	Use Instead
Respect C90 standard (-no-language-extensions)	Set the option C standard version (-c-version) to c90.
C++11 extensions (-cpp11-extension)	Set the option C++ standard version (-cpp-version) to cpp11.

You get a warning when you use the removed options at the command line.

Check Behavior options **Detect overflow (-scalar-overflows-checks)**, **Overflow computation mode (-scalar-overflows-behavior wrap-around)**, and **Ignore overflowing computations on constants (-ignore-constant-overflows)** are removed

Warns

Options **Detect overflow** (-scalar-overflows-checks) and **Overflow computation mode** (-scalar-overflows-behavior wrap-around) are removed. Use options **Overflow mode** for signed integer (-signed-integer-overflows) and **Overflow mode** for unsigned integer (-unsigned-integer-overflows) instead.

Option **Ignore overflowing computations on constants** (-ignore-constant-overflows) is removed. There is no replacement.

In the Polyspace user interface, if an option is replaced by another option, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration. To update your scripts, see this table.

Option	Use Instead
Detect overflow (-scalar-overflows-checks)	See Check Behavior on Overflows release note.
Overflow computation mode (-scalar-overflows-behavior wrap-around)	See Check Behavior on Overflows release note.
Ignore overflowing computations on constants (-ignore-constant-overflows)	Overflows involving integer constants are wrapped around by default without warning. To detect integer constant overflows, use these Bug Finder checkers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integer constant overflow Unsigned integer constant overflow

You get a warning when you use the removed options at the command line.

polyspace-configure option **-lang** is removed

Warns

Starting in R2018b, polyspace-configure detects the language of your source code.

Option **-lang** will be removed in a future release. You get a warning when you use this option and there is no replacement. To update your code, remove instances of **-lang**.

-compiler option value clang3.5 is removed

Errors

Compiler (-compiler) option value **clang3.5** is removed. Use **clang3.x** instead.

In the Polyspace user interface, if an option value is replaced by another option value, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration. To update your scripts, see this table.

Option	Use Instead
-compiler clang3.5	-compiler clang3.x

You get an error when you use the removed option at the command line.

Changes in MATLAB option object properties and option values

polyspace.Project.Configuration has new TargetCompiler properties

Behavior change

`polyspace.Project.Configuration` has new `TargetCompiler` properties `CVersion` and `CppVersion`. Use these properties in your MATLAB code to specify the C and C++ language standards you follow in your source code.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

polyspace.Project.Configuration has new ChecksAssumption properties

Behavior change

`polyspace.Project.Configuration` has new `ChecksAssumption` properties `SignedIntegerOverflows` and `UnsignedIntegerOverflows`. Use these properties in your MATLAB code to specify the behavior of checks for signed and unsigned integer overflows.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

TargetCompiler properties NoLanguageExtensions and Cpp11Extension will be removed

Still runs

Properties `NoLanguageExtensions` and `Cpp11Extension` will be removed. Use `CVersion` and `CppVersion` instead.

To update your MATLAB code, see this table.

```
opts = polyspace.Project;
```

Property	Use Instead
<code>opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .NoLanguageExtensions = true;</code>	<code>opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .CVersion = 'c90';</code>
<code>opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .Cpp11Extension = true;</code>	<code>opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .CppVersion = 'cpp11';</code>

Unlike `NoLanguageExtensions` and `Cpp11Extension` which let you specify one version of the C and C++ language standards, the new object properties `CVersion` and `CppVersion` let you specify different versions of these standards.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

ChecksAssumption properties ScalarOverflowsBehavior and ScalarOverflowsChecks will be removed

Still runs

Properties `ScalarOverflowsBehavior` and `ScalarOverflowsChecks` will be removed. Use `SignedIntegerOverflows` and `UnsignedIntegerOverflows` instead.

To update your MATLAB code, see this table.

```
opts = polyspace.Project;
```

Property	Use Instead
<code>opts.Configuration.ChecksAssumption... .ScalarOverflowsBehavior</code>	See Compatibility Considerations in the Check Behavior on Overflow release note.
<code>opts.Configuration.ChecksAssumption... .ScalarOverflowsChecks</code>	

For more information, see [Properties](#).

polyspaceConfigure option -lang is removed

Warns

Starting in R2018b, `polyspaceConfigure` detects the language of your source code.

Option `-lang` will be removed in a future release. You get a warning when you use this option and there is no replacement. To update your code, remove instances of `-lang`.

ChecksAssumption property IgnoreConstantOverflows is removed

Errors

Property `IgnoreConstantOverflows` is removed. There is no replacement.

Overflows involving integer constants are wrapped around by default without warning. To detect integer constant overflows, use these Bug Finder checkers:

- Integer constant overflow
- Unsigned integer constant overflow

For more information, see [Properties](#).

Verification Results


C++ Specific Checks: View more pertinent results for incorrect object oriented programming and exception handling checks

In R2018b, you see more pertinent results for the checks **Incorrect object oriented programming** and **Uncaught exception**.

Object Oriented Programming Checks

In R2018b, the **Incorrect object oriented programming** check detects member function call using an incorrect `this` pointer only if the member function is `virtual`.

You might call a member function using an incorrect `this` pointer, for instance, after you cast between pointers to two objects. In this example of incorrect C++ polymorphism, you might intend to call the derived class version of `funcFoo` but depending on your compiler, you call the base class version or encounter a segmentation fault.



For more examples, see [Incorrect object oriented programming](#).

Calling a non-virtual function via an incorrect `this` pointer is a less likely scenario. Code Prover now restricts the error detection to cases that are more likely to occur and might not be caught at compile time.

Exception Handling Checks

In R2018b, the **Uncaught exception** check shows only those cases where the exception handling fails and the `std::terminate` function is called. For instance:

- An exception is thrown and propagates uncaught to the `main`.
- An exception is thrown during construction of a global variable.

For the full list of cases, see [Uncaught exception](#).

For instance, if your code has exceptions that propagate uncaught up to the main, the **Uncaught exception** check shows the result only on the main. In the event traceback associated with the check, you see the origin of the exception and its propagation up the function call tree to the main or another entry-point function. Click each event to navigate to the corresponding point in the source code.

Uncaught exception ?
Error: unhandled exception propagates to main or entry-point function

	Event	File	Scope	Line
1	Exception thrown	excp.cpp	initialVector::getValue(int)	28
2	Return of function 'initialVector::getValue(int)'	excp.cpp	initialVector::getValue(int)	29
3	Exiting function 'initialVector::getValue(int)'	excp.cpp	main	33
4	Error: unhandled exception propagates to main or entry-point function	excp.cpp	main()	31

Source

```

excp.cpp x
25 int initialVector::getValue(int index) throw(error) {
26     if(index >= 0 && index < sizeVector)
27         return table[index];
28     else throw error();
29 }
30
31 void main() {
32     initialVector *vectorPtr = new initialVector(5);
33     vectorPtr->getValue(5);

```

The new specifications have the following benefits:

- *Fewer results from same root cause:* If an exception propagates uncaught up to the main function, you see only one result on the main function. Previously, you saw a separate result for each function in the call tree from the point where the exception is thrown up to the main. You had to review several results originating from the same root cause.
- *Easier tracing of exception propagation:* You can use the event traceback to track down an uncaught exception to its origin. Previously, to find the root cause of a red **Uncaught exception** check, you manually navigated the function call tree from the main or another entry-point function.

Compatibility Considerations

- *Object oriented programming checks:* You see fewer red or orange **Incorrect object oriented programming** checks in C++ code. Because the blocking red or orange checks no longer appear, subsequent code is now verified. You can see an increase in checks of other types.
- *Exception handling checks:* You see fewer **Uncaught exception** checks in C++ code.

Checks on List-Initialization of Arrays: Detect list-initialization with excess initializer clauses (C++11 and beyond)

In R2018b, the **Invalid C++ specific operations** check detects if the number of array initializer clauses exceeds the number of elements to initialize.

For instance, the check detects an error if `size` is less than two.

```
arr_const = new int[size]{0,1};
```

See also `Invalid C++ specific operations`.

Compilers detect excess initializer clauses if the array size is a compile-time constant. If the array size is dynamically determined at run-time, compilers can fail to detect the error. With Code Prover, you can detect such situations.

Reviewing Results

AUTOSAR Support: Focus review to specific software components with queries based on regular expressions

In R2018b, you can quickly filter specific software components when reviewing Code Prover results for your complete AUTOSAR project. For instance, you can:

- Filter software components whose qualified names start with a specific string.

You can use regular expressions for the pattern matching.

- Filter software components whose code implementation compiled successfully.
- Filter software components that have red checks in the Code Prover results.

The screenshot displays the AUTOSAR Code Prover interface. On the left, a sidebar shows the 'Behavior Selection' panel with a 'Saved Queries' section. A query is defined as '^pkg.tst002.swc001.* case_ser'. The 'Matches 1 of 2' section shows a match for '[...].swc001.bhv001'. The main area shows the review details for 'Behaviors with Unit-Prove Environment'. It indicates the state is 'updated' and provides links to 'See detailed log messages' and 'See key model elements'. Below this, it states '2 software-component behaviors are imported in the project. Implementation-code ha'. The next section is 'ApplicationComponentBehavior - pkg.tst002.swc001.bhv001', also showing 'updated' state and links to 'See detailed log messages' and 'See key autosar definition for this behavior'. The 'Extracted implementation code' section shows 'updated' state and 'Extraction of implementation completes with state allRunnablesImplementation. Four'. The 'Implementation source files' section is expanded. The 'Verification of extracted implementation code' section shows 'updated' state and 'See detailed log messages'. It reports 'Execution returns with status execution_success.' and 'Verification results are in summary: green check=84, orange check=2, red check=1.' It also notes 'Polyspace Code-Verification results are available in file AUTOSAR/pkg/tst002/swc001/1'.

See also Review Polyspace Results on AUTOSAR Code.

The new AUTOSAR support has the following benefits:

- *More focused review*: Because you provide your top level ARXML folder for analysis, the results show all software components in your AUTOSAR project. You can quickly focus your review on the software component-s that you are interested in.
- *Easier troubleshooting*: You can quickly find which software components have errors in ARXML parsing or code compilation. See Troubleshoot Polyspace Analysis of AUTOSAR Code.
- *Easier maintenance*: You can quickly find which software components have results that have not changed since the previous analysis.


AUTOSAR Support: See visual representation of runnables and associated files for each software component


In R2018b, you can see a visual representation of your complete AUTOSAR project with all software components along with an overview of Code Prover results for each software component.

You can drill down to these details for each software component behavior:

- Entry-point functions and their callees
- Files containing these functions

For instance, the project below contains two software components with full name `pkg.tst002.swc001.bhv001` and `pkg.tst002.swc002.bhv`.





The code implementation of `pkg.tst002.swc001.bhv001` has three entry point functions: `foo`, `init` and `step`. All three functions are defined in the file `swc001.c`. These entry-point functions call other functions defined in the files `dep.c`, `dep2.c` and `dep3.c`.


See also Review Polyspace Results on AUTOSAR Code.

The new AUTOSAR support has the following benefits:

- *More focused review*: Each software component is shown with the color of the worst verification result. For instance, if the code implementation of a software component contains red checks, the corresponding icon is red. You can use the icon colors to quickly focus on the software components that need attention.
- *Easier tracking of information*: You can see at a glance the files involved in the code implementation of software components.

Header Files Access: Open your project header files directly from the point of inclusion

In R2018b, you can open header files you reference in your code by right-clicking on the include directive in the **Source** pane.



```
Source
programming.cpp X
22  #include <stdint.h> /* C99 standard types */
23  #include <limits.h>
24  #include <errno.h>
25  #include <float.h>
26  #include <signal.h>
27  #include <sys/types.h>
28  #include <sys/socket.h>
29  #include <arpa/inet.h>
30  #include <unistd.h>
31  #include <math.h>
32  #include "bf_example_types.h"
33
34  #define fatal_error() abort()
35
36  volatile int some_condition = 1;
37
38  enum {
39      SIZE4 = 4,
40      SIZE5 = 5,
41      SIZE6 = 6,
42      SIZE20 = 20,
43      SIZE1024 = 1024
44  };
```

If Polyspace determines that the header file is available, the `#include`, `#import`, or `#include_next` preprocessor directive is underlined in the source code.

When you review results, you can quickly see the contents of a header file without leaving the Polyspace user interface.

R2018a

Version: 9.9

New Features

Bug Fixes


Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

AUTOSAR Support: Set up modular Polyspace analysis for AUTOSAR software components automatically

In R2018a, Polyspace can read specifications for AUTOSAR software components (SWCs) and modularize the corresponding C code implementation. A separate module is created for each software component and checked for run-time errors. Polyspace also detects certain kinds of mismatch that can happen between AUTOSAR specifications and the code implementation at run time.

To perform this modular Polyspace analysis, you simply provide the folders containing the AUTOSAR specifications (.arxml files) and the code implementation (.c files).



For details, see:


- Using Polyspace in AUTOSAR Software Development
- Run Polyspace on AUTOSAR Code

The new AUTOSAR support has the following benefits:

- *Modularization based on AUTOSAR specs:* The software reuses the modularization already present in your AUTOSAR specifications and verifies the code implementation of each software component (SWC) behavior independently. Previously, to emulate your AUTOSAR modularization, you manually copied files to modules.
- *Minimal effort and knowledge required for configuration:* You do not need to know the details of the AUTOSAR specifications or the code implementation for running a Polyspace analysis. You simply provide the two folders containing your `.arxml` and `.c` files. The software extracts the code implementation of each SWC behavior, creates a prove environment to exercise each runnable with all allowed inputs and checks for run-time errors or mismatch with AUTOSAR specifications.
- *Automatic range specification and precise analysis:* The data type specification in `.arxml` files allows specifying a range constraint on values. The software reuses this range constraint to constraint input variables to allowed values, allowing for a more precise analysis. Previously, for a precise analysis, you had to manually specify range information for all input variables in your code.

MATLAB Coder Support: Run Polyspace on C/C++ code generated from MATLAB code without additional setup

In R2018a, if you install Embedded Coder and Polyspace, you can run Polyspace directly on C/C++ code generated from MATLAB code and check for defects (Bug Finder) or run time errors (Code Prover).



For details, see:

- Run Polyspace on C/C++ Code Generated from MATLAB Code
- Configure Advanced Polyspace Options in MATLAB Coder App

The ability to analyze code generated with MATLAB Coder has the following benefits:

- *Seamless integration*: You do not have to configure the Polyspace analysis manually, in the Polyspace user interface or otherwise. The Polyspace analysis is seamlessly integrated with the workflow in the MATLAB Coder App.
- *Easier scripting*: You do not have to know or specify names of files generated from your MATLAB code. You can simply use a specific folder for code generation output and provide that folder for code analysis. In this way, you can have end-to-end scripting for the code generation and analysis.

Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Texas Instruments, IAR or CodeWarrior compilers

If you build your source code using these compilers, in R2018a, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis:

- Texas Instruments™

You can specify these target processors: c28x, c6000, arm and msp430.

See Texas Instruments Compiler (-compiler ti).

- IAR

You can specify these target processors: arm, avr, msp430, rh850 and rl78.


See IAR Embedded Workbench Compiler (-compiler iar-ew).

- CodeWarrior

You can specify these target processors: s12z or powerpc.

NXP CodeWarrior Compiler (-compiler codewarrior)

The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.



You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of these compilers. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with GCC versions 5.x or 6.x, or Clang version 3.x compilers

In R2018a, if you build your source code using these versions of GCC or Clang compilers, you can specify the following compiler option values to setup your Polyspace analysis:

- | Target Environment | |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Compiler | gnu5.x |
| Target processor type | i386 |

gnu5.x, for GCC release 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4.

- | Target Environment | |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Compiler | gnu6.x |
| Target processor type | i386 |

gnu6.x, for GCC release 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3.

Starting GCC version 5, the version number increases by one for each major release, for instance, from 5.x to 6.x. Polyspace follows this new naming convention.

- | Target Environment | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Compiler | clang3.x |
| Target processor type | i386 |

clang3.x, for LLVM release 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9.

The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

For more information, see `Compiler (-compiler)`.

Configuration from Build System: Include or exclude sources when generating Polyspace project using polyspace-configure

In R2018a, you can include or exclude source files or folders when generating a Polyspace project from your build system.

To create a Polyspace project that does not contain all files from your build system:

- 1 Trace your build command. Do not create a project yet. Optionally store the build trace and cache in specific locations (instead of the default).

```
polyspace-configure -no-project make -B \
  -build-trace trace.txt -cache-path /tmp/cache
```

- 2 Create a Polyspace project using the build trace and cache. Include or exclude files as needed using shell GLOB patterns.

```
polyspace-configure -no-build \
  -build-trace trace.txt -cache-path /tmp/cache \
  -include-sources 'src/' -exclude-sources '*_test.c'
```

The preceding example includes sources in folder paths containing `src` and excludes `.c` files ending with `_test`.

- 3 Delete the build trace and cache.

For more information, see `polyspace-configure`.

The ability to include or exclude sources when using `polyspace-configure` has the following benefits:

- *Exclusion of irrelevant files*: You can avoid cluttering your Polyspace project with files that you do not want to analyze, for instance, files used for testing.
- *Modular analysis*: You can create a separate Polyspace project for each module covered by your build system. Trace your build command once. When creating a Polyspace project, include only files belonging to a specific module. Repeat the project creation step for each module.

Support for IBM Rational Rhapsody to be removed

The Polyspace integration with the IBM® Rational Rhapsody environment will be removed after R2018b.

Compatibility Considerations

To continue using the latest releases of Polyspace, run code analysis in the Polyspace user interface or using scripts.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

Polyspace Code Prover has a new Multitasking option

Behavior change

Polyspace Bug Finder has a new **Multitasking** configuration option `ARXML files selection (-autosar-multitasking)`.

Use this option to automatically detect the multitasking configuration from your AUTOSAR specification.

Polyspace Code Prover has new `-compiler` option values

Behavior change

Use the new `Compiler (-compiler)` option values to interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compilers and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas..

Option	New Value
Compiler (-compiler)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New value <code>ti</code> added. See Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>iar-ew</code> added. See Compiler Support release note. <p>Use this value to emulate IAR compilers.</p> <p>For older Polyspace projects, you can still use option value <code>iar</code>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New value <code>codewarrior</code> added. See Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>gnu5.x</code> added. See Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>gnu6.x</code> added. See Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>clang3.x</code> added. See Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support release note.

-compiler option value clang3.5 is removed

Warns

Compiler (-compiler) option value `clang3.5` is removed. Use `clang3.x` instead.

In the Polyspace user interface, if an option value is replaced by another option value, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration. To update your scripts, see this table.

Option	Use Instead
-compiler clang3.5	-compiler clang3.x

You get a warning when you use the removed option value at the command line.

-compiler option values iso, none, gnu, and visual through visual10 are removed

Errors

Compiler (-compiler) option values iso, none, gnu, visual, visual6, visual7.0, visual7.1, visual8, and visual10 are removed.

In the Polyspace user interface, if an option value is replaced by another option value, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration. To update your scripts, see this table.

Option	Use Instead
-compiler iso	-compiler generic
-compiler none	
-compiler gnu	-compiler gnu3.4
-compiler visual	-compiler visual10.0
-compiler visual6	
-compiler visual7.0	
-compiler visual7.1	
-compiler visual8	
-compiler visual10	

You get a error when you use the removed options at the command line.

Check Behavior options Allow incomplete or partial allocation of structures (-size-in-bytes) and Enable pointer arithmetic across fields (-allow-ptr-arith-on-struct) are updated

Behavior change

Option Allow incomplete or partial allocation of structures (-size-in-bytes) is available for C++ projects.

Option Enable pointer arithmetic across fields (-allow-ptr-arith-on-struct) does not automatically enable Allow incomplete or partial allocation of structures (-size-in-bytes).

Target&Compiler options Set wchar_t to unsigned long (-wchar-t-is-unsigned-long) and Set size_t to unsigned long (-size-t-is-unsigned-long) are removed

Errors

Option **Set wchar_t to unsigned long** (-wchar-t-is-unsigned-long) is removed. Set Management of wchar_t (-wchar-t-type-is) to unsigned-long instead.

Option **Set size_t to unsigned long** (-size-t-is-unsigned-long) is removed. Set Management of size_t (-size-t-type-is) to unsigned-long instead.

In the Polyspace user interface, if an option is replaced by another option, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration. To update your scripts, replace each instance of the removed option with the corresponding new option.

You get an error when you use the removed options at the command line.

Command-line option -static-headers-object is removed

Errors

Analysis option `-static-headers-object` is removed. There is no replacement. The permissive linking introduced by this option now happens by default.

In the Polyspace user interface **Configuration** pane, under **Advanced Settings**, remove the option for the Other field. To update your scripts, remove instances of this option. If you use this option, you get an error.

-enum-type-definition option value defined-by-standard is removed

Errors

Enum type definition (`-enum-type-definition`) option value `defined-by-standard` is removed. Use `defined-by-compiler` instead.

In the Polyspace user interface, if an option value is replaced by another option value, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration. To update your scripts, see this table.

Option	Use Instead
<code>-enum-type-definition defined-by-standard</code>	<code>-enum-type-definition defined-by-compiler</code>

You get an error when you use the removed option value at the command line.

Changes in MATLAB option object properties

polyspace.Project.Configuration has new Multitasking properties

Behavior change

`polyspace.Project.Configuration` has new Multitasking properties `EnableExternalMultitasking`, `ExternalMultitaskingType`, and `ArxmlMultitasking`. Use these properties to set up the multitasking configuration of your project from external files you provide.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

TargetCompiler property has a new Compiler option values

Behavior change

Use the new `Compiler` option values to interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compilers and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

```
opts=polyspace.Project;
```

Property	Description
opts.Configuration... .TargetCompiler.Compiler	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New value <code>ti</code> added. See Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>iar-ew</code> added. See Compiler Support release note. <p>Use this value to emulate IAR compilers.</p> <p>For older Polyspace projects, you can still use property value <code>iar</code>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New value <code>codewarrior</code> added. See Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>gnu5.x</code> added. See Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>gnu6.x</code> added. See Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support release note. • New value <code>clang3.x</code> added. See Updated GCC and Clang Compiler Support release note.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

Multitasking property `EnableOsekMultitasking` is removed

Errors

Property `EnableOsekMultitasking` is removed. To update your MATLAB code, see this table.

```
opts=polyspace.Project;
```

Property	Description
opts.Configuration.Multitasking... .EnableOsekMultitasking	<pre>opts.Configuration.Multitasking... .EnableExternalMultitasking=1; opts.Configuration.Multitasking... .ExternalMultitaskingType='osek';</pre>

If you use the removed property, you get an error.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

TargetCompiler properties `WcharTIsUnsignedLong` and `SizeTIsUnsignedLong` are removed

Errors

Properties `WcharTIsUnsignedLong` and `SizeTIsUnsignedLong` are removed. To update your MATLAB code, see this table.

```
opts=polyspace.Project;
```

Property	Description
opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .WcharTIsUnsignedLong	<pre>opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .WcharTTypeIs="unsigned-long"</pre>

Property	Description
opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .SizeTIsUnsignedLong	opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .SizeTTypeIs="unsigned-long"

If you use the removed property, you get an error.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

EnumTypeDefinition option value defined-by-dialect is removed

Errors

EnumTypeDefinition option value defined-by-dialect is removed. To update your MATLAB code, see this table.

```
opts=polyspace.Project;
```

Property	Description
opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .EnumTypeDefinition="defined-by-dialect"	opts.Configuration.TargetCompiler... .EnumTypeDefinition="defined-by-compiler"

If you use the removed property, you get an error.

For more information, see [Properties](#).

Verification Results

AUTOSAR Support: Check for run-time mismatch between AUTOSAR specifications and code implementation

In R2018a, in addition to regular checks for run-time errors, Polyspace can detect certain kinds of mismatch between AUTOSAR specifications and the corresponding code implementation. For instance, the software detects if certain variables in your code can acquire values outside their specifications at run time.

The software performs these checks for two classes of variables.

- **Invalid use of AUTOSAR runtime environment function:** The check applies to arguments of functions supplied by the Run Time Environment (functions beginning with `Rte_`). See [Invalid use of AUTOSAR runtime environment function](#).
- **Invalid result of AUTOSAR runnable implementation:** The check applies to output arguments and return value from runnable entities (functions provided by the software components). See [Invalid result of AUTOSAR runnable implementation](#).

● **Invalid use of AUTOSAR runtime environment function** ?

Error: Function 'Rte_Write_outRef_colorCount' is called with invalid argument(s)

- **Conditions on data (see [spec](#)):**
 - ✓ data meets its specification:
Specification: non-NULL
 - ✓ data meets its specification:
Specification: allocated
 - ! data->color does not meet its specification:
Specification: { 4U,5U,9U}
Actual value (const unsigned int 8): 12
- **Conditions on self (see [spec](#)):**
 - ✓ self meets its specification:
Specification: non-NULL

The new AUTOSAR support has the following benefits:

- *Runtime errors detected:* Using static analysis, the software detects all possible invalid argument values that can occur at run time. The analysis represents the most comprehensive testing possible for this kind of mismatch with AUTOSAR specs.
- *Easy navigation between code and spec:* If the software detects a mismatch for a specific variable, you can navigate to an extract of the AUTOSAR specification that describes the variable data type and allowed values. You can also see the application data type (with units) from which the implementation data type and the software base type is derived and the computation method used for this derivation.
- *Easy collaboration between AUTOSAR spec development and coding:* If an argument acquires invalid values, it is easy to see the variable data type in an extract of the AUTOSAR specs. In situations where the code requires a change in the specs, for instance, if an enumeration requires an additional value, it is easy to use the extract to request this change. In this way, the AUTOSAR specification can be kept up-to-date with requirements from the code implementation. See also [Using Polyspace in AUTOSAR Software Development](#).

MISRA C++ Support: Check for overriding of standard library functions, missing const qualifiers and other MISRA C++ rules

In R2018a, you can look for violations of these MISRA® C++ rules.

Rule	Description
0-1-3	A project shall not contain unused variables.
0-1-5	A project shall not contain unused type declarations.
4-10-1	NULL shall not be used as an integer value.
4-10-2	Literal zero (0) shall not be used as the null-pointer constant.
7-1-1	A variable which is not modified shall be const qualified.
7-1-2	A pointer or reference parameter in a function shall be declared as pointer to const or reference to const if the corresponding object is not modified.
9-3-3	If a member function cannot be made static then it shall be made static, otherwise if it can be made const then it shall be made const.
15-5-3	The terminate() function shall not be called implicitly.
17-0-3	The names of standard library functions shall not be overridden.

See also MISRA C++ Coding Rules.

MISRA C:2012 Directives: Detect opportunities for data hiding

In R2018a, you can look for violations of MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.8. The directive states that if a pointer to a structure is never dereferenced in a translation unit, its implementation must be hidden in that unit.

See MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.8.

Using this check, you can find opportunities for defining opaque data types that hide the implementation of a structure.

Rule for Source Line Length: Constrain number of characters per line in your code

In R2018a, you can define a limit for number of characters per line in your code and use Polyspace to check for lines that fall outside that limit.


Use custom rule 20.1 and specify the character limit as the rule pattern. See Group 20: Style.

Reviewing Results

Concurrency Modeling: View all tasks and interrupts extracted from code and Polyspace configuration in one view

In R2018a, you can see the tasks and interrupts extracted from your code and configuration in one view.

After analysis, click the **Concurrency modeling** link on the **Dashboard**.



The screenshot shows a window titled "Concurrency modeling" with a search bar and a table of entry points. The table has two columns: "Entry point" and "Set by".

Entry point	Set by
Interrupts (2)	
i1() Executes repeatedly after the main entry point completes	Manually configured
i2() Executes repeatedly after the main entry point completes	Manually configured
Preemptable interrupts (2)	
Non-preemptable tasks (4)	
Tasks (12)	
ct1() Executes repeatedly after the main entry point completes	Manually configured
ct2() Executes repeatedly after the main entry point completes	Manually configured
dt1() Starts in main at line 113	Automatically detected
dt3a() (11 instances) Starts 10 times in main at line 128 Starts in main at line 122	Automatically detected

You can verify if Polyspace correctly detected your multitasking configuration from your code. For instance, if you know a priori that a specific function acts as an interrupt, you can spot-check whether Polyspace considers the function as an interrupt.

This information is also included in reports you generate from the analysis results.

Variables Reporting: Export variable list to text file for automated reading

In R2018a, you can export the list of global variables in your code to a text file, along with the read and write operations on them.

You can parse the text file by using MATLAB or Excel® and generate graphs or statistics about your global variables that you cannot readily obtain from the user interface. See [Export Global Variable List](#).

R2017b

Version: 9.8

New Features

Bug Fixes


Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Green Hills Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Green Hills Compiler

If you build your source code with the Green Hills® compiler, in R2017b, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.


You can specify these target processors directly: `arm64`, `arm`, `i386`, `x86_64`, `powerpc`, `powerpc64`, `rh850` or `tricore`. See `Green Hills Compiler (-compiler greenhills)`.



You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of your Green Hills compiler. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

OSEK Multitasking Support: Detect the multitasking configuration for your OSEK application automatically

In R2017b, you can provide an OIL file that Polyspace parses to detect the multitasking configuration for your OSEK application. Polyspace can interpret the OIL file definitions to set up your concurrency model.



For more information, see `OSEK multitasking configuration (-osek-multitasking)`.

You no longer need to configure multitasking manually to analyze your OSEK application. Polyspace detects the tasks, interrupts, and critical sections of your model.

Polyspace API in MATLAB: Configure analysis, run analysis, and read analysis results with a single MATLAB object

In R2017b, you can use a single MATLAB object for the entire Polyspace analysis. The analysis has two subobjects, one for configuring the analysis and another for reading the results.

```
obj = polyspace.Project

% Configure analysis
obj.Configuration.Sources = {fullfile(matlabroot, 'polyspace', 'examples',...
    'cxx', 'Code_Prover_Example', 'sources', 'single_file_analysis.c')};
obj.Configuration.TargetCompiler.Compiler = 'gnu4.9';
obj.Configuration.ResultsDir = fullfile(pwd, 'results');
obj.Configuration.CodeProverVerification.MainGenerator = true;

% Run analysis
cpStatus = obj.run('codeProver');

% Read results
cpSummary = obj.Results.getSummary();
```

For more information, see `polyspace.Project`.

You need fewer variables for the Polyspace analysis. You can also use the same object for reading both Bug Finder and Code Prover results.

Additional Considerations

Are the pre-R2017b ways of scripting a Polyspace analysis still supported?

The objects `polyspace.Options`, `polyspace.BugFinderResults` and `polyspace.CodeProverResults` are still supported. For easier scripting, it is recommended that you make the following replacements:

- To configure analysis, instead of the `polyspace.Options` object, use the `Configuration` subobject of the `polyspace.Project` object.

For instance, instead of:

```
opts = polyspace.Options

opts.ResultsDir = fullfile(pwd, 'results');
```

Use:

```
obj = polyspace.Project

obj.Configuration.ResultsDir = fullfile(pwd, 'results');
```

- To read results, instead of the `polyspace.BugFinderResults` and `polyspace.CodeProverResults` objects, use the `Results` subobject of the `polyspace.Project` object.

For instance, instead of:

```
resultsFolder = fullfile(pwd, 'results');

opts = polyspace.Options;
opts.Sources = {fullfile(matlabroot, 'polyspace', 'examples',...
    'cxx', 'Code_Prover_Example', 'sources', 'single_file_analysis.c')};
opts.CodeProverVerification.MainGenerator = true;
opts.ResultsDir = resultsFolder;
```

```
polyspaceCodeProver(opts);  
  
resObj = polyspace.CodeProverResults(resultsFolder);  
resSummary = resObj.getSummary();
```

Use:

```
resultsFolder = fullfile(pwd,'results');  
  
obj = polyspace.Project;  
  
obj.Configuration.Sources = {fullfile(matlabroot, 'polyspace', 'examples', ...  
    'cxx', 'Code_Prover_Example', 'sources', 'single_file_analysis.c')};  
obj.Configuration.CodeProverVerification.MainGenerator = true;  
obj.Configuration.ResultsDir = resultsFolder;  
  
cpStatus = obj.run('codeProver');  
  
resSummary = obj.Results.getSummary();
```

Compiler-Specific Keywords: Nonstandard compiler-specific keywords are only supported when you specify compiler

In R2017b, compiler-specific keywords are enabled only when you specify a supporting compiler. For instance, `far` is a keyword for certain compilers but not a keyword for others.

When configuring your Polyspace project, it is sufficient to specify your compiler. Previously, certain keywords were disabled irrespective of your compiler choice. If your compiler supported those keywords, you had to explicitly enable them.

Compatibility Considerations

In existing projects that use the compiler option `none` (now `generic`), you can see compilation errors. Previously, certain nonstandard keywords such as `data` were removed during preprocessing because they were not relevant for the analysis. This syntax did not cause compilation errors.

```
data int tab[10];
```

Now, the nonstandard keywords are recognized based only on your choice of compiler. If you use a generic compiler, the analysis does not recognize the nonstandard keywords as keywords and does not remove them during preprocessing. For instance, the preceding syntax causes compilation errors. For workarounds, see [Errors Related to Generic Compiler](#).

POSIX and BSD Standards: Use functions from these standards without additional setup

In R2017b, you can run analysis on code containing POSIX or BSD-specific functions without additional setup, for instance, defining macros such as `_POSIX_SOURCE`. As an example, you can analyze code that uses functions from `unistd.h` out of the box. You do not have to specify the location of `unistd.h` or perform additional configuration.

You can quickly run analysis on code that uses functions specific to POSIX or BSD. If you do not provide the headers, Polyspace uses its own implementation of the functions for analysis.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

In R2017b, the following options have been added, changed, or removed.

New Options

Option	Description
OSEK multitasking configuration (-osek-multitasking)	See OSEK Multitasking Support release note.
-xml-annotations-description	See Code Annotations release note.
Compiler options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management of size_t (-size-t-type-is) • Management of wchar_t (-wchar-t-type-is) 	Replaces previous options related to size_t and wchar_t.

Updated Options

Option	Change
Compiler (-compiler)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Option value none changed to generic. • New value greenhills added. See Green Hills Compiler Support. • Option value iso removed. Use generic instead. • Option values visual, visual6, visual7.0, visual7.1, visual8 and visual10 removed. Use visual10.0 instead. • Option value gnu removed. Use gnu3.4 instead.
Target processor type (-target)	Target powerpc64 added for Diab compiler. See Diab Compiler (-compiler diab).
Options related to packing of data structures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ignore pragma pack directives (-ignore-pragma-pack) • Pack alignment value (-pack-alignment-value) 	Available for all compilers.
Enum type definition (-enum-type-definition)	Option value defined-by-standard changed to defined-by-compiler.
-asm-begin and -asm-end	Available for all compilers.

Removed Options

Option	Status	More Information
Management of 'for loop' index scope (-for-loop-index-scope)	Warning	Your choice of compilers determines the specification of for loop index variables. If you specify an older version of the Microsoft Visual C++ compiler such as <code>visual6</code> , <code>visual7.0</code> or <code>visual7.1</code> , the analysis considers that a for loop index is visible outside the loop. Otherwise, the analysis considers that the index is visible only inside the for loop.
Set size_t to unsigned long (-size-t-is-unsigned-long)	Warning	Use the option Management of size_t (-size-t-type-is).
-wchar-t-is-unsigned-long and -wchar-t-is	Warning -wchar-t-is has been removed from the user interface only.	Use the option Management of wchar_t (-wchar-t-type-is).
-static-headers-object	Warning	The permissive linking introduced by -static-headers-object now happens by default. The option is not required.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use scripts that contain the removed or updated options, update your scripts accordingly. In the Polyspace user interface, if an option is replaced by another option, the replacement occurs automatically in your configuration.

Verification Results

Stack Size Computation: Determine maximum stack usage by a C program and individual functions

In R2017b, the analysis computes the stack usage by each function in your program and the entire program. The maximum stack usage by a function is the total size of all local variables in the function plus the maximum stack usage by the function callees.

For more information, see:

- Maximum Stack Usage and Minimum Stack Usage
- Program Maximum Stack Usage and Program Minimum Stack Usage

See also Determination of Program Stack Usage.

You can now determine if the stack requirements of your program exceed the available size on the call stack. If the stack requirements exceed the available stack size, you can determine which variable or function is responsible and increase the available stack size or reduce the stack requirements.

MISRA C:2012 Directive 1.1: Detect instances of implementation-specific behavior in your code

In R2017b, you can detect possible violations of MISRA C:2012 Directive 1.1. The directive requires that you understand and document any implementation-defined behavior that affects the program output. See MISRA C:2012 Dir 1.1.

The analysis detects constructs that can have implementation-defined behavior. If you have such constructs in your code, you can find how your compiler implements them. Once you understand and document all implementation-defined behavior, you can be assured that all output of your program is intentional and not produced by chance.

CERT C Support: Identify CERT C violations using run-time error checks

In R2017b, CERT C rules and recommendations are mapped to Code Prover run-time checks. If you run a Code Prover analysis, you can identify CERT C violations by using the mapping.

..	Check	CERT ID
● *	Out of bounds array index	DCL38-C ARR30-C STR31-C STR32-C MSC15-C
● *	Illegally dereferenced pointer	DCL38-C EXP08-C EXP34-C EXP36-C EXP39-C ARR30-C ARR37-C MEM10-C MEM35-C MSC15-C
● *	Non-terminating call	
● *	Non-terminating loop	MSC21-C
● *	Invalid use of standard library routine	FLP32-C STR03-C STR07-C STR31-C STR32-C
✕ *	Unreachable code	MSC07-C MSC12-C
✕ *	Unreachable code	MSC07-C MSC12-C
✕ *	Unreachable code	MSC07-C MSC12-C
✕ *	Unreachable code	MSC07-C MSC12-C
✕ *	Unreachable code	MSC07-C MSC12-C
✕ *	Unreachable code	MSC07-C MSC12-C

You can now comply with the CERT C standard with Code Prover. Use a combination of run-time checks and MISRA C:2012 checkers. See:

- Check C/C++ Code for Security Standards
- CERT C Coding Standard and Polyspace Results

Overlapping Memory Detection: Find cases where source and destination arguments of memcpy overlap

In R2017b, Code Prover can detect memcpy usage where the source and destination memory regions overlap.

For instance, in this example, Code Prover shows a red **Invalid use of standard library routine** check on the use of memcpy.

```
#include <string.h>

int main() {
    char arr[4];
    memcpy (arr, arr + 3, sizeof(int));
}
```

According to the Standard, overlap in source and destination arguments of memcpy lead to undefined behavior. Using Code Prover, you can detect these cases.

Changes to coding rule checking

Updated Specifications

In R2017b, the following changes have been made in checking of previously supported MISRA C and MISRA C++ rules.

Rule	Description	Improvement
MISRA C: 2004 Rule 17.4 and MISRA C++ Rule 5-0-15	Array indexing shall be the only allowed form of pointer arithmetic.	The rule checker flags array indexing on nonarray pointers. Previously, the checker flagged only explicit pointer arithmetic on pointers.

Rule	Description	Improvement
MISRA C:2004 Rule 8.9, MISRA C:2012 Rule 8.6 and MISRA C++ Rule 3-2-4	An identifier with external linkage shall have exactly one external definition.	The rule checkers flag multiple definitions only if the definitions occur in different files. The checkers do not consider tentative definitions as definitions. For instance, this code does not violate the rule: <pre>int val; int val=1;</pre>
MISRA C:2004 Rule 20.3 and MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.11	The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.	The rule checker uses more precise Code Prover analysis to determine if the input to a standard library function is within the allowed domain.

Reviewing Results

Run-Time Error Cause: Navigate to and view the cause of red nonterminating loops or function calls

In R2017b, you can determine the cause of a nonterminating loop over a few iterations, or a nonterminating function call, if it is due to a run-time error. To navigate to the cause, right-click the result, and select **Go to Cause**.

```
1  int a[10];
2
3  void foo(int x){
4      for (int i=0; i<=x+5; i++){
5          a[i]=i;
6      }
7  }
8
9  void func(){
10
11
12     int x, i;
13     x = 0;
14     for (i = 0; i <= 10; i++) {
15         a[i+1]=0;
16         foo(i);
17     }
18 }
19 }
20
```

You can now view the sequence of events leading to the error, including the number of successful iterations, in the **Results Details** pane.

Result Details

fx

☐ Result Review

Status Unreviewed

Severity Unset

●
Non-terminating loop
?

The loop is infinite or contains a run-time error.
This check may be a path-related issue, which is not dependent on input values
Loop fails due to a run-time error (maximum number of iterations: 6).

	Event	File	Scope	Line
1	Iterating on loop: loop ran 5 times	iPoly.c	func()	14
2	Entering function 'foo(int)'	iPoly.c	func()	16
3	Iterating on loop: loop ran 10 times	iPoly.c	foo(int)	4
4	Array index is outside its bounds : [0..9]	iPoly.c	foo(int)	5
5	● The loop is infinite or contains a run-time error.	iPoly.c	func()	14

See also Identify Loop Operation with Run-Time Error.

Results Review Workflow: Sort and filter results by subtype

In R2017b, you can group your results by subtype through the new **Detail** column in the **Results list** pane. This column shows the first line from the **Results Details** pane, which has additional information about a result.

For instance, multiple issues can trigger the same coding rule violation. The **Detail** column shows the specific issue that triggered the rule violation.

Family	Information	Detail	File	Function
-Tainted data 19				
-MISRA C:2004 1614				
-1 Environment 50				
-1.1 All code shall conform to ISO 9899:1990 'Programming languages - C', amended and corrected by ISO/IEC 9899/COR 1:1995, ISO/IEC 9899/AMD 1:1995, and ISO/IEC				
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids 'long double' type.	programming.c	bug_missingerrnoreset()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids 'long double' type.	programming.c	corrected_missingerrnoreset()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids 'long long int' type.	concurrency.c	corrected_datarace_task4()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids 'long long int' type.	concurrency.c	File Scope
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids 'long long int' type.	concurrency.c	File Scope
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids 'long long int' type.	concurrency.c	bug_datarace_task4()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	numerical.c	corrected_intstdlib()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	numerical.c	corrected_intstdlib()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	programming.c	corrected_improperarrayinit()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	programming.c	corrected_improperarrayinit()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	programming.c	bug_improperarrayinit()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	programming.c	bug_improperarrayinit()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	programming.c	corrected_improperarrayinit()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	programming.c	corrected_improperarrayinit()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids designated initializer.	programming.c	bug_improperarrayinit()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids long long integer constants.	programming.c	corrected_unsafestrtonumeric()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids long long integer constants.	tainteddata.c	sanitize_atoi()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids mixed declarations and code.	goodpractice.c	corrected_hardcodedmemsize()
...	Category: Required	ANSI C90 forbids mixed declarations and code.	goodpractice.c	corrected_hardcodedloopboundary()


You can now easily mass-edit statuses or comments for results of the same subtype. In the **Results List** pane, group results by family, then within a result family use the **Detail** column to sort and select a subset.

Result Review Workflow: Hide results that you reviewed once and justified through source code annotations

In R2017b, if you justify a result through source code annotations, subsequent analyses do not redisplay result again. Although the result still appears in your source code, it does not appear in your results list.

```
static int get_oil_pressure(void)
{
    volatile int vol_i;
    int i;
    i = vol_i; /* polyspace RTE:NIVL */
    assert(i > 0);
    return i;
}
```

If you want to revisit those justified results, you can make them visible in one-click.



When you decide not to fix a finding, you can justify it through source code annotations. That finding does not clutter your subsequent analysis results.

Suppose the analysis flags an error-handling statement as unreachable code. You do not want to remove the statement because future code can trigger the error and make the error-handling necessary. You can justify the unreachable code and choose not to see it again.

Additional Considerations

- *How can I use source code annotations to justify a result?*

You can directly type source code annotations in the correct format. See [Justify Results Through Code Annotations](#).

Alternatively, you can copy annotations from information in the user interface.

- In Eclipse, right-click the result to insert a justification directly in the source code.
- In Eclipse and the Polyspace user interface, assign one of the statuses `Justified`, `No action planned`, or `Not a defect` to a result. Right-click the result to copy your justification and paste it in a source code editor. See [Justify Results Through Code Annotations](#).
- *Will the hidden results still appear in the report?*

The hidden results still appear in the report. The results are hidden from view to save review effort. The reports are meant for complete documentation of your results. You cannot hide analysis results from the reports.

Code Annotations: Justify results or define your own format with a new annotation format

In R2017b, you can justify your results with the new Polyspace annotation syntax, or by using your own custom format. Polyspace also interprets existing code annotations that use a different syntax.

The new annotation format has the following benefits:

- *Easier results review:* With the new annotation format, you can provide a justification for multiple types of results on the same line. Previously, you had to enter the justification for different types of results, such as defects and coding rules violations, on different lines.
- *Custom annotation format:* You can use an XML file to define any annotation format and map it to the Polyspace syntax. When you analyze your code, Polyspace can interpret the annotations regardless of the format.

Additional Considerations:

If you use the new annotation format and place your annotation on the line above the result you annotate, the annotation is ignored.

To apply the annotation to the line of code below, add +1 after the polyspace keyword.

Polyspace still supports annotations that use the old syntax.

MISRA Comments and Code Annotations: Import your existing MISRA C:2004 justifications to MISRA C:2012 results

In R2017b, when you check your code against MISRA C:2012 rules, Polyspace imports existing justifications for MISRA C: 2004 violations.

Type	Check: (9)	Status	Severity	Comment: (9)
MISRA C:2004	6.3 Typedefs that indicate size and sig...	Unreviewed	Unset	MISRA2004-6.3 comment
MISRA C:2004	6.3 Typedefs that indicate size and sig...	To fix	Medium	MISRA2004-6.3
MISRA C:2004	8.1 Functions shall have prototype de...	To fix	Low	MISRA2004-8.1
MISRA C:2004	11.3 A cast should not be performed b...	Justified	Low	MISRA2004-11.3
MISRA C:2004	11.4 A cast should not be performed b...	Unreviewed	Unset	MISRA2004-11.4 comment
MISRA C:2004	12.12 The underlying bit representatio...	Unreviewed	Unset	MISRA2004-12.12 comm...
MISRA C:2004	13.2 Tests of a value against zero sho...	Not a defect	Low	MISRA2004-13.2
MISRA C:2004	14.4 The goto statement shall not be ...	Not a defect	Low	MISRA2004-14.4
MISRA C:2004	14.9 An if (expression) construct shall ...	Not a defect	Low	MISRA2004-13.2
MISRA C:2004	19.5 Macros shall not be #define'd an...	Justified	Low	MISRA2004-19.5

The analysis maps these justifications to the corresponding MISRA C: 2012 rules, if they exist.

Type	Check	Status	Severity	Comment: (7)
MISRA C:2012	Dir 4.6 typedefs that indicate size and...	Unreviewed	Unset	MISRA2004-6.3 comment
MISRA C:2012	Dir 4.6 typedefs that indicate size and...	To fix	Medium	MISRA2004-6.3
MISRA C:2012	8.4 A compatible declaration shall be v...	To fix	Low	MISRA2004-8.1
MISRA C:2012	11.3 A cast shall not be performed bet...	Unreviewed	Unset	MISRA2004-11.4 comment
MISRA C:2012	11.4 A conversion should not be perfo...	Justified	Low	MISRA2004-11.3
MISRA C:2012	14.4 The controlling expression of an i...	Not a defect	Low	MISRA2004-13.2
MISRA C:2012	15.1 The goto statement should not b...	Not a defect	Low	MISRA2004-14.4
MISRA C:2012	15.6 The body of an iteration-stateme...	Not a defect	Low	MISRA2004-13.2

For more information, see Import Existing MISRA C: 2004 Justifications to MISRA C: 2012 Results.

You can transition from MISRA C:2004 to MISRA C:2012 compliance. If you have already justified a coding rule violation for MISRA C: 2004, you do not need to review the same result for the corresponding MISRA C:2012 rule.


Variable Relationships in Tooltips: Check if variables in operation are related from previous operation

In R2017b, you can determine if the variables in any operation are related from some previous operation.

For instance, if you want to know if the variables `var1` and `var2` in the operation `return(var1 - var2)` are related, you can insert a pragma before the line and rerun the analysis:

```
#pragma Inspection_Point var1 var2
```

In the results, you see a tooltip on `var2` in the pragma, which shows the relation between them, if one exists.



The screenshot shows a code editor with the following code:

```
#pragma Inspection_Point wheel_speed_old wheel_speed
temp = wheel_speed - wheel_speed_old;

if (temp <= 0)
    out = 1;
else
    out = 0;

wheel_speed_old = wheel_speed;
}
```

A tooltip is displayed over the `wheel_speed` variable in the pragma, showing the following information:

- Inspection point on external variable 'wheel_speed' (int 32): [0 .. 65000]
- Relation(s): wheel_speed_old<=wheel_speed
- Press 'F2' for focus

You can use the pragmas as an additional tool for diagnosing results. At any point in your code, you can tell if certain variables are related to each other. You do not have to manually inspect your code to find if the variables have been previously related.

See Find Relations Between Variables in Code.

Result Status: Assign statuses that directly correspond to stages of development workflow

In R2017b, you can assign these statuses to a result. Each status corresponds to a stage in your code analysis workflow.

- Unreviewed (default status)
- To investigate
- To fix
- Justified
- No action planned
- Not a defect

- Other

You can follow your review progress more easily.

Additional Considerations

- *How can I use the statuses to follow my review progress?*

You can follow your progress in the Polyspace user interface or the Polyspace Metrics web interface.

- Polyspace user interface: You can filter all results that have a certain status.
- Polyspace Metrics: You can see the percentage of results reviewed and justified. If you assign a status other than **Unreviewed** to a result, the software considers the result as reviewed. If you assign one of these statuses, the software considers the result as justified: **Justified**, **No action planned**, or **Not a defect**.
- *Can I create my own status?*

You can still create custom statuses. Select **Tools > Preferences** and create your own statuses on the **Review Statuses** tab.

Compatibility Considerations

If you open results from a previous release, the statuses are updated to the new release. The updates are:

- Fix or Investigate → To fix or To investigate
- Improve → To fix
- Undecided → Unreviewed.


If you open results from a previous release, the severity **Not a defect** is updated to **Unset**.

If your source code annotations use statuses from a previous release, the software reads your annotations using the updates. The software does not change the annotations themselves.

Function Call Hierarchy: View and navigate to function callers and callees by clicking function name

In R2017b, you can click function names in your source code to see callers and callees of the function. You can then click a caller or callee name to go to their definitions in the source code. The **Call Hierarchy** pane shows the callers and callees.

When a function is defined, the source code shows the function name in blue. To see callers and callees on the **Call Hierarchy** pane, click the function name. For details, see Call Hierarchy.



Previously, the **Call Hierarchy** pane was updated only when you clicked on a run-time check. You can now navigate the function call hierarchy more naturally by using function names in your source code.

Additional Considerations

Can I also click function calls to see the callers and callees?

When a function is called, the function call sometimes shows a run-time check color. If the function does not have a run-time check color (see `func2` below), click the function name to update the **Call Hierarchy** pane.

```
int main() {
    int val = INIT_VAL;
    int checkSuccess = func (val);
    if (checkSuccess == 0)
        func2 ();
}
```

fx Call Hierarchy	
Calls	Line
file.func2	19
... file.main	10

If the function has a run-time check color (see `func` above), right-click the function and select **Go To Definition**. The **Call Hierarchy** pane is updated to show the callers and callees.

R2017a

Version: 9.7

New Features


Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Unified User Interface: Create and maintain a single Polyspace project for Bug Finder and Code Prover analysis

In R2017a, you can run Bug Finder and Code Prover analysis on the same Polyspace project in the same user interface.



The unified user interface has the following benefits:

- *Single entry point for two products:* You launch the Polyspace user interface only once from one icon on your desktop.
- *Easier switching between products:* After you run a Bug Finder analysis, you can switch to the more rigorous Code Prover analysis in one click.
- *One project, one configuration:* Add source files and specify your analysis options only once. After you set up your project, you can switch between the products without having to reconfigure.

Additional Considerations:



- *What if I only want to run a Bug Finder analysis?*

You have to set the options that apply to a Bug Finder analysis. Most options are common between Bug Finder and Code Prover. So, you still have the benefit that most of your options will be set if you ever switch to Code Prover.

The options specific to Bug Finder appear in the **Bug Finder Analysis** node, and the ones specific to Code Prover in the **Code Prover Verification** node and the nodes underneath.

- *If I run analysis in the two products, will the two sets of results appear together?*

Yes, but not in the same view. The two sets of results appear under the same project, both in the user interface and in the physical folder locations.

- In the user interface, in the **Project Browser**, the Bug Finder results appear with the  icon and the Code Prover results appear with the  icon.

- In your file explorer, you find the result folders for both analysis under one project folder.

However, after you run the two analyses, you have to open the two sets of analysis results separately to review them. In the user interface, double-click one of the two result icons to open the results corresponding to that product.

- *Besides analysis options, are there other changes from pre-R2017a that I should be aware of?*

If you were previously using only one of the two products, you will now notice the following differences.


Bug Finder User:

- You can now create multiple modules in your Polyspace project to analyze separate components of your source code.


When you create a project and add your source files, they are automatically added to the first module. If you add source files later, you have to select them and using the right-click option **Copy to Module_n**, copy them to the module that you want.

- You can now choose to create a new result folder for a second analysis on the same module. Use the option **Create new Bug Finder result folder** from the **Run** button dropdown. Prior to R2017a, there was one result folder for Bug Finder. If you ran a second analysis, it overwrote the previous results. Note that the overwriting is still *the default behavior*.
- A new icon is used to denote defects.

Before R2017a:



R2017a:



Code Prover User:

- If you run a second analysis on the same module, by default, it overwrites the previous results. Prior to R2017a, a new result folder was created by default every time you ran an analysis.

You can change this default behavior and create a new result folder for the second analysis. Use the option **Create new Code Prover result folder** from the **Run** button dropdown.

- If some of your files do not compile, the analysis continues with the remaining files. If a file with compilation errors contains a function definition, the analysis considers the function as undefined and uses a function stub instead. You can see which files did not compile on the **Output Summary** pane and also in the report generated from the verification results.

Previously, the default analysis required that all of your files must compile. To revert to this default behavior, use the option Stop analysis if a file does not compile (`-stop-if-compile-error`).

- A new icon is used to denote definite run-time errors or red checks.

Before R2017a:

F...	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Check
!	*	Out of bounds array index
!	*	Illegally dereferenced pointer
!	*	Non-terminating call
!	*	Non-terminating loop
!	*	Invalid use of standard library routine

R2017a:

F...	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Check
●	*	Out of bounds array index
●	*	Illegally dereferenced pointer
●	*	Non-terminating call
●	*	Non-terminating loop
●	*	Invalid use of standard library routine

- *I use DOS/UNIX®/MATLAB scripts to launch the analysis. How does this change affect me?*

The change does not affect you directly. For instance, you still use two separate commands `polyspace-bug-finder-nodesktop` and `polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop` to run analysis from the DOS/UNIX command line. However, if you specify your options in a Polyspace project in the user interface and then create a script from the project, you have to specify your options only once for both products.

Once you specify your options in the Polyspace project, you can easily create a script for the individual products. For instance, to create a Windows batch file that runs a Code Prover analysis, run the command:

```
polyspace -generate-launching-script-for myproject.psprj
```

To create a Windows batch file that runs a Bug Finder analysis, run the command:

```
polyspace -bug-finder -generate-launching-script-for myproject.psprj
```

Improved Speed and Precision: Run analysis faster and receive fewer orange checks as compared to previous releases

In R2017a, Polyspace analysis uses many improvements that increase precision and reduce analysis time significantly, sometimes by as much as 30%. For instance, in presence of arrays and nested structures, the analysis is faster and more precise.

The improved speed and precision has the following benefits:

- *Less wait time:* You are likely to spend less time waiting for the analysis to complete.
- *Less review time:* For most applications, you are likely to have fewer orange checks and spend less time manually reviewing them.

TASKING Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Altium TASKING compiler

If you build your source code with the Altium® TASKING compiler, in R2017a, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

You can specify the following target processors directly: `tricore`, `c166`, `rh850` or `arm`. See TASKING Compiler (`-compiler tasking`).

Target Environment	
Compiler	tasking
Target processor type	tricore

You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of your TASKING compiler. If your code compiles with your compiler, it will compile with Polyspace in most cases without requiring additional setup. Previously, you had to explicitly define macros that were implicitly defined by the compiler and remove unknown language extensions from your preprocessed code.

Updated Visual C++ Support: Set up Polyspace analysis easily for code compiled with Microsoft Visual C++ 2015 compiler

If you build your source code with the Microsoft Visual C++ 2015 compiler, in R2017a, you can specify the compiler name for your Polyspace analysis. The analysis can interpret macros that are implicitly defined by the compiler and compiler-specific language extensions such as keywords and pragmas.

Target Language	
Source code language	CPP
Target Environment	
Compiler	visual14.0

For more information, see Compiler (`-compiler`).

The updated Visual C++ support has the following benefits:

- *Easier compilation*: You can now set up a Polyspace project without knowing the internal workings of your Microsoft Visual C++ 2015 compiler.
- *More precise analysis*: The analysis provides precise results when you use compiler-specific extensions.

Autodetection of Concurrency Primitives: Multitasking model detected from Windows or μ C/OS II multithreading functions

In R2017a, if you use the Windows or μ C/OS II functions for multitasking, the Polyspace analysis can interpret them semantically.

Polyspace interprets the following functions:

Family	Thread Creation	Critical Section Begins	Critical Section Ends
Windows	CreateThread	EnterCriticalSection	LeaveCriticalSection
μ C/OS II	OSTaskCreate	OSMutexPend	OSMutexPost

You do not have to adapt your code or specify your multitasking model manually through analysis options. The analysis determines your multitasking model from the functions in your code and checks if shared variables are sufficiently protected.

Manual Multitasking Setup: Functions beginning and ending critical sections do not need to be defined

In R2017a, if you specify that certain functions begin and end critical sections, you do not have to provide their definitions to Polyspace.

If you use functions provided by your operating system whose definitions are not readily accessible, you do not have to provide the definitions.

Manual Multitasking Setup: main Function Not Required

In R2017a, you can run verification on multitasking applications that do not have a main function.


Previously, Code Prover analysis required a main function for multitasking. If your code did not have a main function, you had to add a main to your source code or preprocessed code just for the Code Prover analysis.

The software now adds an empty main function for you. If your code has a main function, the software continues to use that main function for analysis.

Specifying Function Names for Options: Choose from prepopulated list in user interface instead of entering manually

In R2017a, for options that take function names, you can choose the names from a list.

For instance, to specify which functions act as entry points to your multitasking application, you can choose the names from a list as follows:



You do not have to enter the names manually. If the functions list is long, you can start typing the function name to reduce the list.

Polyspace API in MATLAB: Create MATLAB objects from Polyspace projects to run analysis

In R2017a, you can create a MATLAB object from a Polyspace project (.psrj file). For instance, if you have a file myProject.psrj in the current working folder, enter:

```
opts = polyspace.loadProject('myProject.psrj')
```

Use the object opts in MATLAB scripts to run a Polyspace analysis:

```
polyspaceCodeProver(opts);
```

You can now consider the following workflows:

- *Set options in GUI and script analysis:* Use the Polyspace user interface to specify options in your Polyspace project, or adjust options based on results from a trial run. After the options are stable, create a MATLAB object opts from the project and store it in a MAT-file. As you move along in your development cycle, simply load opts from your MAT-file, update opts.Sources to add new source files, update other properties as required, and use opts to run analysis. For all properties of the object, see polyspace.Options.

- *Create project from your build command and script analysis:* Use the function `polyspaceConfigure` to create a `.psrpj` file from your build command (makefile). Create a MATLAB object from that file to run analysis. In this way, you can use a MATLAB script for the entire Polyspace analysis workflow beginning from your makefile.

Additional Considerations:

- *A single Polyspace project works for both Bug Finder and Code Prover. Can I likewise use the object to run both a Bug Finder and Code Prover analysis?*

Yes, once you create the MATLAB object from a Polyspace project, you can use it with both functions `polyspaceBugFinder` and `polyspaceCodeProver`.

- *Can I create an object from a project that I have from a pre-R2017a version of Polyspace?*

Yes, you can.

Improved support for user implementations of standard library functions

If the arguments or return value of a standard library function have data types that are defined in your header files, Polyspace compilation now uses your type definitions. Polyspace compilation uses its own implementation of standard library functions and previously, looked for specific type definitions in specific header files. Compilation errors occurred if the definitions could not be found in those specific header files.

For instance, the `fopen` function returns a `FILE*` pointer.

```
FILE * fopen ( const char * filename, const char * mode );
```

Suppose, you define `FILE` using a `typedef` in an included header file that is not `stdio.h`, as follows:

```
typedef int FILE[4];
```

Polyspace compilation uses this definition of `FILE`. Previously, the compilation looked for the definition of `FILE` only in `stdio.h`.

The improved support for user implementations of standard library functions has the following benefits:

- *Compilation errors avoided:* You see fewer compilation errors due to your implementations of standard library functions.
- *Better analysis:* The analysis uses data types for your standard library functions the way you have defined them. Therefore, it interprets your code more accurately.

Improvement in automatic project creation from build systems

In R2017a, by default, automatic project creation will throw an error if a project with the same name exists in the output folder.

If you encounter an error, avoid the name conflict: change the project name, output folder, or remove your older project.

You cannot overwrite existing projects by accident. If you use scripts that are intended to overwrite existing projects, use the additional option `-allow-overwrite`.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

In R2017a, these options have been added, changed, or removed.

Updated Options

Option	Change	More Information
Report template	Renamed in user interface	New name: Code Prover report The command-line name is still <code>-report-template</code> .
Batch	Renamed in user interface	New name: Run Code Prover analysis on a remote cluster The option is now in the Run Settings node in your project configuration. The command-line name is still <code>-batch</code> .
Add to results repository	Renamed in user interface	New name: Upload results to Polyspace Metrics The option is now in the Run Settings node in your project configuration. The command-line name is still <code>-add-to-results-repository</code> .
Compiler (<code>-compiler</code>)	New value added	You can specify the following arguments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <code>tasking</code> See TASKING Compiler Support on page 12-5. <code>visual14.0</code> See Microsoft Visual C++ Support on page 12-5.
Infinities (<code>-check-infinite</code>)	Available in user interface	Previously, this advanced option was available only on the command line.
NaNs (<code>-check-nan</code>)	Available in user interface	Previously, this advanced option was available only on the command line.

Removed Options

Option	Status	More Information
Optimize large static initializers (-no-fold)	Removed	The benefits that came with -no-fold now appear by default, without the associated costs in precision. So the option is not required.
Continue with compile error (-continue-with-compile-error)	Removed	The option is enabled by default in Code Prover. In other words, if some files have compilation errors, by default, the analysis continues with the remaining files. If you want analysis to stop from even a single compilation error, use the option Stop analysis if a file does not compile (-stop-if-compile-error).
Green absolute address checks (-green-absolute-address-checks)	Removed	Absolute address usage checks are green by default. To remove this assumption and produce an orange check, use the option -no-assumption-on-absolute-addresses.
Files and folders to ignore (-includes-to-ignore)	Removed	Use the option Do not generate results for (-do-not-generate-results-for) to suppress results from headers and sources in certain files or folders.
Ignore float rounding (-ignore-float-rounding)	Removed	
-retype-pointer	Removed	
-retype-int-pointer	Removed	
-lwtm	Removed	
-support-FX-option-results	Removed	
No automatic stubbing (-no-automatic-stubbing)	Error	Option will be removed in a future release.
-easy-setup-preprocess	Error	Option will be removed in a future release.
gui-api	Error	Binary will be removed in a future release. Use polyspace-comments-import instead.
polyspace-automatic-verification	Error	Binary will be removed in a future release.
polyspace-remote	Error	Binary will be removed in a future release.
polyspace-verifier	Error	Binary will be removed in a future release.
rte-kernel	Error	Binary will be removed in a future release.
Dialect (-dialect)	Error	Option will be removed in a future release. Use Compiler (-compiler) instead.

Option	Status	More Information
Target operating system (-OS-target)	Error	<p>Option will be removed in a future release.</p> <p>If you use this option in scripts, see the list below for replacements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linux: If you get compilation errors, use Compiler (-compiler) <code>gnux.x</code>. <p>Sometimes, you might also have to set Preprocessor definitions (-D) to <code>linux</code>, <code>unix</code>, or <code>__linux__</code>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual: Use Compiler (-compiler) <code>visualx.x</code> • Vxworks: Use the VxWorks® configured template. <p>For more information, see Create Project Using Configuration Template.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solaris: Remove -OS-target. • no-predefined-OS: Remove -OS-target.
Import folder (-import-dir)	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use scripts that contain the removed or updated options, change your scripts accordingly.

Changes in MATLAB options object

These classes will be removed in a future release.

- `polyspace.CodeProverOptions`: To customize Polyspace analysis of handwritten code, use `polyspace.Options` instead.
- `polyspace.ModelLinkCodeProverOptions`: To customize Polyspace analysis of generated code, use `polyspace.ModelLinkOptions` instead.

The properties and methods of the new classes are almost the same as the original classes. If `optsOld` is an object of the original class and `optsNew` is an object of the new class, the following properties have changed.

Reporting

Removed	Use instead
optsOld.Reporting.EnableReportGeneration	optsNew.MergedReporting.EnableReportGeneration
optsOld.Reporting.ReportTemplate	optsNew.MergedReporting.CodeProverReportTemplate
optsOld.Reporting.ReportOutputFormat	optsNew.MergedReporting.ReportOutputFormat

ComputingSettings

Removed	Use instead
optsOld.ComputingSettings.Batch	optsNew.MergedComputingSettings.BatchCodeProver
optsOld.ComputingSettings.AddToResultsRepository	optsNew.MergedComputingSettings.AddToResultsRepositoryCodeProver

Compatibility Considerations

Replace instances of the old class names in your MATLAB scripts with the new class names. Then, replace the properties accordingly.

Even if you continue to use the old class names, you must change the properties, as described above.

Change in temporary folder location

In R2017a, Polyspace looks for standard environment variables such as TMPDIR to store temporary files during an analysis. Previously, Polyspace used the folders /tmp or C:\Temp during analysis.

You can also store Polyspace temporary files in a folder different from the standard temporary folders. To learn how Polyspace determines the temporary folder location, see [Storage of Temporary Files](#).

Compatibility Considerations

If your analysis seems slower than before, check if the new temporary folder is on a network drive. For faster analysis, use a folder on a local drive instead.

Verification Results

Integers in Floating Point: See improved analysis precision for floating point variables that always take integer values

In R2017a, the analysis can detect float or double variables that take integer values.

For instance, in the following code, despite the cast to double, the verification detects that `i` takes integer values.

The screenshot shows a code editor window with a yellow error banner at the top. The banner contains the following text:

- ✓ ID 11: Invalid use of standard library routine ?
- Function 'pow' is called with valid argument(s)
- ✓ First argument is non-zero or second argument is positive or zero
- ✓ First argument is not negative or second argument is an integer value
- ✓ 'pow' does not overflow

Below the banner are tabs for 'Configuration' and 'Result Details'. The 'Source' tab is active, showing the following code in `test.c`:

```
1 #include <math.h>
2
3 // Return 1 if i is even, -1 otherwise
4 double parity (int i) {return pow(-1, (double) i);}
```

A tooltip is displayed over the `(double) i` cast, containing the following information:

- Conversion from int 32 to float 64
- right: full-range $[-2^{31} .. 2^{31}-1]$
- result: integer values in $[-2.1475E^{+09} .. -1.0]$ or $[0.0 .. 2.1475E^{+09}]$

At the bottom right of the tooltip, it says "Press 'F2' for focus".

The improved analysis precision has the following benefits:

- *Improved analysis precision*: The analysis uses more precise integer arithmetic for these variables.
- *Better understanding of results*: The range tooltip on these variables show that they take integer values only. You can use this information to interpret certain results.

New Code Metrics: See number of lines in header files and number of local variables per function

In R2017a, Polyspace can provide the following new code complexity metrics:

- Number of lines and number of lines without comments in header files
- Number of local non-static variables for every function and method
- Number of local static variables for every function and method

You can determine the memory footprints of your code using these new metrics (along with other already existing metrics).

Checks Green by Definition: Distinguish operations that are safe by definition from operations that are proven safe

In R2017a, certain numerical run-time checks clearly indicate whether the check is green by definition.

The messages for such checks state that the operation is safe with respect to the run-time check, whatever the operand values. For instance, the `sqrt` or `cbrt` function cannot return subnormal values.

If an operation is safe by definition, you do not need to protect against unsafe behavior. If an operation is safe only in the current context, you need to recheck the operation when reusing it in another context. Being able to identify operations that are safe by definition helps you determine if you need to protect against later unsafe behavior.

Additional considerations:

- *Can I tell by visual inspection that an operation is safe by definition?*

Sometimes, you can. In other cases, rigorous mathematical calculations are required to prove that an operation is safe by definition. Polyspace verification shows you all such operations in green, providing you the assurance that your usage is safe with respect to the run-time check.

- *Which checks can be green by definition?*

The following checks can be green by definition.

- **Subnormal float:** Checks green by definition highlight operations that cannot return subnormal results, whatever the operand values.
- **Overflow:** Checks green by definition highlight operations that cannot overflow, whatever the operand values.
- **Invalid operation on floats:** Checks green by definition operations that cannot return NaN, whatever the operand values.

The meaning of green by definition depends on your analysis mode. For instance, in the `warn-first` mode of the **Subnormal float** check, green means that an operation cannot return subnormal results *unless the operands themselves are subnormal*. Green by definition incorporates this change in meaning of green.

Function Pointer Signature Mismatch: View orange checks instead of red when the mismatch cannot be proven

In R2017a, the Correctness condition check on calls through function pointers aligns more closely with the general semantics of Code Prover checks. The check is red only if the verification proves that the function pointer does not point to a function with matching signature.

You can follow the same review policy with **Correctness condition** checks as with other checks. Previously, the **Correctness condition** checks could be red even if the analysis did not prove a mismatch between the function pointer and the function that it points to. These red checks indicated that the verification cannot identify which function to call, because of imprecisions or lack of external information. In these cases, the checks are now orange.

Compatibility Considerations

You can see a change in the number of red and orange **Correctness condition** checks.

Structures with Volatile Fields: See improved analysis precision and apply constraints if necessary

In R2017a, the software analyzes `volatile`-qualified structure fields more precisely.

- The analysis can distinguish between volatile and nonvolatile fields. Previously, if one field of a structure was volatile, the analysis either considered all fields as volatile or ignored the `volatile` qualifier for all fields, depending on the option you select.

For instance, in the following code, the software previously considered both `val1` and `val2` as volatile or not.

```
typedef struct myStruct
{
    volatile int val1;
    int val2;
};
```

If the analysis considers the `volatile` qualifier for structure fields, they can take any value allowed by their data type at any point in the code.

- You can specify permanent constraints on `volatile`-qualified structure fields to narrow down their assumed range. See Constraints.

This improvement also applies to `volatile`-qualified arrays.

You have to review fewer orange checks from imprecise analysis of structures with volatile fields.

Compatibility Considerations

Unless you use the default assumption to ignore the `volatile` qualifier on structure fields, you can see a reduction in the number of orange checks.

Changes to coding rule checking

In R2017a, the following changes have been made in checking of previously supported MISRA C rules.

Rule	Rule	Improvement
MISRA C: 2004 Rule 5.1	Identifiers (internal and external) shall not rely on the significance of more than 31 characters.	<p>The rule checker shows all identifiers that have the same first 31 characters as one rule violation. Previously, every pair of identifiers with same 31 characters was shown as a separate violation.</p> <p>For instance, in the following code snippet, the rule violation appears only once.</p> <pre>extern int engine_exhaust_gas_temperature_raw; static int engine_exhaust_gas_temperature_scaled; static int engine_exhaust_gas_temperature_cutoff;</pre> <p>Previously, the violation was shown three times.</p> <p>You have to review only one rule violation for every group of identifiers with the same 31 characters. You can still see all instances of conflicting identifier names in the event history of that rule violation.</p>
MISRA C:2012 Rule 8.5	An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file.	The rule checker considers that variables or functions declared <code>extern</code> in a non-header file violates this rule.

Reviewing Results

Easier Review: View verification assumptions, see unreachable and aliased function calls in call graph

In R2017a, you can review Polyspace Code Prover checks more easily using new features in the Polyspace user interface.

- *Verification assumptions*: You can see the assumptions that the software makes, collected in one place.


If an assumption can be changed, the **Analysis assumptions** pane shows the assumption.

Assumption	How to Change Assumption	Issuer
Absolute addresses can be safely dereferenced	Consider all absolute addresses as unsafe (-no-assumption-on-absolute-addresses): Add this option.	Product
External pointers cannot be null and point to allocated data of sufficient size to be safely dereferenced	Consider environment pointers as unsafe (-stubbed-pointers-are-unsafe): Enable this option.	Product
Nonfinite floats such as infinities and NaNs are not considered	Consider non finite floats (-allow-non-finite-floats): Enable this option.	Product
Results of floating-point arithmetic are rounded to nearest value	Consider all possible rounding for floating-point arithmetic, and possible use of extended precision (-float-...)	Product
Stack pointers can be safely dereferenced even outside the pointed variable's scope	Detect stack pointer dereference outside scope (-detect-pointer-escape): Enable this option.	Product
Structure fields are not volatile unless the entire structure is volatile-qualified	Consider volatile qualifier on fields (-consider-volatile-qualifier-on-fields): Enable this option.	Product

[See also Polyspace core assumptions list in documentation](#)

The Polyspace documentation lists the core assumptions that you cannot change. See Polyspace Software Assumptions.

You can also see the modifiable assumptions in reports generated using a Code Prover template.

- *Improved function call hierarchy*: The **Call Hierarchy** pane shows which function calls are unreachable (shown in gray) and which calls are made through function pointers (shown with the  icon).

For instance, in the following figure, the function `main` calls `func1` directly, and calls `func2`, `func3` and `func5` indirectly via function pointers. The call to `func4` is unreachable.


Calls	Line
myFile.main	11
▶ myFile._init_globals	11
▶ myFile.func1	15
⚡ myFile.func2	22
⚡ myFile.func3	22
▶ myFile.func4	25
⚡ myFile.func5	28

The new features help you interpret analysis results more easily.

- *Verification assumptions*: To interpret certain analysis results, you can now browse through the list of analysis assumptions. If an analysis option is available to change the assumption, you can find the option more easily.
- *Improved function call hierarchy*: To interpret certain analysis results, you can now check quickly if an expected function call does not occur in practice.

Folder Names in Results: Filter or group analysis results by source folder names


In R2017a, the source folder name is shown in the list of analysis results.




You can order your results by folders or filter results belonging to specific folders. Using custom filters, you can filter out the subfolders of a folder in one click.

Code to Model Traceability: Switch easily between identifiers in generated code and corresponding blocks in model

In R2017a, you can trace an instance of a variable in generated code back to your model.



The model shows the corresponding block highlighted in blue. If the block is in a subsystem, both the subsystem and the block are highlighted in blue.



The new ability to trace from code to model enables the following:

- *More convenient navigation:* Previously, you traced back from code to model via links in code comments. You can now navigate from the code operations themselves.

- *More fine-grained navigation:* You can easily identify which block in your model leads to which operation in the generated code.

Polyspace API in MATLAB: Read Polyspace analysis results from MATLAB

You can read your Polyspace analysis results into a MATLAB table. For instance, if the folder `C:\MyResults` contains results of a Polyspace analysis, enter the following:

```
resObj = polyspace.CodeProverResults('C:\MyResults')
resSummary = getSummary(resObj)
resTable = getResults(resObj)
```

`resSummary` and `resTable` are two MATLAB tables containing summary and details of the Polyspace results.

See also `polyspace.CodeProverResults`.

You can use the capabilities of MATLAB to obtain graphs and statistics about your Polyspace results.

R2016b

Version: 9.6

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Diab Compiler Support: Set up Polyspace verification easily for code compiled with Wind River Diab compiler

If you build your source code using the Wind River® Diab compiler, in R2016b, you can easily set up a Polyspace project to verify your code. After you specify the Diab compiler and your target processor, the verification:

- Implicitly defines macros that are defined for the Diab compiler. Previously, you defined the macros in your Polyspace project explicitly to avoid compilation errors.
- Understands language extensions such as keywords and pragmas that are specific to the Diab compiler. Previously, you removed unknown language extensions explicitly from the preprocessed code in your Polyspace project to avoid compilation errors.

You can now set up a Polyspace project manually without knowing the internal workings of your Diab compiler. Specify the Diab compiler and your target processor, and run verification without facing compilation errors. See [Diab Compiler \(-compiler diab\)](#).

The software supports version 5.9 and older versions of the Diab compiler.

Multitasking Code Verification Setup: Specify cyclic tasks and nonpreemptable interrupts directly as verification options

In R2016b, you can specify which entry points in your code represent cyclic tasks and nonpreemptable interrupts. Previously, to emulate the cyclic behavior of a task, you embedded instructions in a loop. To emulate a nonpreemptable interrupt, you specified temporally exclusive pairs where the interrupt was paired with all other interrupts.

For more information, see [Cyclic tasks \(-cyclic-tasks\)](#) and [Interrupts \(-interrupts\)](#).

Improved source and include folder management

Before R2016b, when you created a project, you added and removed source files and include folders individually. If you moved your source files or added new files to your programming project, you re-added the files into your Polyspace project.

Starting in R2016b, you create Polyspace projects with root source folders and include folders. The root folder location represents the top of the hierarchy for your source files. Polyspace shows all files relative to the root source locations. When you add a root source location, you can:

- See all source files under the root folder (and subfolders)
- Exclude files and subfolders in the hierarchy to change the active list of source files to analyze.
- Refresh the source file list to see new files or folders in the root source hierarchy.
- Modify the root source folder path.
- If you use a revision control system, change the root folder location to point to different versions of your source files.

For include folders, instead of adding individual folders, you add a root include folder location. Polyspace adds all include folders underneath the root include location that contains include files. You can refresh and modify the include folder path.

For more information, see [Create Project](#).

Writable Examples: Modify example projects and restore original versions

The examples projects under **Help > Examples** are now easier to use. The first time that you open an example project, a writable version is saved in your *Polyspace Workspace*. In the writable project, you can test configuration options, change sources, and rerun the example. If you want to refresh the example with a clean version, select **Help > Examples > Restore Default Examples**.

Run verification on .psprj file from the command line

If you already have a project created in the Polyspace Interface, you can now use that `.psprj` file to run your verification from a command line.

DOS or UNIX Command Line

Use the new option `polyspace-code-prover -generate-launching-script-for <PSPRJ FILE>` to generate the files to run the analysis from the command line. These files are generated:

- `source_command.txt` — List of source files in the project
- `options_command.txt` — List of analysis option settings
- `launchingCommand.sh` or `launchingCommand.bat` — Script that runs the verification using `options_command.txt`, `source_command.txt`. The script can also take additional analysis options as parameters.

For more information, see [Create Command-Line Script from Project File](#).

MATLAB Command Prompt

At the MATLAB command prompt, you can now give a `.psprj` file as an argument to `polyspaceCodeProver`.

The syntax `polyspaceCodeProver(PSPRJ file, 'nodesktop')` runs a verification on the project. If you have multiple modules or configurations, the active module and active configuration are verified.

Polyspace API in MATLAB: Configure and run Polyspace using MATLAB objects

In R2016b, Polyspace scripting from MATLAB is easier and more MATLAB-friendly. R2016b introduces a set of classes, methods, and function improvements to help you run Polyspace from MATLAB. For more information and examples, see the linked reference pages.

Classes

Name	Description
<code>polyspace.CodeProverOptions</code>	An options object with properties that map to the Polyspace environment configuration options. Use this object to customize analysis options and run analysis.
<code>polyspace.ModelLinkCodeProverOptions</code>	Another version of the <code>CodeProverOptions</code> object with properties specifically for model generated code. Use this object to customize analysis options and run analysis.
<code>polyspace.GenericTargetOptions</code>	A helper object for the <code>CodeProverOptions</code> classes. Use this object to customize a generic target.
<code>polyspace.CodingRulesOptions</code>	A helper object for the <code>CodeProverOptions</code> object. Use this object to customize the list of coding rules checked during the analysis.

Methods

Name	Description
<code>polyspace.Options.copyTo</code>	Copy settings between options objects. You can use this method to copy options from a <code>CodeProverOptions</code> object to a <code>BugFinderOptions</code> object and vice versa.
<code>polyspace.Options.generateProject</code>	Generate a <code>.psprj</code> file from an options object to open in the Polyspace interface.

Functions

Name	Description
<code>polyspaceCodeProver</code>	Run an analysis using <code>CodeProverOptions</code> objects or <code>.psprj</code> files.

Configuration Parameters Help: View descriptions of Polyspace options in Simulink configuration parameters

When you use the Simulink plugin, you must set Simulink configuration parameters to run your analysis. If you need help setting the configuration parameters, you can now right-click a configuration parameter and get **What's This** help. When you select **What's This**, a help window opens with details about the different settings and limitations of the parameter.

For more information about the configuration parameters, see [Configure Code Verification](#).

Eclipse Build Support: Set up Polyspace verification from Eclipse build command

In R2016b, if you use a build command to build your source code in Eclipse or an IDE based on Eclipse, you can easily set up your Polyspace verification. To obtain the compiler options required for the verification, trace the build command inside the IDE. For more information, see [Configure Verification](#).

Visual Studio 2010 add-in support to be removed from installation

In a future release, the Polyspace add-in for Visual Studio 2010 will not be included with the installation.

To run Polyspace on code from Visual Studio, use the automatic configuration tool instead. See [Create Project Using Visual Studio Information](#).

If you still want to use the add-in, you will be able to download the add-in from [MATLAB Answers](#).

Support for Rhapsody 8.1

Starting in R2016b, the Polyspace plugin for IBM Rational® Rhapsody® supports Rhapsody 8.1. For more information, see [Verify Code in IBM Rational Rhapsody Environment](#).

DOS Mode Warning on Linux: Compilation warning for DOS inconsistencies

When using Polyspace on Linux, a new compilation warning may appear. On Windows, DOS is case-insensitive meaning you cannot have two files with the same name but different capitalization. If you select the option Code from DOS or Windows file system (-dos)Code from DOS or Windows file system (-dos), Polyspace simulates this DOS behavior on Linux. If your source files include header files with inconsistent capitalization and it is unclear which file should be included, Polyspace issues a compilation warning.

For example, consider these two situations:

	Include Statements	Include Files
Situation 1	#include "myheader.h" #include "MYHEADER.h" #include "MyHeader.h"	myheader.h
Situation 2	#include "myheader.h" #include "MYHEADER.h" #include "MyHeader.h"	myheader.h MYHEADER.h

In the first situation, only one file exists with the name `myheader.h`. Because these include statements can only refer to one file, it is obvious which file to include. A warning is not issued.

In the second situation, two files exist: `myheader.h` and `MyHeader.h`. Because they have the same name and different capitalization, the capitalization in the include statement affects which file is included. Polyspace can find perfect matches for the first and second include statements. The last include statement is not a perfect match, so could refer to either header file. Because there is ambiguity with the last include statement, Polyspace issues this compilation warning: `warning: could not find include file "MyHeader.h"`.

In a future release, this compilation warning will become a compilation error.

Faster Restart for Remote Verification: Reuse compilation results from a previous analysis

In R2016b, if a remote verification stops after compilation, for instance because of communication problems between the server and client computers, you do not have to restart the verification from the beginning. You can reuse compilation results from the previous failed analysis.

For more information, see `-submit-job-from-previous-compilation-results`.

Internal Memory Limits Removed: Expect fewer analysis failures from memory-intensive processes

In R2016b, several internal limits on memory usage have been removed. Previously, if certain processes consumed memory above a certain limit (around 5 GB per process), those processes were stopped and the overall analysis failed. Now you are less likely to see failures from memory-intensive processes.

If you were unable to complete analysis on large or complex projects because of failures from memory-intensive processes, you are more likely to succeed in R2016b.

Support for local threads

Starting in R2016b, Polyspace adds support for these local thread modifiers:

- `__thread` — requires Compiler (-compiler) `gnu4.8`
- `__declspec(thread)` — requires **Compiler** (-compiler) `visual`
- `thread_local` — only for C++ code.

This support may eliminate compilation errors or change variable from shared to non-shared.

Changes in Target & Compiler analysis options

In R2016b, these **Target & Compiler** options have been added, changed, or removed.

Option	Change	More Information
Compiler (-compiler)	New option	
Dialect (-dialect)	Removed from the user interface. If you use the option in your scripts, you see a warning.	Option will be permanently removed in a future release. Replace <code>-dialect</code> with <code>-compiler</code> while retaining the option argument. In the user interface, this replacement is done automatically for existing projects. If you use the Wind River Diab compiler to build your source code, use the option Compiler (-compiler) with argument <code>diab</code> .
Target processor type (-target)	Updated for the Wind River Diab compiler.	In the user interface, if you select <code>diab</code> for Compiler (-compiler), you see target processors that are tailored to the Diab compiler. For the processor specifications, see the contextual help.

Option	Change	More Information
Target operating system (-OS-target)	<p>Removed from the user interface.</p> <p>If you use the option in your scripts, you see a warning.</p>	<p>Option will be permanently removed in a future release.</p> <p>Remove the option from your scripts. For some option arguments, you might have to perform these additional steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linux: If you get compilation errors, use a <code>gnux.x</code> argument for Compiler (-compiler). <p>Sometimes, you might have to explicitly define operating-system-specific macros such as <code>linux</code>, <code>unix</code>, or <code>__linux__</code>. See Preprocessor definitions (-D).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual: Use a <code>visualx.x</code> argument for Compiler (-compiler). • Vxworks: Use the options from the VxWorks templates. <p>Create a Polyspace project using one of the VxWorks templates and generate a script from your project. Copy the options related to the VxWorks template from this script. For more information, see Create Project Using Configuration Template and the reference page for <code>-generate-launching-scripts-for</code>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solaris: Just remove the option <code>-OS-target</code>. • no-predefined-OS: Just remove the option <code>-OS-target</code>.

Changes in analysis options and binaries

In R2016b, these options have been added, changed, or removed.

For changes to **Target & Compiler** options, see “Changes in Target & Compiler analysis options” on page 13-6. For other options, see the following table.

New Options

Option	Description
Cyclic tasks (-cyclic-tasks)	Specify functions that represent cyclic tasks.
Interrupts (-interrupts)	Specify functions that represent nonpreemptable interrupts.
Consider environment pointers as unsafe (-stubbed-pointers-are-unsafe)	Specify that stubbed pointers coming from external code can be unsafe to dereference, unless otherwise constrained.
Consider volatile qualifier on fields (-consider-volatile-qualifier-on-fields)	Consider that structures with <code>volatile</code> -qualified fields can change between consecutive accesses.
Subnormal detection mode (-check-subnormal)	Detect operations that result in subnormal floating point values.
Generate stubs for Embedded Coder lookup tables (-stub-embedded-coder-lookup-table-functions)	Stub autogenerated functions that use lookup tables and model them more precisely.
-preemptable-interrupts	Specify functions that represent preemptable interrupts.
-non-preemptable-tasks	Specify functions that represent nonpreemptable tasks.
-function-behavior-specifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Map your library functions to standard library functions recognized by Polyspace. Specify functions that contain lookup tables with linear interpolation and no extrapolation.
-submit-job-from-previous-compilation-results	Specify that the analysis job must be resubmitted without recompilation.

Updated Options

Option	Change	More Information
Detect stack pointer dereference outside scope (-detect-pointer-escape)	Option now available in user interface.	
Coding rule subsets <code>single-unit-rules</code> and <code>system-decidable-rules</code>	Subsets now available in the Polyspace interface.	These subsets are available for Check MISRA C:2004 (-misra2), Check MISRA AC AGC (-misra-ac-agc), and Check MISRA C:2012 (-misra3)
-check-infinite and -check-nan	Option argument renamed.	Use <code>warn-first</code> as option argument instead of <code>warn</code> .

Removed Options

Option	Status	More Information
Green absolute address checks (-green-absolute-address-checks)	Error	Absolute address usage checks are green by default. To remove this assumption and produce an orange check, use the option <code>-no-assumption-on-absolute-addresses</code> .
Files and folders to ignore (-includes-to-ignore)	Error	Use the option <code>Do not generate results for</code> (-do-not-generate-results-for) to suppress results from headers and sources in certain files or folders.
Ignore float rounding (-ignore-float-rounding)	Error	Option will be removed in a future release.
<code>-retype-pointer</code>	Error	Option will be removed in a future release.
<code>-retype-int-pointer</code>	Error	Option will be removed in a future release.
<code>-lwtm</code>	Error	Option will be removed in a future release.
<code>-support-FX-option-results</code>	Error	Option will be removed in a future release.
<code>polyspace-vcproj</code>	Removed	Use <code>polyspace-configure</code> or the Polyspace Add-In for Visual Studio instead.
<code>polyspace-automatic-verification</code>	Warning	Binary will be removed in a future release.
<code>polyspace-verifier</code>	Warning	Binary will be removed in a future release.
<code>rte-kernel</code>	Warning	Binary will be removed in a future release.
<code>polyspace-remote</code>	Warning	Binary will be removed in a future release.
Import folder (-import-dir)	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
No automatic stubbing (-no-automatic-stubbing)	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
<code>-easy-setup-preprocess</code>	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
<code>gui-api</code>	Warning	Binary will be removed in a future release. Use <code>polyspace-comments-import</code> instead.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use scripts that contain the removed or updated options, change your scripts accordingly.

Verification Results

Subnormal Float Detection: Identify loss of precision from operations that lead to subnormal results

In R2016b, the verification detects operations that result in subnormal floating-point values. The presence of subnormal numbers indicates loss of significant digits. This loss can accumulate over subsequent operations and eventually result in unexpected values. Subnormal numbers can also slow down the execution on targets without hardware support. If you run a Polyspace verification, you can choose to see one of the following:

- All operations that lead to subnormal results.
- Only those operations that lead to subnormal results from normal operands.

For instance, the numbers `MIN_FLOAT` and `nextabove(MIN_FLOAT)` are normal, but their difference is subnormal.

For more information, see:

- Subnormal detection mode (`-check-subnormal`): The option to specify subnormal detection.
- Subnormal float: The result of subnormal detection.

Local Variable Size Estimation: Find total size of local variables in a function

In R2016b, you can compute the total size of local variables in a function by using these two metrics:

- Lower Estimate of Local Variable Size: Total size of local variables taking nested scopes into account.

If a function has variable definitions in nested scopes, the software computes the total variable size in each scope and uses whichever total is greatest. For instance, if a conditional statement has variable definitions, the software computes the total variable size in each branch, and then uses whichever total is greatest.

- Higher Estimate of Local Variable Size: Total size of all local variables.

Changes to coding rule checking

Expanded MISRA C++ Support

The following MISRA C++:2008 rules are now supported.

- 0-1-9: There shall be no dead code.
- 0-1-11: There shall be no unused parameters (named or unnamed) in nonvirtual functions.
- 0-1-12: There shall be no unused parameters (named or unnamed) in the set of parameters for a virtual function and all the functions that override it.
- 0-2-1: An object shall not be assigned to an overlapping object.
- 16-6-1: All uses of the `#pragma` directive shall be documented.

Updated Specifications

The Polyspace specifications for these rules have been updated.

Standard	Rule	Change
MISRA C++:2008	2-10-3	The violation is on the second instance of the duplicate identifier instead of the first.
	2-10-4	The violation is on the second instance of the duplicate identifier instead of the first.
	5-0-3	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	5-0-6	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	5-0-8	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
MISRA C:2004 and MISRA AC AGC	5.3	The violation is on the second instance of the duplicate identifier instead of the first.
	5.4	The violation is on the second instance of the duplicate identifier instead of the first.
	10.1	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	10.2	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	10.3	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	10.4	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
MISRA C:2012	5.3	The violation is on the second instance of the duplicate identifier instead of the first.
	5.4	The violation is on the second instance of the duplicate identifier instead of the first.
	10.3	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	10.6	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	10.7	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.
	10.8	If two types have the same size in the target configuration, Polyspace does not raise a violation.

Metrics for C++ Templates: View code complexity metrics for instances of C++ templates

In R2016b, you can compute code complexity metrics for C++ templates. If you instantiate a C++ template function and specify the option Calculate code metrics (-code-metrics), you now see function metrics for the template in your analysis results.

The metrics appear on the template definition. The software uses the first instance of the template to calculate the metrics. If you specialize a template, you see separate metrics for the original template and its specialization.

For more information, see Code Metrics.

Mutual Exclusion Support: View precise ranges for shared variables protected by critical sections and temporally exclusive tasks

In R2016b, when you check multitasking code for run-time errors, the error checking uses the protections that you specify. Previously, the software only determined if the protections were sufficient to prevent concurrent access of shared variables. The run-time error checking did not use those protections and considered all shared variables as unprotected. The improved support for protections in R2016b reduces the number of orange checks in multitasking code.

The following example illustrates the change. For a more detailed tutorial, see [Manually Protect Shared Variables from Concurrent Access](#).

Prior to R2016b	R2016b
<p>In the following code, if you specify that <code>task</code> and <code>interrupt_handler</code> are temporally exclusive, the shared variable <code>shared_var</code> is protected from concurrent access. However, the Overflow check on the addition <code>shared_var += 2</code>; does not consider this protection. The check is orange because the verification considers that the addition can directly follow the assignment <code>shared_var = INT_MAX</code>;</p> <pre> #include <limits.h> int shared_var; void inc() { /* Orange overflow */ shared_var += 2; } void reset() { shared_var = 0; } void task() { volatile int randomValue = 0; while(randomValue) { reset(); inc(); inc(); } } void interrupt() { shared_var = INT_MAX; } void interrupt_handler() { volatile int randomValue = 0; while(randomValue) { interrupt(); } } void main() { </pre>	<p>In the following code, the Overflow check on the addition considers the temporal exclusion of <code>task</code> and <code>interrupt_handler</code>. The check is green because the verification considers that the addition cannot directly follow the assignment <code>shared_var = INT_MAX</code>; . The assignment <code>shared_var=0</code> takes place in between the two operations.</p> <pre> #include <limits.h> int shared_var; void inc() { /* Green overflow */ shared_var+=2; } void reset() { shared_var = 0; } void task() { volatile int randomValue = 0; while(randomValue) { reset(); inc(); inc(); } } void interrupt() { shared_var = INT_MAX; } void interrupt_handler() { volatile int randomValue = 0; while(randomValue) { interrupt(); } } void main() { </pre>

If the shared variable is a pointer or an array, this change in behavior does not occur. Run-time error checking on shared pointers and arrays does not consider the protections.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use protections such as critical sections and temporal exclusion of tasks, you can see a reduction from previous releases in the number of orange checks.

Improved Embedded Coder Support: View more precise results when generated code uses lookup tables or large data structures

Lookup Tables

In R2016b, the verification assumes more precise return values for generated functions that use a lookup table in their body. If your model has Lookup Table blocks, such functions are generated. Previously, the software assumed full range for the return values of those functions. To avoid orange checks from this overapproximation, for certain kinds of lookup tables, the software now assumes that the function return values are within the bounds of the lookup table. The software makes this assumption only if the lookup table in the function uses linear interpolation and does not allow extrapolation. For more information, see `Generate stubs for Embedded Coder lookup tables (-stub-embedded-coder-lookup-table-functions)`.

If the software does not detect functions that use lookup tables of this kind, you can also explicitly specify such functions. For instance, if you use a lookup table function in an S-Function block, the function name might not adhere to the naming convention for lookup table functions. If the software assumes full range for its return value, you can explicitly specify that the function uses a lookup table with linear interpolation and no extrapolation. For more information, see `-function-behavior-specifications`.

Large Data Structures Accessed Via Pointers

In R2016b, when your code has a large global data structure and a function accesses its fields via pointers, the verification is more precise than before. Previously, if a function modified one field via pointers, in some cases, the verification lost precision on other fields and assumed full range for their values.

Code generated from models can have large structures because all inputs to or outputs from the model are placed in one structure. With this improved precision, you can see more precise results for generated code in many cases.

Compatibility Considerations

If you run verification on generated code, you can see a reduction from previous releases in the number of orange checks.

Precise Buffer Manipulation Functions: View more precise results on complete copying of structures

In R2016b, if your code uses the `memcpy`, `memmove`, or `bcopy` function to copy structures, you can see fewer orange checks. Previously, if you copied one structure to another with these functions, the software assumed that each field of the destination structure had full range of values. The software now considers precise values for the result of the copy.

Assumption for Stubbed Pointers: Review fewer warnings from pointers coming from external code

In R2016b, the default verification for C code assumes that stubbed pointers coming from external code are safe to dereference. For instance, the pointer does not have a NULL value and pointer dereference is within allowed bounds.

Previously, the default verification assumed that stubbed pointers were unsafe to dereference. For instance, if you dereferenced the pointer return value of a stubbed function without checking for NULL, the **Illegally dereferenced pointer** check showed a warning in orange. With the change in default assumption, orange **Illegally dereferenced pointer** checks in your verification results are more likely to have a root cause within your code.

Compatibility Considerations

If you run verification on a Polyspace project from a previous release, you can see a reduction in the number of orange checks.

You can also see an increase in the number of gray checks. If your code contains protections against NULL values of environment pointers, for instance conditions such as `if(ext_ptr!=NULL)`, a gray check appears on these protections.

To revert to the previous default assumption, use the option Consider environment pointers as unsafe (-stubbed-pointers-are-unsafe). Alternately, you can individually change the default assumption on certain pointers only in the Constraint Specification window. See the description for **Initialize Pointer** in Constraints.

Assumption for Structures with Volatile Fields: Review fewer warnings from partly volatile structures

In R2016b, the default verification ignores the `volatile` qualifier on fields of a structure. Previously, if a structure had a `volatile`-qualified field, the verification considered all fields of the structure as volatile. As a result, the verification assumed that their values always spanned the full range of their data types. This overapproximation sometimes caused false warnings in orange from the non-volatile fields.

If you use a structure whose fields represent values read from hardware, add the `volatile` qualifier to the structure definition instead of individual field definitions.

Compatibility Considerations

If you run verification on a Polyspace project from a previous release, you can see a reduction in the number of orange checks. Occasionally, you can also see changes in red or gray checks. For instance, if the field `structInstance.field` is volatile, branches of the condition `if(structInstance.field)` were previously always reachable. With the new assumption, depending on the value of `structInstance.field`, some of the branches can be unreachable.

To revert to the previous default assumption, use the option Consider volatile qualifier on fields (-consider-volatile-qualifier-on-fields).

Expected Infinite Loop Detection: Avoid justifying run-time errors on infinite loops that you introduce deliberately

In R2016b, the verification detects if an infinite loop is intentional. For these infinite loops, the verification does not produce a red **Non-terminating loop** error on the loop statement. If you deliberately introduce infinite loops, for instance, to emulate cyclic tasks, you do not have to justify red checks. For example, if a loop has a trivial predicate `while(1)` and there are no exit statements in the loop body, the verification considers the loop as intentional.

For more information, see Non-terminating loop.

Compatibility Considerations

If you run verification on a pre-R2016b project, you see a reduction from previous releases in the number of red **Non-terminating loop** checks. However, as in previous releases, any code following the infinite loop shows gray checks. Though the infinite loop is expected, the verification considers code following the infinite loop as unreachable.

Mapping to Standard Functions: View precise results by mapping imprecisely analyzed functions to corresponding standard functions

In R2016b, if you encounter imprecisions in analysis of your custom library function, you can map the function to a standard library function for more precise analysis.

Polyspace Code Prover cannot analyze certain code constructs because of inherent limitations with static verification. If your custom library function uses one of those constructs, to avoid missing a run-time error, the verification assumes all possible results from the function call. To avoid orange checks from this overapproximation, you can map your custom library function to a standard library function. Although the software does not analyze the body of your library function, in the various call sites, the software emulates your function behavior more precisely. For instance, the software assumes a more precise range for the function return value. The reason for this precise analysis is that the software models effects of standard library functions extremely precisely.

For instance:

- If you have an implementation of a trigonometric function and the software assumes full range for the return value, map your implementation to the corresponding standard library trigonometric function.
- If you have a function that copies contents of one memory location to another and the software assumes that the destination location is still uninitialized, map your function to the `memcpy` function.

You can map to only certain standard library functions from `math.h` and copying functions such as `memcpy`. Additionally, you can map your functions to some internal Polyspace functions for more precise analysis. For more information, see `-function-behavior-specifications`.

Reviewing Results

Interactive Graphical Display: Click graphs on Dashboard to filter results

In R2016b, you can narrow down the scope of your review by using a graphical display of analysis results. Previously you used the graphs to obtain an overview of the analysis results and determine which results to focus on. Now you can also select elements in the graphs to view only those results that you want to focus on. To see all results again, clear your filters in one click.

To filter results, use the following graphs:

- **Check distribution:** If you click a colored region on this pie chart, the **Results List** pane shows checks of that color only.
- **Top 5 coding rule violations:** If you click a column corresponding to a rule, the **Results List** pane shows violations of that rule only.
- **Top 5 orange sources:** If you click a column corresponding to an orange source, the **Results List** pane shows orange checks caused by that source only.

For more information, see Filter and Group Results.

Float Range Display: View float variables with narrow ranges more clearly

In R2016b, the tooltips on float variables show an improved display of the variable ranges. For instance:

- If the lower and upper bounds of a float variable are close, the tooltip displays as many digits as required to distinguish between them.
- The tooltips clearly indicate which values are shown with rounding. For instance, the value `1.0` does not involve rounding but `1.2345...` shows a variable that is displayed with rounding towards zero.

When rounded, at least 5 significant digits are displayed.

For more information, see Source.

Event History for Coding Rules: Navigate easily between two locations in code that together cause a rule violation

In R2016b, for certain coding rules, the **Result Details** pane shows previous events causing the rule violation. You can click an event and navigate to the corresponding location in the source code.

▼ MISRA C:2012 5.1 (Required) ? External identifiers shall be distinct. External variable <code>engine_temperature_scaled</code> conflicts with the external identifier <code>engine_temperature_raw</code> (file.c line 1).				
	Event	File	Scope	Line
1	Violation site	file.c	file.c	1
2	▼ MISRA C:2012 5.1	file.c	File Scope	2

This event history is shown for those rules which are related to more than one location in the code. For instance, the event history appears for the following rules:

- MISRA C:2004 Rule 5.2: Identifiers in an inner scope shall not use the same name as an identifier in an outer scope, and therefore hide that identifier.
- MISRA C:2012 Rule 5.1: External identifiers shall be distinct.
- MISRA C++ Rule 2-10-1: Different identifiers shall be typographically unambiguous.
- JSF® C++ Rule 139: External objects will not be declared in more than one file.

Subcheck Display for Standard Library Routines: Determine easily from visual inspection which subcheck failed

The **Invalid use of standard library routine** check consists of multiple subchecks. In R2016b, you can determine visually from the **Result Details** pane which subchecks failed.

- If a subcheck passes, it is marked with a ✓.
- If a subcheck fails in all the cases that the verification considers, it is marked with a !.
- If a subcheck fails only in some of the cases, it is marked with a ?.

For instance, in the following example, the first subcheck passed but the second one failed.

! ID 22: Invalid use of standard library routine ?

Error: function 'memcpy' is called with invalid argument(s)

- Checks on first argument (destination):
 - ✓ Not null.
 - ! Is not a memory area that is accessible within the boundary given by the third argument.

In the following example, the subchecks on the first argument passed but the first subcheck on the second argument failed sometimes.

? ID 31: Invalid use of standard library routine ?

Warning: function 'memcpy' is called with possibly invalid argument(s)

- Checks on first argument (destination):
 - ✓ Not null.
 - ✓ Is a memory area that is accessible within the boundary given by the third argument.
- Checks on second argument (source):
 - ? May be null.
 - ✓ Is a memory area that is accessible within the boundary given by the third argument.

This check may be a path-related issue, which is not dependent on input values

Results from Macros: Coding rule violations highlighted on macro definitions instead of macro instances

When you run coding rules checking, violations from macro definitions can propagate throughout your code causing many results. In R2016b, coding rule violations caused by a macro are now highlighted on the macro definition. This change reduces the number of coding rule violations with the same root cause, simplifying your review process.

Verification Objectives in Eclipse: Create review scopes to focus your review

From the Eclipse plugin, you can now create custom review scopes. Review scopes filter your results to only the run-time checks, coding rules, or code metrics that you want to see. For more information, see Limit Display of Results.

Filtered Report: Reuse result filters for generated report

In R2016b, if you apply filters to your results, you can reuse those filters for the generated report. For instance, you can use filters to view only the following subset of results on the **Results List** pane and then reuse those filters for the report.

- View only critical checks (red, gray, and critical orange) and create a report with those checks only.
- View only new results found since the last analysis and create a report with the new results only.
- View only code metrics that exceed specified thresholds and create a report with those metrics only.

On the **Results List** pane, you can apply complicated filtering criteria to show only the results that are most meaningful to you. You can reuse these criteria for your generated report and show only the results that you want the report reviewer to focus on. For more information on the filters you can use, see Filter and Group Results.

The report shows which filters you have applied. Another person reviewing your report can see your filtering criteria.

Results Export: Export results to text file for computing graphs and statistics


In R2016b, you can export your results to a tab delimited text file. You can parse the text file by using MATLAB or Excel and generate graphs or statistics about your results that you cannot readily obtain from the user interface. For instance, for each check type (**Division by zero**, **Overflow**), you can calculate how many checks are red, orange, or green.

For more information, see Export Results to Text File.


Coding Rule Graphs in Report: View breakdown of coding rules violations by rule number and file

In R2016b, if you choose to report coding rule violations, the report contains two new graphs.

- The first graph shows the number of coding rule violations broken down by file.



- The second graph shows the number of violations broken down by rule number.



Constraints in Report: Add comments about external constraints and view comments in report

In R2016b, when you specify external constraints for verification and add comments in the Constraint Specification window, the comments appear in the generated report. Another person reviewing your report can see your comments. You can use the comments to provide explanations for your constraints.

The constraints, along with your comments, appear in the report appendix that lists your verification options.

For more information, see:

- Constraints
- Generate Report

English Reports in Non-English Locales: Generate English reports on operating systems with a different language

In R2016b, even if your operating system has a display language (Windows) or locale (Linux) such as Japanese or Korean, you can still generate English reports. See Generate Report After Verification.

Improved PDF report generation

In R2016b, the generation of PDF reports is improved.

- The report generation is faster. For large results, the report generation is much less likely to cause out-of-memory errors.
- The reports use an improved visual display.


Change in report template location

The location of the report template files has changed to `matlabroot/toolbox/polyspace/psrptgen/templates`. Here, `matlabroot` is the MATLAB installation folder.

If you use the report templates provided by Polyspace, the change does not impact you. If you use MATLAB Report Generator™ to modify the Polyspace report templates, you can open the templates from this new location.

Changes in Polyspace User Interface

The following table lists minor changes to the user interface including new pane names and new icons.

- **Results List** — Window showing list of results, previously called **Results Summary**.
-  — Button to remove items in the configuration or projects.
- The icons on the **Results List** pane have been rearranged.

In R2016a, the icons were arranged as follows.



In R2016b, the same icons are arranged as follows.



R2016a

Version: 9.5

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Files to Review: Generate results for only specified files and folders

In R2016a, you have greater control over the files on which you want analysis results. The default project configuration displays coding rule violations and code metrics on the set of files that are likely to be most relevant to you. You can add files or folders to this set based on your requirements.

For instance, by default, coding rule violations and code metrics are generated on header files that are located in the same folder as the source files. Often, other header files belong to a third-party library. Though these header files are required for a precise analysis, you are not interested in reviewing findings in those headers. Therefore, by default, results are not generated for those headers. If you are interested in certain headers from third-party libraries, you can add those headers to the subset on which results are generated.

For more information, see:

- Generate results for sources and (`-generate-results-for`)
- Do not generate results for (`-do-not-generate-results-for`)

Compatibility Considerations

In R2016a, by default, coding rule violations and code metrics are not generated for headers unless they are in the same location as source files. Previously, if you ran verification at the command line, by default, results were generated for all headers.

Due to the change in default behavior, if you rerun verification on a pre-R2016a project without changing the options, you can lose review comments on findings in some header files. To avoid losing the comments, set the option Generate results for sources and (`-generate-results-for`) to `all-headers`.

Faster MISRA Rule Checking: Check coding rules more quickly and efficiently

In R2016a, you can use two predefined subsets to perform a quicker and more efficient check for coding rule violations. The new subsets turn on rules that have the same scope.

- `single-unit-rules` — Check rules that apply only to single translation units.
- `system-decidable-rules` — Check rules in the `single-unit-rules` subset and some rules that apply to the collective set of program files. The additional rules can be checked only at the integration level because the rules involve more than one translation unit.

Polyspace finds these subsets of rules in the early phases of the analysis. If your project is large, before checking all rules, you can check these subsets of rules for a preliminary analysis.

For more information, see Coding Rule Subsets Checked Early in Analysis.

S-Function Analysis: Launch analysis of S-Function code from Simulink

With the Polyspace plug-in for Simulink, you can now start a Polyspace verification on S-Functions directly from an S-Function block.

To analyze an S-Function, right-click the S-Function block and select **Polyspace > Verify S-Function**. If the S-Function occurs in your model multiple times, you can choose to analyze all instances of the S-Function by verifying all signal range inputs, or just a single instance of the S-Function by verifying the specific signal ranges for that block.

Polyspace Metrics Tomcat Upgrade: Use upgraded default Tomcat server or custom Tomcat version

Polyspace Metrics now uses Tomcat 8.0.22 to run the Polyspace Metrics web interface.

If you want to use your own version of Tomcat, you can now specify a custom Tomcat server in the daemon configuration file. To add your custom tomcat web server, add the following line to the daemon configuration file.

```
tomcat_install_dir = <path/to/tomcat>
```

The daemon configuration file is located in:

- Windows — \%APPDATA%\Polyspace_RLDatas\polyspace.conf
- Linux — /etc/Polyspace/polyspace.conf

Project Language Flexibility: Change your project language at any time

Projects in the Polyspace interface are no longer fixed to C or to C++. When you create a project, you can add any file to the project. After you add files, select the language for your analysis using the Source code language (- lang) option. If you add or change the files in your project, you can change the language to reflect the most suitable analysis type.

Many options that were C only or C++ only are now available for both languages. To see which analysis options have changed, see “Changes in analysis options” on page 14-7.

External Constraint on Pointers: Specify certain initialization with full range for pointer arguments and return values of stubbed functions

In R2016a, if a stubbed function in your code has a pointer argument or a return value, you can specify certain constraints on the pointer outside your code. Using the constraints, you can reduce the number of Non-initialized local variable checks. A function is stubbed if you do not provide the function definition or if you specify the function name for the option Functions to stub (- functions-to-stub). For instance, if you declare a function `func` and do not provide the function definition, `func` is stubbed.

```
int* func (int* ptr);
```

You can specify the new external constraints for the pointer argument and the pointer return value of `func`.

You can specify one of the following:

- The pointer points to a non-array variable and the variable is initialized.

The **Init Allocated** column in the constraint specification file supports a new entry `SINGLE_CERTAIN_WRITE` that allows you to specify this constraint.

- The pointer points to an array and all elements of the array are initialized.

The **Init Allocated** column in the constraint specification file supports a new entry `MULTI_CERTAIN_WRITE` that allows you to specify this constraint.

The following table illustrates the change.

Prior to R2016a	R2016a
<p>Without constraints, Polyspace assumes that <code>x</code> in <code>bar</code> and <code>bar_array</code> are potentially noninitialized when you read them. You cannot specify that the functions <code>foo</code> and <code>foo_array</code> initialize <code>x</code> with full-range values.</p> <pre>#define SIZE 5 void foo(int *ptr); int bar (void) { int x; foo(&x); return x; } void foo_array(int *ptr); void display(int val); void bar_array(void) { int x[SIZE],sum=0; foo_array(x); for(int i=0; i<SIZE; i++) display(x[i]); }</pre>	<p>If you specify the following constraints in the Init Allocated column, Polyspace considers that <code>x</code> in <code>bar</code> and <code>bar_array</code> are initialized.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <code>foo</code>: Specify <code>SINGLE_CERTAIN_WRITE</code> for the argument of <code>foo</code>. In other words, <code>foo</code> writes a value to <code>*ptr</code>. • <code>foo_array</code>: Specify <code>MULTI_CERTAIN_WRITE</code> for the argument of <code>foo_array</code>. In other words, <code>ptr</code> points to an array and <code>foo_array</code> writes a value to the array elements. <pre>#define SIZE 5 void foo(int *ptr); int bar (void) { int x; foo(&x); return x; } void foo_array(int *ptr); void display(int val); void bar_array(void) { int x[SIZE],sum=0; foo_array(x); for(int i=0; i<SIZE; i++) display(x[i]); }</pre>

For more information, see [Constraints](#).

If your project uses a constraint specification file from a previous release, you do not see any change in the verification results. If you generate a constraint specification file, by default, pointer arguments of stubbed functions are constrained to point to an array that is initialized. Applying the default constraint specification file can reduce the number of orange **Non-initialized local variable** checks.

Source Code Search: Search large applications more quickly

In R2016a, search results are produced more quickly. If you search for a string in a large application, it takes less time for search results to appear.

You can search for a string either by entering the search string in the box on the **Search** pane, or by right-clicking a word in your code on the **Source** pane, and then selecting a search option.

Polyspace TargetLink plug-in supports data from structures

The Polyspace plug-in for TargetLink® can now import data from structures in the constraint specifications (formerly called DRS) for your analysis.

Polyspace Eclipse plug-in results location moved

When you analyze projects using the Polyspace plug-in for Eclipse, your results used to be stored inside your Eclipse project under *eclipse project folder\polyspace*. For new Eclipse projects, Polyspace now stores results in the Polyspace Workspace under *Polyspace_Workspace\EclipseProjects\Eclipse Project Name*, where *Polyspace_Workspace* is the default project location specified in your Polyspace Interface preferences. For more information, see Results Location.

Improvements in automatic project creation from build command

In R2016a, automatic project creation from build command is improved.

- If you trace your build command and create a Polyspace project from the command line, you do not have to specify a product name or project language. You can open the project in Polyspace Bug Finder or Polyspace Code Prover. The project language is determined by using the following rules:
 - If all your files are compiled as C, as C++03, or C++11, the corresponding language is assigned to the project.

Language	Options Set in Project
C	Source code language: C
C++03	Source code language: CPP
C++11	Source code language: CPP C++11 Extensions: On

- If some files are compiled as C and the remaining files as C++03 or C++11, the **Source code language** option is set to cpp.

The option **C++11 Extensions** is also enabled.

For more information, see Source code language (`-lang`) and C++11 Extensions (`-cpp11-extensions`).

Previously, you specified the product name by using options `-bug-finder` or `-code-prover`. If you did not specify a project language and your source code consisted of both `.c` and `.cpp` files, the language `cpp` was assigned to the project. The options `-bug-finder` and `-code-prover` have been removed.

For more information, see Create Project Automatically.

- If header files in your project contain constructs that are not supported in Polyspace Code Prover, a compilation error occurs. In R2016a, when you trace your build, Polyspace detects such header files and does not add them to your project. Later, when you run verification on the project, you do not face compilation errors because of unsupported constructs in header files.
- The support for IAR compilers has improved. All variations of IAR compilers are now supported for automatic project creation from build command.

Improvements in checking of previously supported MISRA C rules

In R2016a, the following changes have been made in checking of previously supported MISRA C rules.

MISRA C:2004 Rules

Rule	Description	Improvement
MISRA C:2004 Rule 10.3	The value of a complex expression of integer type may only be cast to a type that is narrower and of the same signedness as the underlying type of the expression.	The rule checker no longer raises a violation of this rule if an expression with a Boolean result is cast to a type that is also effectively Boolean. For instance, in your code, you define a type <code>myBool</code> using a <code>typedef</code> and cast the result of <code>(a && b)</code> to <code>myBool</code> . If you specify to Polyspace that <code>myBool</code> is effectively Boolean, the rule checker does not consider this cast as a violation of rule 10.3. For more information on how to specify effectively Boolean types, see Effective boolean types (-boolean-types).
MISRA C:2004 Rule 12.2	The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits.	The rule checker no longer flags expressions with the comma operator that can be evaluated in only one order. For instance, the statement <code>ans = (val+, val++)</code> does not violate this rule.

MISRA C:2012 Rules

Rule	Description	Improvement
MISRA C:2012 Rule 13.2	The value of an expression and its persistent side effects shall be the same under all permitted evaluation orders.	The rule checker no longer flags expressions with the comma operator that can be evaluated in only one order. For instance, the statement <code>ans = (val+, val++)</code> does not violate this rule.

Variables with constraints not counted as orange sources

In R2016a, once you constrain certain variables outside your code, those variables do not appear as possible causes of orange checks on the **Orange Sources** pane.

This pane lists the variables that you can constrain outside your code to reduce orange checks.

- Previously, the pane listed variables even after you had constrained them, with the assumption that you might constrain them further.
- Starting in R2016a, Polyspace assumes that once you constrain variables to match real-world values, you will not constrain them further.

Therefore, variables already constrained are not shown on the **Orange Sources** pane.

For more information on constraining variables using the **Orange Sources** pane, see [Create Constraint Template After Analysis](#).

Changes in analysis options

In R2016a, the following options have been added, changed, or removed.

New Options

Option	Description
Generate results for sources and (-generate-results-for)	Specify files on which you want analysis results.
Do not generate results for (-do-not-generate-results-for)	Specify files on which you do not want analysis results.
Allow non finite floats (-allow-non-finite-floats)	Enable a verification mode that incorporates infinities and NaNs.
Float rounding mode (-float-rounding-mode)	Assume all rounding modes and extended precision when determining the results of floating point arithmetic.
-check-infinite	Specify how to handle floating point operations that result in infinity.
-check-nan	Specify how to handle floating point operations that result in NaN.
-no-assumption-on-absolute-addresses	Make Absolute address usage checks orange by default.

Updated Options

Option	Change	More Information
Source code language (-lang)	Added to Polyspace Interface	Select your project language to set compilation rules and enable language specific analysis options.
Dialect (-dialect)	Unified dialects for C and C++ projects. All projects can use any dialect option.	
Target processor type (-target)	Targets i386 and x86_64 now allow any alignment value.	
Sfr type support (-sfr-types)	Allowed for both C and C++	
Pack alignment value (-pack-alignment-value)	Allowed for both C and C++	
Import folder (-import-dir)	Allowed for both C and C++	
Ignore pragma pack directives (-ignore-pragma-pack)	Allowed for both C and C++	
Division round down (-div-round-down)	Allowed for both C and C++	

Removed Options

Option	Status	More Information
Green absolute address checks (-green-absolute-address-checks)	Warning	Absolute address usage checks are green by default. To remove this assumption and produce an orange check, use the option -no-assumption-on-absolute-addresses.
Files and folders to ignore (-includes-to-ignore)	Warning	Use the option Do not generate results for (-do-not-generate-results-for) to suppress results from headers and sources in certain files or folders.
Ignore float rounding (-ignore-float-rounding)	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
-retype-pointer	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
-retype-int-pointer	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
-lwtm	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
-support-FX-option-results	Warning	Option will be removed in a future release.
polyspace-vcproj	Error	Binary has been removed.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use scripts that contain the removed or updated options, change your scripts accordingly.

Verification Results

Floating-Point Support: Propagate ranges more precisely for long double variables and enable verification mode to incorporate infinities and NaNs

In R2016a, there are the following improvements on analysis of code involving floating-point variables.

Long Doubles

If your code contains computations involving `long double` variables, you can see fewer orange checks resulting from overapproximation. Previously, Polyspace assumed full-range value for `long double` variables, irrespective of the actual values assigned to them. This assumption led to orange checks that indicated potential numerical and other errors in computations involving `long double` variables.

Polyspace now propagates ranges more precisely for `long double` variables. For information on the number of bits that Polyspace uses for computations involving `long double` variables, see Target processor type (`-target`).

Nonfinites in floating-point arithmetic

Polyspace verification supports nonfinite results such as infinities and NaNs from computations involving floating-point variables. Using the option Allow non finite floats (`-allow-non-finite-floats`), you can enable a verification mode that incorporates infinities and NaNs.

In this mode, Polyspace assumes that:

- Floating-point operations can produce results such as infinities and NaNs.
Using options `-check-infinite` and `-check-nan`, you can choose to highlight operations that produce nonfinite results and stop the execution paths where the nonfinite results occur.
- Floating-point variables with unknown values, such as `volatile` variables and return values of stubbed functions, can be infinite or NaN.

The following table illustrates the change.

Prior to R2016a	R2016a
<p>In the following code, Polyspace produces a Division by zero error and stops verification.</p> <pre>double func(void) { double x=1.0/0.0; double y=1.0/x; double z=x-x; return z; }</pre>	<p>In the following code, if you specify the option Allow non finite floats, Polyspace does not check for a Division by zero error.</p> <pre>double func(void) { double x=1.0/0.0; double y=1.0/x; double z=x-x; return z; }</pre> <p>The verification assumes that dividing by zero results in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Value of x equal to Inf • Value of y equal to 0.0 • Value of z equal to NaN <p>In your verification results in the Polyspace user interface, if you place your cursor on y and z, you can see the nonfinite values Inf and NaN respectively in the tooltip.</p>

Rounding modes

Polyspace supports verification that considers all possible rounding modes when rounding the results of floating point arithmetic. Using the option Float rounding mode (`-float-rounding-mode`), you can enable a verification mode that allows these forms of rounding: round-to-nearest, round-towards-zero, round-towards-positive-infinity and round-towards-negative-infinity. The default rounding mode is round-to-nearest only.

Previously, the default verification assumed all rounding modes to determine the results of floating-point arithmetic. The verification used the round-to-nearest mode only to determine if an **Overflow** occurs.

The following table illustrates the change.

Prior to R2016a	R2016a
<p>In the following code, Polyspace produces a green Overflow check because the addition does not overflow if the result is rounded in to-nearest mode.</p> <pre>#include <float.h> void func(void) { double base = DBL_MAX; double acc = 1.247400193459199882 285232945648024103792 1570377722e+291; base = acc + base; }</pre>	<p>In the following code, if you specify <code>all</code> for the option Float rounding mode, Polyspace produces an orange Overflow check because the addition overflows if the result is rounded towards positive infinity.</p> <pre>#include <float.h> void func(void) { double base = DBL_MAX; double acc = 1.247400193459199882 285232945648024103792 1570377722e+291; base = acc + base; }</pre>

Absolute address usage valid by default

In R2016a, the Absolute address usage check is considered valid and therefore green by default. If you assign an absolute address to a pointer in your code, the verification assumes that:

- The address is valid.
- The type of the pointer to which you assign the address determines the initial value stored in the address.

If you assign the address to an `int*` pointer, the memory zone that the address points to is initialized with an `int` value. The value can be anything that is allowed for the data type `int`.

Previously, the **Absolute address usage** check was considered possibly invalid and therefore orange by default. You either justified the checks or turned them green by using the option **Green absolute address checks** (`-green-absolute-address-checks` on command line).

Compatibility Considerations

If the code in your project uses absolute addresses, you see a decrease in the number of orange checks from previous releases of the software. To turn the check orange by default for each absolute address usage, use the command-line option `-no-assumption-on-absolute-addresses`. To use a command-line option in the user interface, enter the option in the Other field.

Run-time checks renamed

In R2016a, the following checks have been renamed. The new names state the error in the code instead of what the check looks for.

Old Name	New Name
Absolute address	Absolute address usage
C++ specific checks	Invalid C++ specific operations
Exception handling	Uncaught exception

Old Name	New Name
Function Returns a Value	Function not returning value
Initialized Return Value	Return value not initialized
Non-null this-pointer in method	Null this-pointer calling method
Object Oriented Programming	Incorrect object oriented programming
Shift operations	Invalid shift operations

Reviewing Results

Autocompletion for Review Comments: Partially type previous comment to select complete comment

In R2016a, on the **Results Summary** or **Result Details** pane, if you start typing a review comment that you have previously entered, a drop-down list shows the previous entry. Select the previous comment from this list instead of retyping the comment.

If you want the autocompletion to be case sensitive, select **Tools > Preferences**. On the **Miscellaneous** tab, select **Autocomplete on Results Summary or Details is case sensitive**.

Default Layouts: Switch easily between project setup and results review in user interface

In R2016a, you have two default layouts of panes in the Polyspace user interface, one for project setup and another for results review.


When setting up your projects, select **Window > Reset Layout > Project Setup**. When reviewing results, select **Window > Reset Layout > Results Review**.

For more information, see [Organize Layout of Polyspace User Interface](#).


Persistent Filter States: Apply filters once and view filtered results across multiple runs

In R2016a, if you apply a set of filters to your verification results and rerun verification on the project module, your filters are also applied to the new results. You can specify your filters once and suppress results that are not relevant for you across multiple runs.

The **Results Summary** pane shows the number of results filtered from the display. If you place your cursor on this number, you can see the applied filters.



For instance, in the image, you can see that the following filters have been applied:

- The **Checks & Rules** filter to suppress code metrics and global variables.
- The  **New** filter to suppress results found in a previous verification.
- Filters on the **Information** and **Check** columns.

For more information, see [Filter and Group Results](#).

Updated Polyspace Metrics Interface: View summary of project and metrics

You can now view project-level metric summaries from the main Polyspace Metrics page using one of the following methods:

- On the **Projects** tab, roll your mouse over the list of projects to open a window displaying a summary of the project and project metrics.
- On the **Projects** or **Runs** tab, right-click the column headers to add new columns to the table. new columns you can add include Coding Rules, Bug-Finder Checks, Code Metrics, and Review Progress.

Improved Result Display for File-by-File Verification: View combined summary of results for all files in user interface

In R2016a, if you perform a file-by-file verification, you can see a summary of results for all files on the **Dashboard** pane. You can open the results for each file directly from this summary table. Previously, you obtained this synthesis in an external html file.

For more information, see [Run File-by-File Local Verification](#).

Simplified Variable Access: View task names instead of aliases

In R2016a, on the **Variable Access** pane, in the **Written by task** and **Read by task** columns, you see the task names. Previously, the columns contained aliases such as t1, t2, t3, . . . You viewed the task names using a legend for the aliases.

R2015b

Version: 9.4

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Option to Suppress Non-initialization Checks: Customize verification by suppressing non-initialization checks

In R2015b, you can use an analysis option to turn off the checks for non-initialization. If you turn on this option, Polyspace assumes that, at declaration:

- Variables have full-range of values allowed by their type.
- Pointers can be NULL-valued or point to a memory block at an unknown offset.

When you use this option, the following checks are turned off:

- Non-initialized local variable: Local variable is not initialized before being read.
- Non-initialized variable: Variable other than local variable is not initialized before being read.
- Non-initialized pointer: Pointer is not initialized before being read.
- Return value not initialized: C function does not return value when expected.

For more information, see [Disable checks for non-initialization \(C/C++\)](#).

Autodetection of Multitasking Primitives: Analyze source code with multitasking primitives from POSIX or VxWorks without manual setup

If you use POSIX® or VxWorks to perform multitasking, Polyspace can now interpret your multitasking code without having to change your code or manually set multiple configuration options.

To turn on automatic detection, select the analysis option **Multitasking > Enable automatic concurrency detection**. Polyspace detects thread creation and critical sections from supported multitasking functions.

Functions Polyspace can interpret:

POSIX

- `pthread_create`
- `pthread_mutex_lock`
- `pthread_mutex_unlock`

VxWorks

- `taskSpawn`
- `semTake`
- `semGive`

For more information, see [Enable automatic concurrency detection \(C/C++\)](#).

Microsoft Visual C++ 2013 Support: Analyze code developed in Microsoft Visual C++ 2013

You can analyze code developed in the Microsoft Visual C++ 2013 dialect.

To analyze code compiled with Microsoft Visual C++ 2013, set your dialect to `visual12.0`. If you specify the dialect, Polyspace allows language extensions specific to Microsoft Visual C++ 2013. Otherwise, it produces a compilation error if you use those extensions. For more information, see [Dialect \(C/C++\)](#) or [Dialect \(C++\)](#).

GNU 4.9 and Clang 3.5 Support: Analyze code compiled with GCC 4.9 or Clang 3.5

Polyspace now supports the GNU 4.9 and Clang 3.5 dialects for C and C++ projects.

To analyze code compiled with one of these dialects, set the **Target & Compiler > Dialect** option to `gnu4.9` or `clang3.5`.

For more information, see [Dialect \(C/C++\)](#) or [Dialect \(C++\)](#).

Improvements in automatic project creation from build command

In R2015b, automatic project creation from build command is improved.

- If you build your source code from the Cygwin environment (using either a 32 or 64-bit installation), Polyspace can trace your build and create a Polyspace project or options file.
- Support for the following compilers has improved:
 - Texas Instruments C2000 compiler
 - This compiler is available with Code Composer Studio™.
 - Cosmic HC08 C compiler
 - MPLAB XC8 C Compiler
- With certain compilers, the speed of tracing your build command has improved. The software now stores build information in the system temporary folder, thereby allowing faster access during the build.

If you still encounter a slow build, use the advanced option `-cache-path ./ps_cache` when tracing your build. For more information, see [Slow Build Process When Polyspace Traces the Build](#).

- If the software detects target settings that correspond to a standard processor type, it assigns that standard target processor type to your project. The target processor type defines the size of fundamental data types and the endianness of the target machine. For more information, see [Target processor type \(C/C++\)](#).

Previously, when you created a project from your build command, the software assigned a custom target processor type. Although you saw the processor type in the form of an option such as `-custom-target true,8,2,4,-1,4,8,4,8,8,4,8,1,little,unsigned_int,int,unsigned_short`, you could not identify easily how many bits were associated with each fundamental type. With this

enhancement, when the software assigns a processor type, you can identify the number of bits for each type. Click the **Edit** button for the option **Target processor type**.

- Automatic project creation uses a configuration file written for specific compilers. If your compiler is not supported, you can adapt one of the existing configuration files for your compiler. The configuration file, written in XML, is now simplified with some new elements, macros and attributes.
 - The `preprocess_options_list` element supports a new `$(OUTPUT_FILE)` macro when the compiler does not allow sending the preprocessed file to the standard output.
 - A new `preprocessed_output_file` element allows the preprocessed file name to be adapted from the source file name.
 - The `semantic_options` element supports a new `isPrefix` attribute. This attribute provides a shortcut to specify multiple semantic options that begin with the same prefix.
 - The `semantic_options` element supports a new `numArgs` attribute. This attribute provides a shortcut to specify semantic options that take one or more arguments.

For more information, see [Compiler Not Supported for Project Creation from Build Systems](#).

- Sometimes, the build command returns a non-zero status even when the command succeeds. The non-zero status can result from warnings in the build process. However, Polyspace does not trace the build and create a Polyspace project. You can now use an option `-allow-build-error` to create a Polyspace project even if the build command returns an exit status or error level different from zero. This option helps you understand the error in the build process.

For more information, see `-option value` arguments of `polyspaceConfigure`.

Start Page: Get quickly familiar with Polyspace Code Prover

In R2015b, when you open Polyspace Code Prover for the first time, a **Start Page** pane appears. From this pane, you can:

- Open recent results and demo examples.
- Start a new project.
- Get additional help using the **Getting Started**, **What's New** and **Learn More** tabs.

If you select the **Show on startup** box on the lower left of this pane, the pane appears each time you open Polyspace Code Prover. Otherwise, if you close the pane once, it does not reopen. To open the pane, select **Window > Show/Hide View > Start Page**.

Saved Layouts: Save your preferred layouts of the Polyspace user interface

In R2015b, if you reorganize the Polyspace user interface and place the various panes in more convenient locations, you can save your new layout. If you change your layout, you can quickly revert to a saved layout.

With this modification, you can create customized layouts suitable for different requirements and switch between saved layouts. For instance:

- You can have separate layouts for project configuration and results review.

- You can have a minimal layout with only frequently used panes.

For more information, see Organize Layout of Polyspace User Interface.

Renaming of labels in Polyspace user interface

In the Polyspace user interface, the following labels have been renamed:

- On the **Configuration** pane, the node **Coding Rules** is changed to **Coding Rules & Code Metrics**. The **Coding Rules & Code Metrics** node contains the option **Calculate Code Metrics**, which appeared previously on the **Advanced Settings** node.
- On the **Results Summary** pane, the **Category** column title is changed to **Group**, avoiding confusion with coding rule categories.
- On the **Results Summary** and **Result Details** pane, the field **Classification** is changed to **Severity**. You assign a **Severity** such as High, Medium and Low to a defect to indicate how critical you consider the issue.
- The labels associated with specifying constraints have changed as follows:
 - On the **Configuration** pane, the field **Variable/function range setup** is changed to **Constraint setup**.
 - When you click **Edit** beside the **Constraint setup** field, a new window opens. The window name is changed from **Polyspace DRS Configuration** to **Constraint Specification**.

For more information, see Specify Constraints.

Including options multiple times

You can now specify analysis options multiple times. This feature is available only at the command line or using the command-line names in the **Advanced options** dialog box in the user interface. Customize pre-made configurations without having to find the changed options in the options file.

If you specify an option multiple times, only the last setting is used. For example, if your configuration is:

```
-lang c
-prog test_bf_cp
-verif-version 1.0
-author username
-sources-list-file sources.txt
-OS-target no-predefined-OS
-target i386
-dialect none
-misra-cpp required-rules
-target powerpc
```

Polyspace uses the last target setting, `powerpc`, and ignores the other target specified, `i386`.

The user interface also follows this rule. If you specify `c18` for **Target processor type** and `-target i386` for **Advanced options**, this counts as multiple analysis option specifications. Polyspace uses the target specified in the **Advanced options** box, `i386`.

Compatibility Considerations

If your current configuration specifies analysis options multiple times, change the configuration by either:

- Removing the unnecessary analysis options.
- Moving the desired analysis options to the end of the configuration.

Updated Support for TargetLink

The Polyspace plug-in for TargetLink now supports versions 3.5 and 4.0 of the dSPACE® Data Dictionary and TargetLink Code Generator.

dSPACE and TargetLink version 3.4 is no longer supported.

For more information, see TargetLink Considerations.

Improved handling of `__declspec`

For projects in Visual C, Polyspace Code Prover can now interpret the aligned size specified by the keyword `__declspec(align(...) ...)`.

For example, this structure uses the `__declspec` keyword:

```
struct S1 { __declspec(align(8)) char c; };
```

In R2015b Polyspace correctly interprets the size of S1 as 8 bytes.

Compatibility Considerations

In previous versions, Polyspace ignored the `__declspec` keyword, so code with the `__declspec(align())` keyword was verifiable using **Dialect > None**. To avoid compilation errors with the R2015b support of `__declspec(align())`, set **Dialect** to one of the Visual C dialects. For the list of supported Visual dialects, see *Dialect (C/C++)*.

Changes in analysis options

In R2015b, the following options have been added or removed.

New Options

Option	Status	More information
Respect C90 Standard (-no-language-extensions)	New	The analysis does not allow C language extensions that do not follow the ISO/IEC 9899:1990 standard.
Dialect visual12.0	New	Allows Microsoft Visual C++ 2013 (visual 12) language extensions.
Dialect gnu4.9	New	Allows GCC 4.9 language extensions.
Dialect clang3.5	New	Allows Clang 3.5 language extensions.
Configure multitasking manually (C/C++)	New	This option enables the previous multitasking options (Entry points, Critical section details, Temporally exclusive tasks) in the user interface.
Enable automatic concurrency detection (C/C++)	New	Enables automatic concurrency detection for POSIX® and VxWorks® threading functions.
Disable checks for non- initialization (C/C++)	New	Disables checks for non-initialization in your code.

Updated Options

Option	Status	More information
Calculate Code Metrics (C/C++)	Moved in user interface	The option has been moved in the Configuration panel from the Advanced Settings pane to the Coding Rules and Code Metrics pane.
-class-analyzer	Updated syntax	The syntax for -class-analyzer <i>param</i> has been updated. Use -class-analyzer custom= <i>param</i>
Signed right shift (C/C++) (-logical-signed-right-shift)	Now available in C++ projects	
Division round down (C/C++) (-div-round-down)	Now available in C++ projects	
(-no-def-init-glob)	Now available in C++ projects	
Optimize large static initializers (C/C++) (-no-fold)	Now available in C++ projects	
-lightweight-thread-model	No longer available in the user interface	
Targets: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • tms320c3x • ,sharc21x61 • necv850 • hc08 • hc12 • mpc5xx • c18 	Now available in C++ projects	
Enum type definition (C/C++)(-enum-type-definition)	Possible values updated	The possible values for -enum-type-definition are the same for C and C++. Available values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • defined-by-standard (default) • auto-signed-first • auto-unsigned-first
-asm-begin -asm-end	Now available in C++ projects	

Option	Status	More information
-support-FX-option-results	No longer available in the user interface	
-pointer-is-24bits	Available in C++ projects	Available only if you use the Target setting c18.
Output format (C/C++) -report-output-format	Possible values updated	The output format RTF is deprecated and not available on the Configuration pane.

Removed Options

Option	Status	More information
<code>-dialect cfront2</code>	Removed	Use a different dialect instead.
<code>-dialect cfront3</code>	Removed	Use a different dialect instead.
<code>-known-NTC</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-desktop</code>	Removed	Use <code>-main-generator</code> instead.
<code>-permissive</code>	Removed	Use <code>-allow-negative-operand-in-shift -ignore-constant-overflows</code> instead.
<code>-automatic-stubbing</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-float-overflows</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-continue-with-exisiting-host</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-allow-unsupported-linux</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-passes-time</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-ignore-missing-headers</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-continue-with-red-error</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-voa</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-machine-architecture</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-allow-non-int-bitfield</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-allow-undef-variables</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-allow-unnamed-fields</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-permissive stubber</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-permissive-link</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-allow-language-extensions</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-include-headers-once</code>	Removed	Polyspace includes this behavior by default. Remove this option from existing configurations.
<code>-strict</code>	Removed	This option is no longer supported. Remove this option from existing configurations.

Option	Status	More information
-discard-asm	Removed	This option is no longer supported. Remove this option from existing configurations.
-quick	Removed	Use -to pass0 instead.
-detect-unsigned- overflows	Removed	Use -scalar-overflows-checks-signed-and- unsigned instead.
-misra2 AC-AGC-OBL- subset	Removed	Use -misra-ac-agc OBL-rules instead.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use scripts that contain a removed or updated option, change your scripts accordingly.

Binaries removed

The following binaries have been removed.

Binary name	Use instead
polyspace-automatic -orange-tester.exe	From the Polyspace environment, select Tools > Automatic Orange Tester
polyspace-c.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang c
polyspace-cpp.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang cpp
polyspace-remote-c.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang c - batch
polyspace-remote-cpp.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang cpp - batch
polyspace-remote.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -batch
polyspace-rl-manager.exe	polyspace-server-settings.exe
polyspace-spooler.exe	polyspace-job-monitor.exe
polyspace-ver.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -ver

The binaries to use are located in *matlabroot/polyspace/bin*.

Support for Visual Studio 2008 to be removed

The Polyspace Add-In for Visual Studio 2008 is no longer supported and will be removed in a future release.

Compatibility Considerations

To analyze your Visual Studio projects, use either:

- The Polyspace Add-in for Visual Studio 2010. See [Install Polyspace Add-In for Visual Studio](#).
- The `polyspace-configure` tool to create a project using your build command. See [Create Project Using Visual Studio Information](#).

Import Visual Studio project removed

The **Tools > Import Visual Studio project** has been removed.

To import your project information from Visual Studio, use the **Create from build system** option during new project creation. For more information, see [Create Project Using Visual Studio Information](#).

Verification Results

Improved Concurrency Detection: View more precise sharing and protection results based on dynamic information such as data flow in branching statements and protection on individual fields of a structure

In R2015b, Polyspace Code Prover uses dynamic information such as data flow in branch statements to determine whether a variable is shared and protected. Previously, sharing and protection were determined statically resulting in overapproximation of the actual behavior. For more information on shared variables and multitasking options, see [Multitasking](#).

The following examples illustrate the change. For more examples, see [Global Variables](#).

Data Flow in Branch Statements

Prior to R2015b	R2015b
<p>In the following code, if you specify <code>task1</code> and <code>task2</code> as entry points, the verification determines that <code>var_1</code> and <code>var_2</code> are shared, potentially unprotected variables. However, because of the <code>if</code> statement, <code>task1</code> can operate only on <code>var_1</code> and <code>task2</code> only on <code>var_2</code>. When determining sharing, the verification does not consider the branching in the <code>if</code> statement and therefore determines that <code>var_1</code> and <code>var_2</code> are shared.</p> <pre> unsigned int var_1; unsigned int var_2; volatile int randomVal; void task1(void) { while(randomVal) operation(1); } void task2(void) { while(randomVal) operation(2); } void operation(int i) { if(i==1) { var_1++; } else { var_2++; } } int main(void) { return 0; } </pre>	<p>In the following code, if you specify <code>task1</code> and <code>task2</code> as entry points, the verification determines that <code>var_1</code> and <code>var_2</code> are not shared.</p> <pre> unsigned int var_1; unsigned int var_2; volatile int randomVal; void task1(void) { while(randomVal) operation(1); } void task2(void) { while(randomVal) operation(2); } void operation(int i) { if(i==1) { var_1++; } else { var_2++; } } int main(void) { return 0; } </pre>

Shared Structures

Prior to R2015b	R2015b
<p>In the following code, if you specify <code>task1</code> and <code>task2</code> as entry points, the verification determines that the structure variable <code>sharedStruct</code> is a potentially unprotected variable. However, <code>task1</code> can operate only on <code>sharedStruct.var_1</code> and <code>task2</code> only on <code>sharedStruct.var_2</code>. The verification considers <code>sharedStruct</code> as a whole and ignores the sharing and protection on individual fields of <code>sharedStruct</code>.</p> <pre> struct S { unsigned int var_1; unsigned int var_2; }; volatile int randomVal; struct S sharedStruct; void task1(void) { while(randomVal) operation1(); } void task2(void) { while(randomVal) operation2(); } void operation1(void) { sharedStruct.var_1++; } void operation2(void) { sharedStruct.var_2++; } int main(void) { return 0; } </pre>	<p>In the following code, if you specify <code>task1</code> and <code>task2</code> as entry points, the verification determines that the structure variable <code>sharedStruct</code> is a shared, protected variable. If you select the result, the Result Details pane states that all operations on the variable are protected by access pattern. For the variable <code>sharedStruct</code>, the Protection column on the Variable Access pane contains Access pattern.</p> <pre> struct S { unsigned int var_1; unsigned int var_2; }; volatile int randomVal; struct S sharedStruct; void task1(void) { while(randomVal) operation1(); } void task2(void) { while(randomVal) operation2(); } void operation1(void) { sharedStruct.var_1++; } void operation2(void) { sharedStruct.var_2++; } int main(void) { return 0; } </pre>

Additional MISRA C:2012 Support: Detect violations of all MISRA C:2012 rules except rules 22.x

In R2015b, Polyspace Code Prover supports the following MISRA C: 2012 coding rules.

For complete MISRA C: 2012 support, including rules 22.1–22.4 and 22.6, use Polyspace Bug Finder.

Rule	Description
MISRA C:2012 Directive 2.1	All source files shall compile without any compilation errors.
MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.5	Identifiers in the same name space with overlapping visibility should be typographically unambiguous.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 2.6	A function should not contain unused label declarations.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 2.7	There should be no unused parameters in functions.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 17.5	The function argument corresponding to a parameter declared to have an array type shall have an appropriate number of elements.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 17.8	A function parameter should not be modified.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 21.12	The exception handling features of <code><fenv.h></code> should not be used.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 22.5	A pointer to a FILE object shall not be dereferenced.

Improved precision for mathematical functions

Polyspace Code Prover has more precise implementations for mathematical functions defined in `math.h`.

Improvements in checking of previously supported MISRA C rules

In R2015b, the following changes have been made in checking of previously supported MISRA C rules.

MISRA C:2004 Rules

Rule	Description	Improvement
MISRA C:2004 Rule 2.1	Assembly language shall be encapsulated and isolated.	If an assembly language statement is entirely encapsulated in macros, Polyspace no longer considers that the statement violates this rule.
MISRA C:2004 Rule 8.8	An external object or function shall be declared in one file and only one file.	Polyspace considers that variables or functions declared <code>extern</code> in a non-header file violate this rule.
MISRA C:2004 Rule 10.1	The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if it is not a conversion to a wider integer type of the same signedness.	Polyspace no longer raises violation of this rule on operations involving pointers.

Rule	Description	Improvement
MISRA C:2004 Rule 19.2	Nonstandard characters should not occur in header file names in #include directives.	Polyspace no longer raises violation of this rule if the character \ or \\ occurs between the < and > in #include <filename> (or between " and " in #include "filename"). Therefore, you can use Windows paths to files in place of filename without triggering a rule violation.

MISRA C:2012 Rules

Rule	Description	Improvement
MISRA C:2012 Directive 4.3	Assembly language shall be encapsulated and isolated.	If an assembly language statement is entirely encapsulated in macros, Polyspace no longer considers that the statement violates this rule.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 1.1	The program shall contain no violations of the standard C syntax and constraints, and shall not exceed the implementation's translation limits.	If a rule violation occurs because your .c file contains too many macros, instead of placing the rule violation on the last macro usage, Polyspace places the rule violation at the beginning of the file. Therefore, you can add a comment before the first line of the .c file justifying the violation. Previously, you had to place the comment before the last macro usage. If you added another use of the macro later, the comment did not apply. For information on adding code comments to justify results, see Add Review Comments to Code.
MISRA C:2012 Rule 10.4	Both operands of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed shall have the same essential type category.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If one of the operands is the constant zero, Polyspace does not raise a violation of this rule. If one of the operands is a signed constant and the other operand is unsigned, the rule violation is not raised if the signed constant has the same representation as its unsigned equivalent. <p>For instance, the statement <code>u8b = u8a + 3;</code>, where <code>u8a</code> and <code>u8b</code> are unsigned char variables, does not violate the rule because the constants 3 and 3U have the same representation.</p>

Checking Coding Rules Using Text Files

In R2015b, if your coding rules configuration text file has an incorrect syntax, the analysis stops with an error message. The error message states the line numbers in the configuration file that contain the incorrect syntax.

For more information on checking for coding rules using text files, see [Select Specific MISRA or JSF Coding Rules](#).

Change in Correctness Condition Check

In R2015b, the specification of the **Correctness Condition** check has changed in the following way. For more information on the check, see [Correctness condition](#).

When you use a function pointer to call a function and Polyspace cannot determine which function the pointer points to, the **Correctness Condition** check is orange instead of red. This situation can occur, for instance, if:

- The function pointer points to an *absolute address*. The check is orange because the verification cannot determine from the code whether the absolute address contains a well-typed function.
- The function pointer contains the return value of a stubbed function. For information on stubbing, see [Assumptions About Stubbed Functions](#).

Following the orange check, the verification assumes that the following variables can have the full range of values allowed by their type:

- Variable storing the return value from the function call.
- Variables that can be modified through the function arguments.


Compatibility Considerations

If your code contains function pointers that point to an absolute address for instance, you can see a change in the number of results from a previous version of the product. Because red checks stop further verification of the code in the current block and orange checks do not, this change of the **Correctness Condition** check from red to orange can expose more of your code to verification. Therefore, the number of checks in your code can change.

Reviewing Results

Improved Review Capability: View result details and add review comments in one window

In R2015b, the **Check Details** pane is renamed **Result Details**. On this pane, in addition to viewing details about a result, you can now enter review information such as **Classification**, **Status**, and comments. For more information, see [Add Review Comments to Results](#).



Enhanced Review Scope: Filter coding rule violations from display in one click

In R2015b, you can suppress a certain number or percentage of coding rule violations from the display using custom options in the **Show** menu on the **Results Summary** pane. You can:

- Suppress violations of coding rules that are not relevant for you.
- Focus your results review by seeing only a certain number of coding rule violations in your display.
- Predefine a percentage of coding rule violations that you intend to review. View only that percentage in your analysis results.

You define an option on the **Show** menu only once. The option is available for one-click use every time that you open your results. For more information, see [Suppress Certain Rules from Display in One Click](#).

Previously, using custom options on the **Show** menu, you suppressed orange checks and code metrics (if they fell below a certain threshold). With this enhancement, you can use the **Show** menu to display only those results that must be justified to reach a certain Software Quality Objective (SQO) level. For instance, you can reach predefined SQO levels 4, 5, and 6 using the options on the **Show** menu. For more information, see [Software Quality Objectives](#).

Additional Call Graph Showing Task Creation


For global variables, the call graph provides a visual representation of the function call sequence leading to operations on the variable. In R2015b, the call graph for shared global variables has been augmented with a supporting call graph that shows task creation.

Previously, Polyspace modeled multitasking code by assuming that all tasks begin after the `main` completes execution. This model has been relaxed for POSIX thread creation functions allowing creation of tasks in the `main` and in functions called from the `main`. Therefore, the call sequence leading to the creation of a task can be nontrivial. The task creation call graph provides you a visual representation of this call sequence.

For more information, see [Review Global Variable Usage](#).

Improvements in Polyspace Metrics workflow

In R2015b, the Polyspace Metrics workflow has improved in the following ways:

- You can justify code complexity metrics in the Polyspace user interface and upload the justifications to Polyspace Metrics. If a code metric value violates quality thresholds and appears red, after justification, it appears green with the  icon.

For more information about justifying Polyspace results starting from the Polyspace Metrics interface, see [Compare Metrics Against Software Quality Objectives](#).


- You can define custom SQO levels specific to a project. In the file `Custom-SQO-Definitions.xml`, if you specify a project name, in the Polyspace Metrics web dashboard, the custom SQO level appears only for that project. You can choose this SQO level to compare the project against quality thresholds that you defined. For more information, see [Customize Software Quality Objectives](#).
- In the Polyspace user interface, the same menu item **Metrics > Upload to Metrics** allows you to upload your results initially and also upload comments and justifications in the results later.

Previously, you used a different menu item **Save comments to Metrics** to save your review comments and justifications in a result.

For more information on uploading comments and justifications from the Polyspace user interface to the Polyspace Metrics web interface, see [Review Metrics for Particular Project or Run](#).

Improvements in Polyspace Plugin for Eclipse

In R2015b, the following improvements have been made to the Polyspace plugin for Eclipse:

- When you select a result in the **Results Summary** view, the **Result Details** view displays additional information about the result. In the **Result Details** view, if you click the  button next to the result name, you can see a brief description and examples of the result.
- You can switch to a Polyspace perspective that shows only the information relevant to a Polyspace Code Prover verification. To open the perspective, select **Window > Open Perspective > Other**. In the Open Perspective dialog box, select **Polyspace**.

Improvements in Report Templates

In R2015b, the major improvements in report templates include the following:

- Instead of filenames, absolute paths to files appear in the reports.

- If you check for coding rules, the appendix about coding rules configuration states all rules along with the information whether they were enabled or disabled. Previously, the appendix only stated the enabled rules.

For more information on templates, see Report template (C/C++).

Configuration Associated with Result Not Opened by Default

In R2015b, when you open your result, the **Configuration** pane does not automatically display a read-only form of the associated configuration.

To view the configuration associated with the result, select the link **View configuration for results** on the **Dashboard** pane. If a corresponding project is open on the **Project Browser** pane, you can also right-click the result under the **Results** node in the project and select **Open Configuration**.

XML and RTF report formats removed

The formats XML and RTF for report generation are no longer available from R2016a onwards. If you generated reports using one of these formats, use an alternative format instead.

For more information, see Output format (C/C++).

R2015a

Version: 9.3

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Simplified workflow for project setup and results review with a unified user interface

In R2015a, the Project and Results Manager perspectives are now unified. You can run verification and review results without switching between two perspectives.

The major changes are:

- You can start a new verification during your results review. Previously, you started a new verification only from the Project Manager perspective.
- After a verification, the result opens automatically. If you are looking at a previous result when a verification is over, you can load the new result or retain the previous one on the **Results Summary** pane. If you retain the previous results, you can later open the new results from the **Project Browser**. The new results are highlighted.
- You can have any of the panes open in the unified interface.

Previously, you could open the following panes only in one of the two perspectives.

Project Manager	Results Manager
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Browser: Set up project. • Configuration: Specify analysis options for your project. • Output Summary: Monitor progress of verification. • Run Log: Find detailed information about a verification. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results Summary: View Polyspace results. • Source: View read-only form of source code color coded with Polyspace results. • Check Details: View details of a particular result. • Check Review: Comment on a particular result. • Variable Access: View global variables and read/write operations on them. • Call Hierarchy: View callers and callees of a function. • Results Properties: Same as Run Log, but associated with results instead of a project. This pane has been removed. To open the log associated with a result, with the results open, select Window > Show/Hide View > Run Log. • Settings: Same information as Configuration, but associated with results instead of a project. This pane has been removed. To open the configuration associated with a result, with the results open, select Window > Show/Hide View > Configuration. • Orange Sources: View sources of orange checks. • Sensitivity Context: For a check that has a different color for different function calls, view the check color for each function call.

Improvements in search capability in the user interface

In R2015a, the **Search** pane allows you to search for a string in various panes of the user interface.

To search for a string in the new user interface:

- 1 If the **Search** pane is not visible, open it. Select **Window > Show/Hide View > Search**.
- 2 Enter your string in the search box.
- 3 From the drop-down list beside the box, select names of panes you want to search.

The **Search** pane consolidates the search options previously available.

Support for GCC 4.8

Polyspace now supports the GCC 4.8 dialect for C and C++ projects.

To allow GCC 4.8 extensions in your Polyspace Code Prover verification, set **Target & Compiler > Dialect** option `gnu4.8`.

For more information, see [Dialect \(C\)](#) and [Dialect \(C++\)](#).

Polyspace plug-in for Simulink improvements

In R2015a, there are three improvements to the Polyspace Simulink plug-in.

Integration with Simulink projects

You can now save your Polyspace results to a Simulink project. Using this feature, you can organize and control your Polyspace results alongside your model files and folders.

To save your results to a Simulink project:

- 1 Open your Simulink project.
- 2 From your model, select **Code > Polyspace > Options**.
- 3 In the Polyspace parameter configuration tab, select the **Save results to Simulink project** option.

For more information, see [Save Results to a Simulink Project](#).

DRS file format changed to XML

By default, the DRS files generated in Simulink are saved in XML.

For more information, see [XML File Format for Constraints](#)

If you want to use a customized `.txt` DRS file, contact customer support.

Back-to-model available when Simulink is closed

In the Polyspace plug-in for Simulink, the back-to-model feature now works even when your model is closed. When you click a link in your Polyspace results, MATLAB opens your Simulink model and highlights the appropriate block.

Note This feature works only with Simulink R2013b and later.

For more information about the back-to-model feature, see [Identify Errors in Simulink Models](#).

Polyspace binaries being removed

The following Polyspace binaries will be removed in a future release. The binaries are located in `matlabroot/polyspace/bin`. You get a warning if you run them.

Binary name	Use instead
polyspace-automatic -orange-tester.exe	From the Polyspace environment, select Tools > Automatic Orange Tester
polyspace-c.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang c
polyspace-cpp.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang cpp
polyspace-remote-c.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang c -batch
polyspace-remote-cpp.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang cpp -batch
polyspace-remote.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -batch
polyspace-rl-manager.exe	polyspace-server-settings.exe
polyspace-spooler.exe	polyspace-job-monitor.exe
polyspace-ver.exe	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -ver

Import Visual Studio project being removed

The **File > Import Visual Studio project** will be removed in a future release. Instead, use the **Create from build system** option during New Project creation. For more information, see Trace Visual Studio Build.

Verification Results

Detection of stack pointer dereference outside scope

In R2015a, the **Illegally dereferenced pointer** check can detect stack pointer dereference outside scope. Such dereference can happen, for example, when a pointer to a variable that is local to a function is returned from the function. Because the scope of the variable is limited to the function, dereferencing the pointer outside the function can cause undefined behavior.

This enhancement is not available by default. Use the option `-detect-pointer-escape` to detect such dereferences. To provide command-line options in the user interface:

- 1 On the **Configuration** pane, select **Advanced Settings**.
- 2 Enter the option in the **Other** field.

Before R2015a	R2015a
<p>In the following code, <code>ptr</code> points to <code>ret</code>. Because the scope of <code>ret</code> is limited to <code>func1</code>, when <code>ptr</code> is accessed in <code>func2</code>, the access is illegal. Polyspace Code Prover did not detect such pointer escapes.</p> <pre>void func2(int *ptr) { *ptr = 0; } int* func1(void) { int ret = 0; return &ret ; } void main(void) { int* ptr = func1() ; func2(ptr) ; }</pre>	<p>In the following code, Polyspace Code Prover produces a red Illegally dereferenced pointer check on <code>*ptr</code>.</p> <pre>void func2(int *ptr) { *ptr = 0; } int* func1(void) { int ret = 0; return &ret ; } void main(void) { int* ptr = func1() ; func2(ptr) ; }</pre>

The **Check Details** pane displays a message indicating that `ret` is accessed outside its scope.

! ID 1: Illegally dereferenced pointer
 Error: pointer is outside its bounds
 This check may be a path-related issue, which is not dependent on input values
 Dereference of parameter 'ptr' (pointer to int 32, size: 32 bits):
 Pointer is not null.
 Points to 4 bytes at offset 0 in buffer of 4 bytes, so is within bounds (if memory is allocated).
 Pointer may point to variable or field of variable:
 'ret', local to function 'func1'. 'ret' is accessed outside its scope.

Isolated ellipsis for variable number of function arguments supported

In R2015a, for C++ code, Polyspace Code Prover supports the ellipsis in the function definition syntax `void foo(...){}` to mean variable number of arguments. Previously, the use of ellipsis in isolation was not supported. You could use only the syntax where the ellipsis was preceded with other parameters.

Before R2015a	R2015a
<p>In the following code, Polyspace considers that <code>foo</code> has no arguments. Therefore, it produces a red Correctness condition error on the second function call. The Check Details pane indicates that the wrong number of arguments were used in the function call.</p> <pre>void foo(...) { /* Function body */ } void main() { foo(); foo(1,2); //Red COR }</pre>	<p>In the following code, Polyspace considers that <code>foo</code> takes a variable number of arguments. It does not produce a red Correctness condition error on the second function call.</p> <pre>void foo(...) { /* Function body */ } void main() { foo(); foo(1,2); //No COR }</pre>

Improvement in pointer comparisons

In R2015a, Polyspace is more precise on pointer comparisons. In certain cases, if the software can determine that a pointer comparison is always true or false, it provides that result. Previously, Polyspace did not check pointer comparisons.

Before R2015a	R2015a
<p>In the following code, Polyspace does not check the comparison <code>ptr==&invalid</code>. Therefore, it considers that <code>check</code> can return either 0 or 1. In the main function, it verifies both branches of the if-else statement.</p> <pre> #include <stdlib.h> typedef unsigned char U8; U8 invalid; #define TEST_DISABLED &invalid U8 check(U8 cnt, U8* ptr) { U8 ret=0; if (ptr == &invalid) { ret=1; } return ret; } void main() { U8 isDisabled; isDisabled = check(1U,\ TEST_DISABLED); if(isDisabled == 1) { /* Do not perform test */ } else { /* Perform test */ } } </pre>	<p>In the following code, Polyspace checks the comparison <code>ptr===&invalid</code> and determines that it is always true. Therefore, it considers that the if test is redundant and the function <code>check</code> returns 1 only. In the main function, it verifies the if branch and considers the else branch as unreachable.</p> <pre> #include <stdlib.h> typedef unsigned char U8; U8 invalid; #define TEST_DISABLED &invalid U8 check(U8 cnt, U8* ptr) { U8 ret=0; if(ptr == &invalid) { ret=1; } return ret; } void main() { U8 isDisabled; isDisabled = check(1U,\ TEST_DISABLED); if(isDisabled == 1) { /* Do not perform test */ } else { /* Perform test */ } } </pre>

Improvements in coding rules checking

MISRA C:2004 and MISRA AC AGC

Rule Number	Effect	More Information
Rule 12.6	More results on noncompliant <code>#if</code> preprocessor directives Fewer results for variables cast to effective Boolean types.	MISRA C:2004 Rules — Chapter 12: Expressions
Rule 12.12	Fewer results when converting to an array of <code>float</code>	MISRA C:2004 Rules — Chapter 12: Expressions

MISRA C:2012

Rule Number	Effect	More Information
Rules 10.3	Fewer results on enumeration constants when the type of the constant is a named enumeration type. Fewer results on user-defined effective Boolean types.	MISRA C:2012 Rule 10.3
Rule 10.4	Fewer results on enumeration constants when the type of the constant is a named enumeration type. Fewer results for casts to user-defined effective Boolean types.	MISRA C:2012 Rule 10.4
Rule 10.5	Fewer results on enumeration constants when the type of the constant is a named enumeration type. Fewer results on user-defined effective Boolean types.	MISRA C:2012 Rule 10.5
Rule 12.1	More results on expressions with <code>sizeof</code> operator and on expressions with <code>?</code> operators. Fewer results on operators of the same precedence and in preprocessing directives.	MISRA C:2012 Rule 12.1
Rule 14.3	No results for non-controlling expressions.	MISRA C:2012 Rule 14.3

MISRA C++:2008

Rule Number	Effect	More Information
Rule 5-0-3	Fewer results on enumeration constants when the type of the constant is the enumeration type.	MISRA C++ Rules — Chapter 5
Rule 6-5-1	Fewer results on compliant vector variable iterators.	MISRA C++ Rules — Chapter 6
Rule 14-8-2	Fewer results for functions contained in the Files and folders to ignore (C++) option.	MISRA C++ Rules — Chapter 14
Rule 15-3-2	Fewer results for user-defined return statements after a <code>try</code> block.	MISRA C++ Rules — Chapter 15

Reviewing Results

Context-sensitive help for code complexity metrics, MISRA-C:2012, and custom coding rules

In R2015a, context-sensitive help is available in the user interface for code complexity metrics, MISRA C:2012 rule violations, and custom coding rule violations.

To access the contextual help, see Getting Help.

For information about these results, see:

- Code Metrics
- MISRA C:2012 Directives and Rules
- Custom Coding Rules

Review of code complexity metrics and global variable usage in user interface

- “Code Complexity Metrics” on page 16-10
- “Global Variables” on page 16-10

Code Complexity Metrics

In R2015a, you can view code complexity metrics in the Polyspace user interface. For more information, see Code Metrics. Previously, this information was available only in the Polyspace Metrics web interface.

In the user interface, you can:

- Specify a limit for the value of a metric. If the metric value for your source code exceeds this limit, the metric appears red on the **Results Summary** pane.
- Justify the value of a metric. If a metric value exceeds specified limits and appears red, you can add a comment with the rationale.

Combining these actions, you can enforce coding standards across your organization. For more information, see Review Code Metrics.

Reducing the complexity of your code improves code readability, reduces the possibility of coding errors, and allows more precise Polyspace verification.

Global Variables

In R2015a, you can comment and justify global variable usage on the **Results Summary** pane. Previously, you viewed global variable usage on the **Variable Access** pane, but could not comment on them.

On the **Results Summary** pane, global variables are classified into one of the following categories.

Category		Color	Meaning
Shared	Potentially unprotected	Orange	Global variables shared between multiple tasks but possibly not protected from concurrent access by the tasks
	Protected	Green	Global variables shared between multiple tasks and protected from concurrent access by the tasks
Not shared	Used	Black	Global variables used in a single task
	Unused	Gray	Global variables declared but not used

For more information, see Global Variables.

For code that you do not intend for multitasking, all variables are nonshared and can be either used or unused. For code that you intend for multitasking, you can specify tasks and protections through the analysis options for multitasking. For more information, see Multitasking.

You can still view the global variables on the **Variable Access** pane.

- To comment and justify potentially unprotected and unused global variables, use the **Results Summary** pane.
- To find the read and write operations on a global variable, use the **Check Details** or **Variable Access** pane. On the **Variable Access** pane, you can also see the variable range and other information.

For more information, see Review Global Variable Usage.

Review of latest results compared to the last run

In R2015a, you can review only new results compared to the previous run.

If you rerun your verification, the new results are displayed with an asterisk (*) against them on the **Results Summary** pane. To filter only these new checks, select the **New results** box.

If you make changes in your source code, you can use this feature to see only the checks introduced due to those changes. You can avoid reviewing checks in the source code that you did not change.


Guidance for reviewing Polyspace Code Prover checks in C code

In R2015a, the context-sensitive help for checks provides guidance about how to review the check. The help describes:

- Information available in the software for the check.
- In your source code, how to navigate to the root cause of the check.

- Common causes of the check.

To open the context-sensitive help for a check:

- On the **Results Summary** or **Source** pane, select the check.
- Select the  button.
- Select the link in the section **Diagnosing This Check**.

This additional guidance is not available for C++-specific checks.

Simplified results infrastructure

Polyspace results folders are reorganized and simplified. Files have been removed, combined, renamed, or moved. The changes do not affect the results that you see in the Polyspace environment.

Some important changes and file locations:

- The main results file is now encrypted and renamed `ps_results.pscp`. You can view results only in the Polyspace environment.
- The log file, `Polyspace_R2015a_project_date-time.log` has not changed.

For more information, see Results Folder Contents.

R2014b

Version: 9.2

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup

Improved verification speed

In R2014b, the following two changes improve the verification speed:

- Polyspace Code Prover can run the compilation phase of your verification in parallel on multiple processors. The software detects available processors and uses them to compile different source files in parallel.

Previously, the software ran post-compilation phases in parallel but compiled the source files sequentially. Starting in R2014b, the software can use multiple processors for the entire verification process.

To explicitly specify the number of processors, use the command-line option `-max-processes`. For more information, see `-max-processes`.

- Polyspace Code Prover has an improved engine for verification. This engine typically improves verification speed by 25%. However, in some cases, verification can take the same amount of time or longer.

Compatibility Considerations

In most cases, you do not see significant change in the number of checks resulting from the improved engine. If you see a major increase in the number of orange checks, contact technical support. For more information, see Obtain System Information for Technical Support.

Support for Mac OS

You can install and run Polyspace on Mac OS X. Polyspace is supported for Mac OS 10.7.4+, 10.8, and 10.9.

You can use Polyspace Metrics on Safari and set up your Mac as a Metrics server. However, if you restart your Mac machine that is setup as a Metrics server, you must restart the Polyspace server daemon.

The Automatic Orange Tester is not supported for Mac.

Support for C++11

Polyspace can now fully analyze C++ code that follows the ISO/IEC 14882:2011 standard, also called C++11.

Use two new analysis options when analyzing C++11 code. On the **Target & Compiler** pane, select:

- **C++11 extensions** to allow the standard C++11 libraries and functions during your analysis.
- **Block char 16/32_t types** to not allow `char16_t` or `char32_t` types during the analysis.

For more information, see C++11 Extensions (C++) and Block char16/32_t types (C++).

Code Editor for editing source files in Polyspace user interface

In R2014b, by default, you can edit your source files inside the Polyspace user interface.

- In the Project Manager perspective, on the **Project Browser** tree, double-click your source file.
- In the Results Manager perspective, right-click the **Source** pane and select **Open Source File**.

Your source files appear on a **Code Editor** tab. On this tab, you can edit your source files and save them.

To use an external text editor, change your preferences.

- 1 Select **Tools > Preferences**.
- 2 Specify an external editor on the **Editors** tab.

For more information, see Specify External Text Editor.

Local file-by-file verification

In R2014b, you can verify your source code file by file on your local installation of Polyspace Code Prover. Each file is verified independently of the other files in your module. Previously, you performed file-by-file verification only on a remote server. The verification required:

- Parallel Computing Toolbox on the client side
- MATLAB Parallel Server on the server side

For more information on file-by-file verification, see:

- Run File-by-File Verification
- Open Results of File-by-File Verification

For information on file-by-file verification in batch mode, see:

- Run File-by-File Batch Verification
- Open Results of File-by-File Batch Verification

Simulink plug-in support for custom project files

With the Polyspace plug-in for Simulink, you can now use a project file to specify the verification options.

On the **Polyspace** pane of the Configuration Parameters window, with the **Use custom project file** option you can enter a path or browse for a `.psprj` project file.

For more information, see Configure Polyspace Analysis Options.

TargetLink support updated

The Polyspace plug-in for Simulink now supports TargetLink 3.4 and 3.5. Older versions of TargetLink are not supported.

For more information, see TargetLink Considerations.

AUTOSAR support added

In R2013b, the Polyspace plug-in for Simulink added support for AUTOSAR generated code with Embedded Coder. If you use `autosar.tlc` as your **System target file** for code generation, when you run Polyspace, the verification can use the data range information from AUTOSAR.

The Polyspace verification uses the same default options and parameters as it does for Embedded Coder.

For more information, see Embedded Coder Considerations.

Default verification level changed

In R2014b, unless you specify a verification level explicitly, Polyspace Code Prover verification performs two passes on your source code instead of four. For instance:

- In the user interface, on the **Output Summary** tab, you can see that the verification continues to **Level2**. For more passes, on the **Configuration** pane, under the **Precision** node, select a higher **Verification level**.
- At the command line, the verification implicitly uses `-to pass2`. For more passes, use the `-to` option explicitly with a higher pass value.

The default verification is completed in much less time.

For more information, see:

- Verification level (C)
- Verification level (C++)

Compatibility Considerations

If you do not specify a verification level explicitly in your `polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop` command, your verification runs to **Software Safety Analysis Level 2**. In most cases, this verification level produces only slightly more orange checks than **Software Safety Analysis Level 4**. However, if you see a significant change in your results, to reproduce your earlier results:

- In the user interface, select **Software Safety Analysis Level 4** for **Verification level**.
- At the command line, use the option `-to pass4` with the `polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop` command.

Default mode changed for C++ code verification in user interface

When you create a new Polyspace Code Prover project with C++ as the project language, the following options are selected in the user interface by default. The options appear on the **Configuration** pane under the **Code Prover Verification** node.

Option	Value
Verify Module	On
Class	all
Functions to call within the specified classes	unused

Option	Value
Functions to call	unused
Variables to initialize	uninit

These options replace the default selection of **Verify whole application** on the Polyspace user interface.

If your C++ code does not contain a `main` function, Polyspace generates a `main` by default during verification from the user interface.

For more information on the main generation options, see *Provide Context for C++ Code Verification*.

Improved global menu in user interface

The global menu in the Polyspace user interface has been updated. The following table lists the current location for the existing global menu options.


Goal	Prior to R2014b	R2014b
Open the Polyspace Metrics interface in your web browser.	File > Open Metrics Web Interface	Metrics > Open Metrics
Upload results from the Polyspace user interface to Polyspace Metrics.	File > Upload in Polyspace Metrics repository	Metrics > Upload to Metrics
Update results stored in Polyspace Metrics with your review comments and justifications.	File > Save in Polyspace Metrics repository	Metrics > Save comments to Metrics
Generate a report from results after verification.	Run > Run Report > Run Report	Reporting > Run Report
Open generated report.	Run > Run Report > Open Report	Reporting > Open Report
Partition source code into modules.	Run > Run Modularize	Tools > Run Modularize
Import review comments from previous verification.	Review > Import	Tools > Import Comments
Specify code generator for generated code.	Review > Code Generator Support	Tools > Code Generator Support
Specify settings that apply to all Polyspace Code Prover projects.	Options > Preferences	Tools > Preferences
Specify settings for remote verification.	Options > Metrics and Remote Server Settings	Metrics > Metrics and Remote Server Settings


Improved Project Manager perspective

The following changes have been made in the Project Manager perspective:

- The **Progress Monitor** tab does not exist anymore. Instead, after you start a verification, you can view its progress on the **Output Summary** tab.

- Instead of a single progress bar showing all the stages of verification, you can see two progress bars. The top bar shows progress in the current stage of verification and the lower bar shows overall progress.



After verification, you can see the overall time taken. To see the time taken in each stage of verification, click the  icon.

- In the **Project Browser**, projects appear sorted in alphabetical order instead of order of creation.

Changed analysis options

Changes have been made to the following analysis options:

- On the **Configuration** pane, the analysis option **Files and folders to ignore** has been moved from **Coding Rules Checking** to **Inputs & Stubbing**. The functionality in Polyspace Code Prover has not changed.
- On the **Configuration** pane, the **Interactive** option has been removed from the graphical interface. To use interactive mode, use the `-interactive` flag at the command line or in the **Advanced Settings > Other** text field.
- You cannot use batch mode or interactive mode with **Verification Level > C/C++ source compliance checking**.

To run only to code compliance, run Polyspace Code Prover locally.

To perform batch or interactive verifications, use **Software Safety Analysis level 0** or higher.

Remote launcher and queue manager renamed

Polyspace has renamed the remote launcher and the queue manager.

Previous name	New Name	More information
<code>polyspace-rl-manager.exe</code>	<code>polyspace-server-settings.exe</code>	Only the binary name has changed. The interface title, Metrics and Remote Server Settings , is unchanged.
<code>polyspace-spooler.exe</code> Queue Manager or Spooler	<code>polyspace-job-monitor.exe</code> Job Monitor	The binary and the interface titles have changed. Interface labels have changed in the Polyspace interface and its plug-ins.
<code>pslinkfun('queuemanager')</code>	<code>pslinkfun('jobmonitor')</code>	See <code>pslinkfun</code> .

Compatibility Considerations

If you use the old binaries or functions, you receive a warning.

Polyspace binaries being removed

The following Polyspace binaries will be removed in a future release. Unless otherwise noted, the binaries to use are located in *matlabroot/polyspace/bin*.

Binary name	What happens	Use instead
polyspace-automatic -orange-tester.exe	Warning	From the Polyspace environment, select Tools > Automatic Orange Tester
polyspace-c.exe	Warning	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang c
polyspace-cpp.exe	Warning	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang cpp
polyspace-remote-c.exe	Warning	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang c -batch
polyspace-remote-cpp.exe	Warning	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -lang cpp -batch
polyspace-remote.exe	Warning	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -batch
polyspace-rl-manager.exe	Warning	polyspace-server-settings.exe
polyspace-spooler.exe	Warning	polyspace-job-monitor.exe
polyspace-ver.exe	Warning	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop -ver
setup-remote-launcher.exe	Warning	<i>matlabroot/toolbox/polyspace / psdistcomp/bin/setup-polyspace-cluster</i>

Import Visual Studio project being removed

The **File > Import Visual Studio project** will be removed in a future release. Instead, use the **Create from build system** option during New Project creation. For more information, see Trace Visual Studio Build.

Verification Results

Support for MISRA C:2012

Polyspace can now check your code against MISRA C:2012 directives and coding rules. To check for MISRA C:2012 coding rule violations:

- 1 On the **Configuration** pane, select **Coding Rules**.
- 2 Select **Check MISRA C:2012**.
- 3 The MISRA C:2012 guidelines have different categories for handwritten and automatically generated code.

If you want to use the settings for automatically generated code, also select **Use generated code requirements**.

For more information about supported rules, see MISRA C:2012 Coding Directives and Rules.

Improved verification precision for non-initialized variables

Polyspace Code Prover performs the following checks for initialization:

- Non-initialized local variable or NIVL
- Non-initialized variable or NIV

In R2014b, the following changes appear in these checks.

Read Operations on Structures

When you read structured variables, Polyspace Code Prover performs a check for initialization. This check helps detect partially initialized and non-initialized structures earlier in the code.

Prior to R2014b	R2014b
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When you read structured variable, a check for initialization was not performed. The checks occurred only when you read individual fields of a structured variable, provided the fields themselves were not structured variables. 	<p>When you read structured variables, a check for initialization occurs. The check turns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green, if all fields of the structure that are used are initialized. If no field is used, the check is green by default. Red, if all fields that are used are not initialized. Orange, if only some fields that are used are initialized. Following the check, Polyspace considers that the uninitialized fields have the full range of values allowed by their type. <p>Polyspace considers a field as used if there is a read or write operation on the field anywhere in the code. Polyspace does not check for initialization of fields that are not used.</p> <p>To determine which fields Polyspace checked for initialization:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Select the NIV or NIVL check on the Results Summary pane or Source pane. View the message on the Check Details pane.
<p>Example:</p> <pre>typedef struct S { int a; int b; }S; void func1(S); void func2(int); void main() { S varS; func1(varS); func2(varS.a); }</pre> <p>A check was not performed when the non-initialized structure varS was read. When the field a of varS was read, a red NIVL check appeared.</p>	<p>Example:</p> <pre>typedef struct S { int a; int b; }S; void func1(S); void func2(int); void main() { S varS; func1(varS); func2(varS.a); }</pre> <p>When the non-initialized structure varS is read, a red NIVL check appears.</p> <p>For more examples, see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Partially initialized structure — All used fields initialized Partially initialized structure — Some used fields initialized

Other Operations

The specification of **Non-initialized variable** checks has changed for the following operations. These operations are not commonly used. Therefore, it is likely that these changes do not affect your Polyspace verification.

Prior to R2014b	R2014b
If you initialized only the high bits of a variable through a pointer, an orange check for initialization appeared when the variable was read.	If you initialize only the high bits of a variable through a pointer, a green check for initialization appears when the variable is read.
If you performed an operation on a C++ object after it was destroyed, a red check for initialization appeared on the operation. The check indicated that the object was destroyed.	If you perform an operation on a C++ object after it is destroyed, the check for initialization has the same color as before the destruction. Polyspace does not introduce a red check on this type of access.

Compatibility Considerations

If you use an earlier version of Polyspace Code Prover, it is possible that you see the following changes in your results.

- Read operation on structures: You see an increase in the total number of checks.
However, some red or orange NIV or NIVL checks on the fields of structures turn green. Instead, you see some new red or orange checks on the structures themselves.
- Other operations:
 - If you have operations that initialize only the high bits of a variable through a pointer, you can see a reduction in orange NIV or NIVL checks.
 - If you have operations that access an object after it is destroyed, you can see a reduction in red NIV or NIVL checks.

New checks for functions not called

Two new checks in Polyspace Code Prover detect C/C++ functions that are defined but not called during execution of the code.

Check	Purpose
Function not called	Detects functions that are defined but not called in the source files.
Function not reachable	Detects functions that are defined but called only from an unreachable part of the source.

You can choose to activate these checks using the following options:

- In the user interface, on the **Configuration** pane, under **Check Behavior**, select a value for the option **Detect uncalled functions**.
- At the command line, use the option `-uncalled-function-checks` with an appropriate argument.

Goal	Option Value
Do not detect uncalled functions.	none
Detect functions that are defined but not called.	never-called
Detect functions that are defined and called only from an unreachable part of the code.	called-from-unreachable
Detect all uncalled functions.	all

Improved precision level

In R2014b, certain internal limits have been removed from verification that uses a **Precision level** of 3. Because of this improvement, you can use this **Precision level** to significantly reduce orange checks, especially for multitasking code that uses shared variables. However, if you use this level, the verification can take significantly longer.

To set **Precision level** to 3, do one of the following:

- In the user interface, on the **Configuration** pane, select **Precision**. From the **Precision level** drop-down list, select 3.
- At the DOS or UNIX command prompt, use the flag `-03` with the `polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop` command.
- At the MATLAB command prompt, use the argument `'-03'` with the `polyspaceCodeProver` function.

For more information, see Precision level (C/C++).

Reviewing Results


Context-sensitive help for verification options and checks

In R2014b, contextual help is available for verification options in the Polyspace interface and its plug-ins. To view the contextual help:

- 1 Hover your cursor over a verification option in the **Configuration** pane.
- 2 Inside the tooltip, select the “More Help” link.

The documentation for that option appears in a dockable window.

Contextual help is available in the Polyspace interface for run-time errors. To view the contextual help for checks:

- 1 In the Results Manager perspective, select a run-time error from the results.
- 2 Inside the **Check Details** pane, select .

The documentation for that check appears in a docked window.

For more information, see Getting Help.

Updated Software Quality Objectives

In R2014b, the Software Quality Objectives or SQOs have been updated to include MISRA C++: 2008 coding rule violations.

Using the predefined SQO levels, you can specify quality thresholds for your project or individual files in your project. With the updated SQOs, you can now specify that your project must not violate certain MISRA C++ rules.

For more information, see Predefined SQO Levels.

Improved Results Manager perspective

The following changes have been made in the Results Manager perspective:

- On the **Source** pane, the following code appears in gray:
 - Code deactivated due to conditional compilation. Polyspace assigns a lighter shade of gray to this code.
 - Code in an unreachable branch. Polyspace assigns a darker shade of gray to this code.

For the difference between the two cases, see the code below. To reproduce the colors, before verification, on the **Configuration** pane, enter Polyspace= for **Preprocessor definitions**.

```

Source
Dashboard x test_file.c x
1  #include <limits.h>
2  int getVal();
3
4  void main() {
5      int a=getVal(),b;
6
7      #ifdef Polyspace
8          b=a*a;
9          if (b<0) {
10             b=0;
11         }
12     #else
13         b=a*a;
14         assert(b<INT_MAX);
15     #endif
16 }
17

```

- To prioritize your orange check review, use the **Show** menu on the **Results Summary** pane. This menu replaces the previously available methodologies for the same purpose.
 - To display red, gray, and orange checks likely to be run-time errors, from the **Show** menu, select **Critical checks**. This option replaces the **First checks to review** methodology.
 - To display all checks, from the **Show** menu, select **All checks**. This option replaces the **All checks** methodology.
 - The methodologies **Methodology for C/C++ > Light** and **Methodology for C/C++ > Moderate** have been removed.
 - To create your own subset of orange checks to review, select **Tools > Preferences**. On the **Review Scope** tab, specify the number or percentage of orange checks of each type to review. The options on this tab replace the options on the **Review Configuration** tab.
- To group your checks, use the **Group by** menu on the **Results Summary** pane.
 - To leave your checks ungrouped, instead of **List of Checks**, select **Group by > None**.
 - To group checks by check color and type, instead of **Checks by Family**, select **Group by > Family**.
 - To group checks by file and function, instead of **Checks by File/Function**, select **Group by > File**.
- To view the percentage of checks that you have justified, instead of the **Review Statistics** pane, use the **Justified** column on the **Results Summary** pane. On this pane:
 - To view the percentage of checks that you justified broken down by color/type, select **Group by > Family**.
 - To view the percentage of checks that you justified broken down by file/function, select **Group by > File**.

Error mode removed from coding rules checking

In R2014b, the **Error** mode has been removed from coding rules checking. Therefore, coding rule violations cannot stop a verification.

Compatibility Considerations

For existing coding rules files, rules having the keyword `error` are treated in the same way as the keyword `warning`. For more information on `warning`, see [Format of Custom Coding Rules File](#).

R2014a

Version: 9.1

New Features

Bug Fixes

Compatibility Considerations

Verification Setup


Automatic project setup from build systems

In R2014a, you can set up a Polyspace project from build automation scripts that you use to build your software application. The automatic project setup runs your automation scripts to determine:

- Source files.
- Includes.
- **Target & Compiler** options.

To set up a project from your build automation scripts:

- On the DOS or UNIX command line: Use the `polyspace-configure` command. For more information, see [Create Project from DOS and UNIX Command Line](#).
- In the user interface: When creating a new project, in the Project - Properties window, select **Create from build command**. In the following window, enter:
 - The build command that you use.
 - The directory from which you run your build command.
 - Additional options. For more information, see [Create Project in User Interface](#).

Click . In the **Project Browser**, you see your new Polyspace project with the required source files, include folders, and **Target & Compiler** options.

- On the MATLAB command line: Use the `polyspaceConfigure` function. For more information, see [Create Project from MATLAB Command Line](#).

Support for GNU 4.7 and Microsoft Visual Studio C++ 2012 dialects

Polyspace supports two additional dialects: Microsoft Visual Studio C++ 2012 and GNU 4.7. If your code uses language extensions from these dialects, specify the corresponding analysis option in your configuration. From the **Target & Compiler > Dialect** menu, select:

- `gnu4.7` for GNU 4.7
- `visual11.0` for Microsoft Visual Studio C++ 2012

For more information about these and other supported dialects, see [Dialects for C](#) or [Dialects for C++](#).

Documentation in Japanese

The Polyspace product, including the documentation, is available in Japanese.

To view the Japanese version of Polyspace Code Prover documentation, go to <https://www.mathworks.co.jp/jp/help/codeprover/>. If the documentation appears in English, from the country list beside the globe icon at the top of the page, select Japan.

Preferences file moved

In R2014a, the location of the Polyspace preferences file has been changed.

Operating System	Location before R2014a	Location in R2014a
Windows	%APPDATA%\Polyspace	%APPDATA%\MathWorks\MATLAB\R2014a\Polyspace
Linux	/home/\$USER/.polyspace	/home/\$USER/.matlab/\$RELEASE/Polyspace

For more information, see Storage of Polyspace Preferences.

Support for batch analysis security levels

When creating an MDCS server for Polyspace batch analyses, you can now add additional security levels through the **MATLAB Admin Center**. Using the **Metrics and Remote Server Settings**, the MDCS server is automatically set to security level zero. If you want additional security for your server, use the **Admin Center** button. The additional security levels require authentication by user name, cluster user name and password, or network user name and password.

For more information, see MDCS documentation.

Interactive mode for remote verification

In R2014a, you can select an additional **Interactive** mode for remote verification. In this mode, when you run Polyspace Code Prover on a cluster, your local computer is tethered to the cluster through Parallel Computing Toolbox and MATLAB Parallel Server.

To run verification in this mode

- In the user interface: On the **Configuration** pane, under **Distributed Computing**, select **Interactive**.
- On the DOS or UNIX command line, append `-interactive` to the `polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop` command.
- On the MATLAB command line, add the argument `'-interactive'` to the `polyspaceCodeProver` function.

For more information, see Interactive.

Default text editor

In R2014a, Polyspace uses a default text editor for opening source files. The editor is:

- WordPad in Windows
- vi in Linux

You can change the text editor on the **Editors** tab under **Options > Preferences**. For more information, see Specify Text Editor.

Support for Windows 8 and Windows Server 2012

Polyspace supports installation and analysis on Windows Server® 2012 and Windows 8.

For installation instructions, see Installation, Licensing, and Activation.

Check model configuration automatically before analysis

For the Polyspace Simulink plug-in, the **Check configuration** feature has been enhanced to automatically check your model configuration before analysis. In the **Polyspace** pane of the Model Configuration options, select:

- **On, proceed with warnings** to automatically check the configuration before analysis and continue with analysis when only warnings are found.
- **On, stop for warnings** to automatically check the configuration before analysis and stop if warnings are found.
- **Off** to never check the configuration automatically before an analysis.

If the configuration check finds errors, Polyspace always stops the analysis.

For more information about **Check configuration**, see Check Simulink Model Settings.

Function replacement in Simulink plug-in

The following functions have been replaced in the Simulink plug-in by the function `pslinkfun`. These functions be removed in a future release.

Function	What Happens?	Use This Function Instead
<code>PolyspaceAnnotation</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('annotations',...)</code>
<code>PolySpaceGetTemplateCFGFile</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('gettemplate')</code>
<code>PolySpaceHelp</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('help')</code>
<code>PolySpaceEnableCOMServer</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('enablebacktomodel')</code>
<code>PolySpaceSpooler</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('queuemanager')</code>
<code>PolySpaceViewer</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('openresults',...)</code>
<code>PolySpaceSetTemplateCFGFile</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('settemplate',...)</code>
<code>PolySpaceConfigure</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('advancedoptions')</code>
<code>PolySpaceKillAnalysis</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('stop')</code>
<code>PolySpaceMetrics</code>	Warning	<code>pslinkfun('metrics')</code>

Polyspace binaries being removed

The following Polyspace binaries will be removed in a future release:

- `polyspace-automatic-orange-tester.exe`
- `polyspace-c.exe`
- `polyspace-cpp.exe`
- `polyspace-modularize.exe`
- `polyspace-remote-c.exe`

- `polyspace-remote-cpp.exe`
- `polyspace-remote.exe`
- `polyspace-report-generator.exe`
- `polyspace-results-repository.exe`
- `polyspace-rl-manager.exe`
- `polyspace-spooler.exe`
- `polyspace-ver.exe`
- `setup-remote-launcher.exe`

Verification Results

Support for additional Coding Rules (MISRA C:2004 Rule 18.2, MISRA C++ Rule 5-0-11)

The Polyspace coding rules checker now supports two additional coding rules: MISRA C 18.2 and MISRA C++ 5-0-11.

- MISRA C 18.2 is a required rule that checks for assignments to overlapping objects.
- MISRA C++ 5-0-11 is a required rule that checks for the use of the plain `char` type as anything other than storage or character values.
- MISRA C++ 5-0-12 is a required rule that checks for the use of the signed and unsigned `char` types as anything other than numerical values.

For more information, see MISRA C:2004 Coding Rules or MISRA C++ Coding Rules.

Improvement of floating point precision

In R2013b, Polyspace improved the precision of floating point representation. Previously, Polyspace represented the floating point values with intervals, as seen in the tooltips. Now, Polyspace uses a rounding method.

For example, the verification represents `float arr = 0.1;` as,


- Pre-R2013b, `arr = [9.9999E^-2, 1.0001E-1]`.
- Now, `arr = 0.1`.

Reviewing Results


Results folder appearance in Project Browser

In R2014a, the results folder appears in a simplified form in the **Project Browser**. Instead of a folder containing several files, the result appears as a single file.

- Format before R2014a:



- Format in R2014a:




The following table lists the changes in the actions that you can perform on the results folder.

Action	2013b	2014a
Open results.	In the result folder, double-click result file with extension <code>.pscp</code> .	Double-click result file.
Open analysis options used for result.	In the result folder, select options .	Right-click result file and select Open Configuration .

Action	2013b	2014a
Open metrics page for batch analyses if you had used the analysis option Distributed Computing > Add to results repository .	In the result folder, select Metrics Web Page .	Double-click result file. If you had used the option Distributed Computing > Add to results repository , double-clicking the results file for the first time opens the metrics web page instead of the Result Manager perspective.
Open results folder in your file browser.	Navigate to results folder. To find results folder location, select Options > Preferences . View result folder location on the Project and Results Folder tab.	Right-click result file and select Open Folder with File Manager .

Results Manager improvements

- In R2014a, you can view the extent of a code block on the **Source** pane by clicking either its opening or closing brace.



```

Source
Dashboard x tasks1.c x
47 static void initregulate(void)
48 {
49     int tmp = 0;
50     while (random_int() < 1000)
51     {
52         tmp = orderregulate();
53         Begin_CS();
54         tmp = SHR + SHR2 + SHR6;
55         End_CS();
56         tmp = Get_PowerLevel();
57         Compute_Injection();
58     } /* end loop; */
59 }

```

Note This action does not highlight the code block if the brace itself is already highlighted. The opening brace can be highlighted, for instance, if there is an **Unreachable code** error on the code block.

- In R2014a, the **Verification Statistics** pane in the Project Manager and the **Results Statistics** pane in the Results Manager have been renamed **Dashboard**.

On the **Dashboard**, you can obtain an overview of the results in a graphical format. For more information, see [Dashboard](#).

- In R2014a, on the **Results Summary** pane, you can distinguish between violations of predefined coding rules such as MISRA C or C++ and custom coding rules.
 - The predefined rules are indicated by ▼ .
 - The custom rules are indicated by ▼ .

In addition, when you click on the **Check** column header on the **Results Summary** pane, the rules are sorted by rule number instead of alphabetically.

- In R2014a, you can double-click a variable name on the **Source** pane to highlight all instances of the variable.

Simplification of coding rules checking

In R2014a, the **Error** mode has been removed from coding rules checking. This mode applied only to:

- The option Custom for:
 - **Check MISRA C rules**
 - **Check MISRA AC AGC rules**
 - **Check MISRA C++ rules**
 - **Check JSF C++ rules**
- **Check custom rules**

The following table lists the changes that appear in coding rules checking.

Coding Rules Feature	2013b	2014a
New file wizard for custom coding rules.	<p>For each coding rule, you can select three results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Error: Analysis stops if the rule is violated. The rule violation is displayed on the Output Summary tab in the Project Manager perspective. • Warning: Analysis continues even if the rule is violated. The rule violation is displayed on the Results Summary pane in the Result Manager perspective. • Off: Polyspace does not check for violation of the rule. 	<p>For each coding rule, you can select two results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On: Analysis continues even if the rule is violated. The rule violation is displayed on the Results Summary pane in the Result Manager perspective. • Off: Polyspace does not check for violation of the rule.

Coding Rules Feature	2013b	2014a
Format of the custom coding rules file.	Each line in the file must have the syntax: <i>rule off error warning #comments</i> For example: <pre># MISRA configuration - Proj1 10.5 off #don't check 10.5 17.2 error 17.3 warning</pre>	Each line in the file must have the syntax: <i>rule off warning #comments</i> For example: <pre># MISRA configuration - Proj1 10.5 off #don't check 10.5 17.2 warning 17.3 warning</pre>

Compatibility Considerations

For existing coding rules files that use the keyword `error`:

- If you run analysis from the user interface, it will be treated in the same way as the keyword `warning`. The verification will not stop even if the rule is violated. The rule violation will however be reported on the **Results Summary** pane.
- If you run analysis from the command line, the verification will stop if the rule is violated.

Additional back-to-model support for Simulink plug-in

As you click the different links, the corresponding block is highlighted in the model. Because of internal improvements, the back-to-model feature is more stable. Additionally, support has been added for Stateflow charts in Target Link and Linux operating systems.

For more information about the back-to-model feature, see Identify Errors in Simulink Models.

R2013b

Version: 9.0

New Features

Verification Results

Proven absence of certain run-time errors in C and C++ code

Use Polyspace Code Prover to prove the absence of overflow, divide-by-zero, out-of-bounds array access, and certain other run-time errors in source code. To verify code, the software uses formal methods-based abstract interpretation techniques. The code verification is static. It does not require program execution, code instrumentation, or test cases. Before compilation and test, you can verify handwritten code, generated code, or a combination of these two types of code.

Identification of variables exceeding specified range limits

By default, Polyspace Code Prover performs a *robustness* verification of your code. The verification proves that the software works under all conditions. As the verification assumes that all data inputs are set to their full range, almost any operation on these inputs can produce an overflow.

To prove that your code works in normal conditions, use the Data Range Specification (DRS) feature to perform contextual verification. You can set constraints on data ranges, and verify your code within these ranges. The use of DRS can substantially reduce the number of orange checks in verification results.

You can use DRS to set constraints on:

- Global variables
- Input parameters for user-defined functions called by the main generator
- Return values for stub functions

For a global variable, if you specify the `globalassert` mode, the software generates a warning when the variable exceeds your specified range.

For more information, see [Data Range Configuration](#).

Graphical display of variable reads and writes

A Polyspace Code Prover verification generates a data dictionary with information about global variables and the read and write access operations on these variables. You can view this information through the **Variable Access** pane of the Results Manager perspective.

For more information, see [Exploring Results Manager Perspective](#).

Calculation of range information for variables, function parameters and return values

Polyspace Code Prover calculates and displays range information associated with, for example, variables, function parameters and return values, and operators. The displayed range information represents a superset of dynamic values, which the software computes using static methods.

For more information, see [Interpret Results](#).

Reviewing Results

Color-coding of run-time errors directly in code

Polyspace Code Prover uses color coding to indicate the status of code elements.

- **Green** — Proved to never have a run-time error.
- **Red** — Proved to always have a run-time error.
- **Gray** — Proved to be unreachable, which can indicate a functional issue.
- **Orange** — Unproven, and can have an error.

Errors detected include:

- Overflows, underflows, divide-by-zero, and other arithmetic errors
- Out-of-bounds array access and illegally dereferenced pointers
- Always true/false statement due to dataflow propagation
- Read access operation on uninitialized data
- Dead code
- Access to `null this pointer (C++)`
- Dynamic errors related to object programming, inheritance, and exception handling (C++)
- Uninitialized class members (C++)
- Unsound type conversions

For more information, see Interpret Results.

Quality metrics for tracking conformance to software quality objectives

You can define a quality model with reference to coding rule violations, code complexity, and run-time errors. By observing these metrics, you can track your progress toward predefined software quality objectives as your code evolves from the first iteration to the final version.

By confirming the absence of certain run-time errors and measuring the rate of improvement in code quality, Polyspace Code Prover enables developers, testers, and project managers to produce, assess, and deliver code that is free of run-time errors.

For more information, see Quality Metrics.

Web-based dashboard providing code metrics and quality status

Polyspace Code Prover provides Polyspace Metrics, a Web-based dashboard for tracking submitted verification jobs, reviewing progress, and viewing the quality status of your code. Polyspace Metrics provides an integrated view of project metrics, displaying code complexity, coding rule violations, run-time errors, and other code metrics.

For more information, see Quality Metrics.

Guided review-checking process for classifying results and run-time error status

In the Results Manager perspective, Polyspace Code Prover provides you with several options to organize your review process.

- You can use review methodologies to specify the number and type of checks displayed on the **Results Summary** pane. With each methodology, you review only a subset of checks.

For example, if you are reviewing verification results for the first time, select **First checks to review**. The software displays all red and gray checks but only a subset of orange checks. These orange checks are the ones most likely to be run-time errors. For more information, see Review Checks Using Predefined Methodologies.

- You can group checks by File/Function or Check:
 - Grouping by Check classifies checks by color. Within each color, this grouping classifies checks by categories related to the origin of the check, such as Control flow, Data flow, and Numerical.
 - Grouping by File/Function classifies checks by the file where they originated. Within each file, this grouping classifies checks by functions where they originated.
 - For C++ files, you can also group checks by Class. This grouping classifies checks by the class definition where they originated.

For more information, see Organize Check Review Using Filters and Groups.

- You can filter checks using any of the column information criteria on the **Results Summary** pane. For example, you can filter out checks that you have already justified using the filter icon on the **Justified** column header. If you have applied a filter, the column heading changes to indicate that all results are not displayed. You can also define custom filters. For more information, see Organize Check Review Using Filters and Groups.
- You can navigate through the **Results Summary** pane using the keyboard or UI buttons. Both means of navigation respect the grouping, filters, and methodology used to display results.

Comparison with R2013a Polyspace products

Polyspace Code Prover is a single product that replaces the following R2013a products:

- Polyspace Client™ for C/C++
- Polyspace Server for C/C++

Polyspace Bug Finder, which is available with the Polyspace Code Prover, incorporates the following R2013a products:

- Polyspace Model Link™ SL
- Polyspace Model Link TL
- Polyspace UML Link™ RH

For a summary of differences and similarities in remote verification, results review and other features and options, expand the following:


Remote verification

Category	R2013a	R2013b
Products required	<p>Install:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Polyspace Client for C/C++ on local computer Polyspace Server for C/C++ on network computers, which are configured as Queue Manager and CPUs. 	<p>Install:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MATLAB, Polyspace Bug Finder, and Parallel Computing Toolbox on local computer. MATLAB, Polyspace Bug Finder, Polyspace Code Prover, and MATLAB Parallel Server on head node of computer cluster. For information about setting up a cluster, see Install Products and Choose Cluster Configuration.
Configuring and starting services	<p>On the Polyspace Preferences > Server Configuration tab:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under Remote configuration, specify host computer for Queue Manager and Polyspace Metrics server and communication port. Under Metrics configuration, specify other settings for Polyspace Metrics. 	<p>On the Polyspace Preferences > Server Configuration tab:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under MDCS cluster configuration, specify computer for cluster head node, which hosts the MATLAB job scheduler (MJS). The MJS replaces the R2013a Polyspace Queue Manager. Under Metrics configuration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specify host computer for Polyspace Metrics server and communication port. Specify other settings for Polyspace Metrics.

Category	R2013a	R2013b
	<p>In the Remote Launcher Manager dialog box:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Under Common Settings, specify Polyspace communication port, user details, and results folder for remote verifications. 2 Under Queue Manager Settings, specify Queue Manager and CPUs. 3 Under Polyspace Server Settings, specify available Polyspace products. 4 To start the Queue Manager and Polyspace Metrics service, click Start Daemon. 	<p>In the Metrics and Remote Server Settings dialog box:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Under Polyspace Metrics Settings, specify user details, Polyspace communication port, and results folder for remote verifications. 2 Under Polyspace MDCS Cluster Security Settings, you see the following options with default values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start the Polyspace MDCE service — Selected. The <code>mdce</code> service, which is required to manage the MJS, runs on the MJS host computer and other nodes of the cluster. • MDCE service port — 27350. • Use secure communication - Not selected. Communication is not encrypted. You may want to use communication with security. For information about MATLAB Parallel Server cluster security, see Cluster Security. 3 To start the Polyspace Metrics and <code>mdce</code> services, click Start Daemon. <p>Use the Metrics and Remote Server Settings dialog box to start and stop <code>mdce</code> services only if you configure the MDCS head node as the Polyspace Metrics server. Otherwise, clear the Start the Polyspace MDCE service check box, and use the MDCS Admin Center. To open the MDCS Admin Center, run:</p> <pre>matlabroot/toolbox/distcomp/bin/admincenter</pre> <p>For information about the MDCS Admin Center, see Cluster Processes and Profiles.</p>

Category	R2013a	R2013b
Running a remote verification	<p>In the Project Manager perspective:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the Configuration > Machine Configuration pane, select the following check boxes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Send to Polyspace Server Add to results repository — Allows viewing of results through Polyspace Metrics. On the toolbar, click Run. <p>The Polyspace client performs code compilation and coding rule checking on the local, host computer. Then the Polyspace client submits the verification to the Queue Manager on your network.</p>	<p>In the Project Manager perspective:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the Configuration > Distributed Computing pane, select the Batch check box. By default, the software selects the Add to results repository, which enables the generation of Polyspace Metrics. On the toolbar, click Run. <p>The Polyspace Code Prover software performs code compilation and coding rule checking on the local, host computer. Then the Parallel Computing Toolbox client submits the verification job to the MJS of the MATLAB Parallel Server cluster.</p>
Managing remote verifications	<p>Use the Queue Manager to monitor and manage submitted jobs from Polyspace clients.</p> <p>On the Web, you can monitor jobs through Polyspace Metrics. If you have installed Polyspace Server for C/C++ on your local computer, through Polyspace Metrics, you can open the Queue Manager .</p>	<p>Use the Queue Manager to monitor and manage jobs submitted through Parallel Computing Toolbox clients.</p>
Accessing results of remote verifications	<p>When you run a verification on a Polyspace server, the Polyspace software automatically downloads the results to your local, client computer. You can view the results in the Results Manager perspective.</p> <p>In addition, you can use the Queue Manager to download results of verifications submitted from other Polyspace clients.</p> <p>On the Web, use Polyspace Metrics to view verification results stored in results repository. If Polyspace Client for C/C++ is installed on your local computer, you can download verification results. For example, in Polyspace Metrics, clicking a cell value in the Run-Time Checks view opens the corresponding verification results in the Results Manager.</p>	<p>On the Web, use Polyspace Metrics to view verification results. If Polyspace Bug Finder is installed on your local computer, you can download verification results. For example, in Polyspace Metrics, clicking a Project cell in the Runs view opens the corresponding verification results in the Results Manager.</p>

Results review

Category	R2013a	R2013b
Results Explorer	Available. Allows navigation through checks by the file and function where they occur. To view, select Window > Show/Hide View > Results Explorer .	Removed. To navigate through checks by file and function, on Results Summary pane, from the drop-down menu, select File/Function.
Filters on the Results Summary pane	<p>Filters appear as icons on the Results Summary pane. You can filter by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Run-time error category • Coding rules violated • Check color • Check justification • Check classification • Check status 	<p>You can filter by the information in all the columns of the Results Summary pane. In addition to existing filters, the new filtering capabilities extend to the file, function and line number where the checks appear. You can also define your own filters.</p> <p>The filters appear as the  icon on each column header. To apply a filter using the information in a column:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Place your cursor on the column header. The filter icon appears. 2 Click the filter icon and from the context menu, clear the All box. Select the appropriate boxes to see the corresponding checks. <p>For more information, see Organize Check Review Using Filters and Groups.</p>
Code Coverage Metrics	<p>In the Results Explorer view, the software displays two metrics for the project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • unp — Number of unreachable functions as a ratio of total number of functions • cov — Percentage of elementary operations covered by verification <p>The unreachable procedures are marked gray in the Results Explorer view.</p>	<p>The new Results Statistics pane displays the code coverage metrics through the Code covered by verification column graph.</p> <p>To see a list of unreachable procedures, click this column graph.</p> <p>For more information, see Results Statistics.</p>

Other features

Product	Feature	R2013a	R2013b
Polyspace Client and Server for C/C++	Installation	Separate installation process for Polyspace products	Polyspace Code Prover software installed during MATLAB installation process.
	Project configuration	On host, for example, using Polyspace Client for C/C++ software.	On host, using Polyspace Code Prover software.
	Local verification	On host, run Polyspace Client for C/C++ verification. Review results in Results Manager.	On host, run Polyspace Code Prover verification. Review results in Results Manager.
	Export of review comments to Excel, and Excel report generation	Supported	Not supported.
	Line command	polyspace-c ... polyspace-cpp ...	polyspace-code-prover-nodesktop ...
	Project configuration file extension	<i>project_name.cfg</i>	<i>project_name.psprj</i>
	Results file extension	<i>results_name.rte</i>	<i>results_name.pscp</i>
	Configuration > Machine Configuration pane	Available	Replaced by Configuration > Distributed Computing pane.
	Configuration > Post Verification pane	Available	Renamed Configuration > Advanced Settings
	goto blocks	Not supported	Supported
	Run verifications from multiple Polyspace environments	Supported	Not supported, produces a license error -4,0.
Non-official options field	Available in Configuration > Machine Configuration pane	Renamed Other and moved to Configuration > Advanced Settings pane	
Polyspace Model Link SL and TL	Default includes	Includes specific to the target specified.	Generic includes for C and C+. These includes are target independent.

Product	Feature	R2013a	R2013b
	Running a verification	<p>Code > Polyspace > Polyspace for Embedded Coder/Target Link</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verify Generated Code Verify Generated Model Reference Code <p>Also right-clicking on a subsystem and selecting Polyspace > Polyspace for Embedded Coder/Target Link</p>	<p>Code > Polyspace > Verify Code Generated for</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Selected Subsystem Model Referenced Model Selected Target Link Subsystem <p>Also right-clicking on a subsystem and selecting Polyspace > Verify Code Generated for > Selected Subsystem / Selected Target Link Subsystem</p>
	Product Mode	Not available.	Choose between Code Prover or Bug Finder depending on the type of analysis you want to run.
	Settings	Available. Called Verification Settings from	Available. Called Settings from . Functionality the same.
	Open results	Option Open Project Manager and Results Manager opened the Polyspace Project Manager.	Option Open results automatically after verification opens Polyspace Metrics (batch verifications) or Polyspace Results Manager (local verifications).
Polyspace plug-in for Visual Studio 2010	Support for C++11 features	Partial support.	<p>Added support for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lambda functions Rvalue references for <code>*this</code> and initialization of class objects by rvalues Decltype Auto keyword for multi-declarator auto and trailing return types Static assert Nullptr Extended friend declarations Local and unnamed types as template arguments

Options

Product	Option	R2013a	R2013b
	-code-metrics	Available. Not selected by default.	Removed. Code complexity metrics computed by default.
	-dialect	Available.	Default unchanged, but new value <code>gnu4.6</code> available for C and C++.
Polyspace Client and Server for C/C++	-max-processes	Specify through Machine Configuration > Number of processes for multiple CPU core systems or command line .	Specify from command line, or through Advanced Settings > Other .
	-allow-language-extensions	Available. Selected by default.	Removed. By default, software supports subset of common C language constructs and extended keywords defined by the C99 standard or supported by many compilers.
	-enum-type-definition	Available with three values. First value called <code>defined-by-standard</code> .	Available with three values. For C, first value renamed <code>signed-int</code> . For C++, first value renamed <code>auto-signed-int-first</code> .
Polyspace Model Link SL and TL	-scalar-overflows-behavior wrap-around	Available. Not selected by default.	Default. This option identifies generated code from blocks with saturation enabled. However, this option might lead to a loss of precision. For models without saturation, you can choose to remove this option.
	-ignore-constant-overflows	Available. Not selected by default.	Default.

